

No. 19-16122

---

IN THE  
**United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit**

---

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

*Plaintiff-Appellee,*

*v.*

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED, A DELAWARE CORPORATION,

*Defendant-Appellant.*

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS COMPANY, LTD.; SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR INC.;

INTEL CORPORATION; ERICSSON, INC.;

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.; MEDIATEK INC.,

*Intervenors,*

NOKIA TECHNOLOGIES OY; INTERDIGITAL, INC.,

*Intervenors.*

---

On Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of California

No. 5:17-CV-00220

Hon. Lucy H. Koh

---

**BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE**

**CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS, INC.,  
DENSO CORPORATION, AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC.,  
TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION, AND TESLA, INC.,  
IN SUPPORT OF THE PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC**

---

Joseph R. Kolker  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &  
SUTCLIFFE LLP  
51 West 52nd Street  
New York, NY 10019

John ("Jay") Jurata, Jr.  
Emily Luken  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON &  
SUTCLIFFE LLP  
1152 15th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005

*Counsel for Amici Curiae*

## CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1, amicus curiae Continental Automotive Systems, Inc. states as follows:

1. Continental Automotive Systems, Inc. is wholly owned by Continental Automotive, Inc. Continental Automotive, Inc. is wholly-owned by Continental Automotive Holding Netherlands B.V., which is wholly-owned by CGH Holding B.V., which is wholly-owned by CAS-One Holdinggesellschaft mbH, which is wholly-owned by Continental Caoutchouc-Export-GmbH, which is owned 51% by Continental Automotive GmbH and 49% by Continental A.G.

2. No publicly held company owns 10% of more of Continental Automotive Systems, Inc. However, Continental Automotive Systems, Inc. is an indirect subsidiary of Continental A.G., a German public corporation.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1, amicus curiae DENSO CORPORATION states as follows:

DENSO CORPORATION is a publicly traded Japanese corporation. DENSO CORPORATION has no parent company. 10% or

more of the stock of DENSO CORPORATION is held by Toyota Motor Corporation, a Japanese public company.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1, amicus curiae American Honda Motor Co., Inc. states as follows:

American Honda Motor Co., Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Honda Motor Co., Ltd., a publicly-held company in Japan.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1, amicus curiae Toyota Motor Corporation states as follows:

Toyota Motor Corporation is a publicly traded Japanese corporation and has no parent corporation. No publicly traded corporation directly owns more than ten percent of its stock.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1, amicus curiae Tesla, Inc. states as follows:

Tesla, Inc. is a publicly traded corporation, has no parent company, and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of Tesla, Inc.'s stock.

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

*/s/ Jay Jurata*

\_\_\_\_\_  
John ("Jay") Jurata, Jr.

*Counsel for Amici Curiae*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.....	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	iv
INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE .....	1
INTRODUCTION .....	3
ARGUMENT.....	6
I.    The En Banc Court Can, And Should, Reverse The Panel’s Holding That Qualcomm’s Refusal To License Its SEPs To Rivals Is Not Anticompetitive.....	8
A.    The en banc Court has jurisdiction over the entire case.....	9
B.    SEP holders’ refusal to license chipmakers harms competition.....	10
II.   The Downstream Harms Of Anticompetitive Behavior By SEP Holders Are Directly Intertwined With Harms To Chipmakers.....	14
A.    Anticompetitive behavior by SEP holders like Qualcomm leads to market inefficiencies.....	15
B.    Anticompetitive behavior by SEP holders like Qualcomm disincentivizes innovation throughout the supply chain.....	18
CONCLUSION .....	20
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Pepper</i> , 139 S. Ct. 1514 (2019).....	13
<i>Fernandez-Ruiz v. Gonzales</i> , 466 F.3d 1121 (9th Cir. 2006).....	10
<i>Quanta Comput., Inc. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , 553 U.S. 617 (2008).....	5
<i>Summerlin v. Stewart</i> , 309 F.3d 1193 (9th Cir. 2002).....	9, 10
<i>Valerio v. Crawford</i> , 306 F.3d 742 (9th Cir. 2002).....	9
<b>Statutes</b>	
28 U.S.C. § 46(c).....	9

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.