

No. 19-16696

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

FRIENDS OF THE EARTH and CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

SANDERSON FARMS, INC.,

Defendant-Appellee.

On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of California
No. 3:17-cv-03592-RS

ANSWERING BRIEF FOR APPELLEE

GREGG F. LOCASCIO
MICHAEL A. GLICK
PAUL J. WEEKS
ERIN E. CADY
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 389-5000
michael.glick@kirkland.com

Counsel for Defendant-Appellee

March 9, 2020

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1, Defendant-Appellee Sanderson Farms, Inc. certifies that it does not have a parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10 percent or more of its stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION	3
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND THE FACTS.....	4
A. The Parties	4
B. The Lawsuit.....	4
C. Appellants’ Standing Allegations and the Evidence Revealed in Discovery	7
D. Sanderson’s Motion to Dismiss under Rule 12(h)(3)	15
E. The District Court’s Order.....	16
STANDARD OF REVIEW.....	18
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	20
ARGUMENT.....	22
I. The District Court Correctly Held that Appellants Did Not Divert Resources as a Result of Sanderson’s Advertising.	22
A. The District Court’s Factual Findings as to Appellants’ Claimed Diversions Were Eminently Correct.	23
1. Activities Appellants undertook before learning of the challenged advertisements cannot constitute resource diversions.....	23
2. Appellants’ activities after learning of the challenged advertising were merely a continuation of their usual business.....	25

..

3.	It is undisputed that Appellants’ post-lawsuit activities cannot constitute Article III injury.	33
B.	The District Court Properly Considered Appellants’ Declarations.	34
II.	The District Court Properly Considered Appellants’ Standing to Bring Their UCL Claim.	41
A.	Appellants’ UCL Claim Is Based on Sanderson’s Allegedly False Advertising.	42
B.	Appellants’ Prior Admissions Confirm Their UCL Claim Was Based on False Advertising.	47
C.	Even if Appellants Asserted a Claim Based on Sanderson’s Practices—Which They Have Not—the Cited Evidence Would Not Establish an Article III Diversion.....	51
	CONCLUSION.....	53

...

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Adler v. Fed. Republic of Nigeria</i> , 107 F.3d 720 (9th Cir. 1997)	36, 39
<i>Am. Diabetes Ass’n v. U.S. Dep’t of the Army</i> , 938 F.3d 1147 (9th Cir. 2019)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Baccei v. United States</i> , 632 F.3d 1140 (9th Cir. 2011)	50
<i>Candelore v. Tinder, Inc.</i> , 228 Cal. Rptr. 3d 336 (Cal. Ct. App. 2018).....	46
<i>CDN Inc. v. Kapes</i> , 197 F.3d 1256 (9th Cir. 1999)	40
<i>David H. Tedder & Assocs., Inc. v. United States</i> , 77 F.3d 1166 (9th Cir. 1996)	29
<i>Deland v. Old Republic Life Ins. Co.</i> , 758 F.2d 1331 (9th Cir. 1985)	50
<i>Fair Hous. Council of San Fernando Valley v. Roommate.com, LLC</i> , 666 F.3d 1216 (9th Cir. 2012)	26
<i>FTC v. Dantuma</i> , 748 F. App’x 735 (9th Cir. 2018)	50, 51
<i>FTC v. Publ’g Clearing House, Inc.</i> , 104 F.3d 1168 (9th Cir. 1997)	36, 39
<i>Hinojos v. Kohl’s Corp.</i> , 718 F.3d 1098 (9th Cir. 2013)	45
<i>Kennedy v. Allied Mut. Ins. Co.</i> , 952 F.2d 262 (9th Cir. 1991)	19
<i>Kingman Reef Atoll Invs., L.L.C. v. United States</i> , 541 F.3d 1189 (9th Cir. 2008)	19, 35

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.