

Nos. 17-70810, 17-70817

---

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

---

NATIONAL FAMILY FARM COALITION, et al.,  
*Petitioners,*

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, et al.,  
*Respondents.*

---

On Petition for Review of Action of the  
United States Environmental Protection Agency

---

**RESPONDENTS' OPPOSITION TO  
PETITIONERS' PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC**

---

Of Counsel:

MICHELE KNORR  
BENJAMIN WAKEFIELD  
*Attorneys*  
Office of General Counsel  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

JONATHAN D. BRIGHTBILL  
*Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General*  
ERIC GRANT  
JEAN E. WILLIAMS  
*Deputy Assistant Attorneys General*  
J. BRETT GRSKO  
MICHELE WALTER  
*Attorneys*  
Environment and Natural Resources Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Post Office Box 7611  
Washington, D.C. 20044  
(202) 305-0342  
Brett.Grosko@usdoj.gov

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

GLOSSARY .....	iv
INTRODUCTION .....	1
STATEMENT .....	1
A. Statutory and regulatory background.....	1
1. Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act .....	1
2. Endangered Species Act .....	2
B. Factual background.....	3
C. Panel opinion .....	3
ARGUMENT .....	4
I. The panel’s decision is consistent with <i>Karuk Tribe</i> and all relevant precedent .....	5
II. The panel’s decision raises no issue of exceptional importance.....	10
A. The panel’s decision is unexceptional .....	10
B. The expert wildlife agencies espoused the continued use of EPA’s methodology in their 2014 Interim Report to Congress.....	12
CONCLUSION .....	17
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>American Wild Horse Campaign v. Bernhardt</i> , 963 F.3d 1001 (9th Cir. 2020).....	14
<i>California ex rel. Lockyer v. USDA</i> , 575 F.3d 999 (9th Cir. 2009).....	5-7, 10
<i>Center for Biological Diversity v. U.S. Dep’t of Housing &amp; Urban Development</i> , 359 Fed. Appx. 781 (9th Cir. 2009).....	12
<i>Center for Food Safety v. EPA</i> , 9th Cir. Nos. 14-73359, 15-71207 .....	12
<i>Defenders of Wildlife v. Flowers</i> , 414 F.3d 1066 (9th Cir. 2005) .....	11
<i>Drakes Bay Oyster Co. v. Jewell</i> , 747 F.3d 1073 (9th Cir. 2014).....	14
<i>Friends of Santa Clara River v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</i> , 887 F.3d 906 (9th Cir. 2018).....	passim
<i>Ground Zero Center for Non-Violent Action v. U.S. Dep’t of Navy</i> , 383 F.3d 1082 (9th Cir. 2004).....	6, 11
<i>Karuk Tribe of California v. U.S. Forest Service</i> , 681 F.3d 1006 (9th Cir. 2012).....	passim
<i>Kohler v. Inter-Tel Technologies</i> , 244 F.3d at 1182 (9th Cir. 2001).....	9, 11
<i>Southwest Center for Biological Diversity v. U.S. Forest Service</i> , 100 F.3d 1443 (9th Cir. 1996).....	6, 11
<i>Western Watersheds Project v. Kraayenbrink</i> , 632 F.3d 472 (9th Cir. 2011).....	5, 9

**Statutes, Regulations, and Court Rules**

Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act,  
 7 U.S.C. § 136a(a)..... 1  
 7 U.S.C. § 136a(c)(5) ..... 1  
 7 U.S.C. § 136a(c)(7)(B)..... 3  
 Endangered Species Act,  
 16 U.S.C. § 1531 ..... 2  
 16 U.S.C. § 1533 ..... 2  
 16 U.S.C. § 1536(a)(2)..... 2, 4  
 Agricultural Improvements Act of 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-334,  
 § 10115, 132 Stat. 4490, 4915-16 ..... 17  
 50 C.F.R. § 402.12..... 2  
 50 C.F.R. § 402.14(a). ..... 2, 6  
 FWS, *Interagency Cooperation*, 51 Fed. Reg. 19,926 (June 3, 1986) ..... 7  
 Fed. R. App. P. 35 ..... 4  
 Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(2) ..... 5

**Other Authorities**

National Research Council of the National Academies,  
*Assessing Risks to Endangered and Threatened Species from Pesticides* (2013), <https://www.nationalacademies.org/our-work/ecological-risk-assessment-under-fifra-and-esa>) ..... 13  
 EPA, FWS, NMFS, and USDA, *Interim Report to Congress on Endangered Species Act Implementation in Pesticide Evaluation Programs* (Nov. 2014), <https://epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-07/documents/esareporttocongress.pdf> ..... 15-16

## GLOSSARY

EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FIFRA	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act
FWS	Fish and Wildlife Service
NAS	National Academy of Sciences
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
USFS	U.S. Forest Service

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.