

No. 19-16122

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,
Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,
Defendant-Appellant.

On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of California
No. 5:17-cv-00220-LHK
Hon. Lucy H. Koh

**PETITION OF THE FEDERAL TRADE
COMMISSION FOR REHEARING *EN BANC***

Of Counsel:

IAN R. CONNER
Director

DANIEL FRANCIS
Deputy Director

JOSEPH BAKER
GEOFFREY GREEN
RAJESH JAMES

HEATHER JOHNSON

MARK WOODWARD
Bureau of Competition

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

ELIZABETH TUCCI
Deputy General Counsel

MICHELE ARINGTON
Assistant General Counsel

BRIAN H. FLETCHER
Special Counsel

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20580
(202) 326-3157
bfletcher@ftc.gov

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTRODUCTION AND RULE 35(b) statement.....	1
BACKGROUND	4
I. District Court Findings And Decision.....	4
II. Panel Opinion	7
ARGUMENT	9
I. The Panel Disregarded Precedent By Elevating Patent-Law Labels Over Economic Substance.....	9
II. The Panel Disregarded Precedent By Holding That Facially “Neutral” Fees Cannot Violate The Antitrust Laws	12
III. The Panel Disregarded Precedent By Holding That Harms To Qualcomm’s Customers Are “Beyond The Scope Of Antitrust Law” And Demanding A Showing Of “Direct” Harm To Competitors	16
CONCLUSION.....	19
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	
ADDENDUM A: PANEL DECISION	
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
Cases	
<i>Am. Needle, Inc. v. Nat’l Football League</i> , 560 U.S. 183 (2010).....	9
<i>Apple Inc. v. Pepper</i> , 139 S. Ct. 1514 (2019).....	2, 3, 9, 12, 16
<i>Blue Shield v. McCready</i> , 457 U.S. 465 (1982).....	16
<i>Brunswick Corp. v. Pueblo Bowl-O-Mat, Inc.</i> , 429 U.S. 477 (1977).....	16-17
<i>Caldera, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 87 F. Supp. 2d 1244 (D. Utah 1999)	5, 8, 10
<i>California v. Am. Stores Co.</i> , 495 U.S. 271 (1990).....	18
<i>FTC v. Actavis, Inc.</i> , 570 U.S. 136 (2013).....	2, 11
<i>FTC v. Affordable Media, LLC</i> , 179 F.3d 1228 (9th Cir. 1999)	18
<i>Freeman v. San Diego Ass’n of Realtors</i> , 322 F.3d 1133 (9th Cir. 2003)	14
<i>Lorain Journal Co. v. United States</i> , 342 U.S. 143 (1951).....	17
<i>Nat’l Elec. Contractors Ass’n v. Nat’l Constructors Ass’n</i> , 678 F.2d 492 (4th Cir. 1982)	11-12
<i>NYNEX Corp. v. Discon, Inc.</i> , 525 U.S. 128 (1998).....	17
<i>Pac. Bell Tel. Co. v. linkLine Commc’ns, Inc.</i> , 555 U.S. 438 (2009).....	12

Premier Elec. Constr. Co. v. Nat’l Elec. Contractors Ass’n,
814 F.2d 358 (7th Cir. 1987) 11, 14

Reiter v. Sonotone Corp.,
442 U.S. 330 (1979)..... 16

United Mine Workers of Am. v. Pennington,
381 U.S. 657 (1965)..... 13

United Shoe Mach. Corp. v. United States,
258 U.S. 451 (1922)..... 3, 5, 8, 10, 14

United States v. Concentrated Phosphate Exp. Ass’n,
393 U.S. 199 (1968)..... 9

United States v. Masonite Corp.,
316 U.S. 265 (1942)..... 11

United States v. Microsoft Corp.,
253 F.3d 34 (D.C. Cir. 2001) (*en banc*) 3-4, 17, 18

United States v. Microsoft Corp.,
56 F.3d 1448, 1452 (D.C. Cir. 1995)..... 5

United States v. United Shoe Mach. Co.,
234 F. 127 (E.D. Mo. 1916), *aff’d*, 258 U.S. 451 (1922)..... 14

Statutes

15 U.S.C. §§ 1, 2 4

Other Authorities

Esther D’Amico, *US v. EU Approach to Monopolies Debated in Senate Hearing*, PARR, Dec. 20, 2018 1

Timothy B. Lee, *Appeals Court Ruling for Qualcomm “a Victory of Theory over Facts,”* ARS TECHNICA, Aug. 14, 2020,
<https://tinyurl.com/y3kyce9d>..... 4

Ben Remaly, *FTC Chair Simons Not Recused from Next Qualcomm Steps*, GLOBAL COMPETITION REV., Aug. 14, 2020,
<https://tinyurl.com/y6t6rnlo> 3

Ben Remaly, *Ninth Circuit Provides Qualcomm Resounding Reversal*,
GLOBAL COMPETITION REV., Aug. 12, 2020,
<https://tinyurl.com/yxp47619>4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.