#### Nos. 17-70810, 17-70817

# United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

NATIONAL FAMILY FARM COALITION, ET AL., Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ET AL., Respondents,

Dow AgroSciences LLC,

Intervenor-Respondent,

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, *Petitioner*,

v.

ANDREW R. WHEELER, ET AL.,

Respondents,

DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC,

Intervenor-Respondent.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Environmental Protection Agency

## INTERVENOR-RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC

David B. Weinberg WILEY REIN LLP 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 (202) 719-7000 Kathleen M. Sullivan QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010 (212) 849-7000

Donald C. McLean ARENT FOX LLP 1717 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006-5344 (202) 857-6000

Counsel for Intervenor-Respondent

October 21, 2020

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# Page

INTRODUCTION1					
STATEMENT					
	A.	Factual Background3			
	B.	Statutory Framework			
	C.	The Panel Decision			
ARG	ARGUMENT				
I.	CIRC	PANEL DECISION CORRECTLY APPLIED SETTLED CUIT PRECEDENT IN UPHOLDING EPA'S "NO EFFECT" ERMINATION UNDER THE ESA			
	A.	The Panel Correctly Concluded That EPA Complied With The "Best-Scientific-Data-Available" Requirement			
	B.	The Panel Correctly Concluded That EPA Properly Interpreted The "May Effect" Trigger			
II.	REQ	RTURNING THE PANEL DECISION IN A WAY THAT UIRES VACATUR WOULD HAVE ADVERSE PRACTICAL SEQUENCES			
CONCLUSION					
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE					

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

#### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

# Page(s)

#### **Cases**

<i>Cal. ex. rel. Lockyer v. Dep't of Agric.</i> , 575 F.3d 999 (9th Cir. 2009)14
California Cmtys. Against Toxics v. EPA, 688 F.3d 989 (9th Cir. 2012) 18, 19
Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Serv., 807 F.3d 1031 (9th Cir. 2015)6, 8
<i>Defenders of Wildlife v. Flowers</i> , 414 F.3d 1066 (9th Cir. 2005)13
Friends of Santa Clara River v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers,887 F.3d 906 (9th Cir. 2018)
<i>Karuk Tribe of California v. U.S. Forest Service</i> , 681 F.3d 1006 (9th Cir. 2012) ( <i>en banc</i> )
National Family Farm Coalition v. U.S. EPA, F.3d, 2020 WL 2901136 (9th Cir. June 3, 2020)16
San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Auth. v. Locke, 776 F.3d 971 (9th Cir. 2014) 5, 7, 8
Western Watersheds Project v. Kraayenbrink, 632 F.3d 472 (9th Cir. 2011)14

#### **Statutes & Regulations**

16 U.S.C. § 1536 (a) (2)	10
50 C.F.R. § 402.14 (a)4,	12

# **Other Authorities**

Agriculture Improvements Act of 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-334,	
115th Cong., 132 Stat. 4490 1	1, 12
EPA, NMFS, FWS & USDA, INTERIM REPORT TO CONGRESS ON	
ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT IMPLEMENTATION IN PESTICIDE EVALUATION	
PROGRAMS (Nov. 2014)	11
EPA, FWS, NMFS & USDA, INTERIM APPROACHES FOR NATIONAL-LEVEL	
PESTICIDE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT ASSESSMENTS BASED ON THE	
RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES APRIL 2013	
Report 1 (Nov. 2013)	15
H.R. REP. NO. 96-697 (1979)	4
National Research Council of the National Academies, Assessing Risks to	
Endangered and Threatened Species from Pesticides (2013)	6

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Petitioner National Family Farm Coalition ("NFFC") seeks *en banc* review of only a fraction of the panel's meticulous and comprehensive 60-page opinion in this case (Dkt. 233 Addendum, at 1-60 ("Op.")), which rejected nearly every challenge brought by petitioners NFFC and Natural Resources Defense Council to the Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA") final registration of Intervenor-Respondent Dow Agrosciences LLC's ("Dow") Enlist Duo<sup>™</sup> herbicide. Abandoning any challenge under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act ("FIFRA"), Petitioner challenges only narrow portions of the panel decision upholding EPA's determination under the Endangered Species Act ("ESA") that the Enlist Duo registration would have "no effect" on endangered species or their critical habitats triggering consultation obligations under the ESA.

The panel decision upholding EPA's "no effect" determination was correct and rehearing *en banc* is unwarranted. EPA properly made "no effect" determinations for listed species within the action area, which foreclosed any obligation under the ESA to consult with the Fish & Wildlife Service ("FWS") or National Marine Fisheries Service ("NMFS"). In so doing, EPA relied on the best scientific data available, using a methodology that the wildlife services agreed was appropriate and indeed "highly conservative." *See* Op. 52-53. NFFC's suggestion that EPA applied the wrong legal standard under the ESA is based principally upon

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.