

Opinion and Mandate Issued on June 3rd, 2020

No. 19-70115

Before: Michael Daly Hawkins, M. Margaret McKeown, and
William A. Fletcher, Circuit Judges

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

NATIONAL FAMILY FARM COALITION, *et al.*,

Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, *et al.*,

Respondents,

and

MONSANTO COMPANY,

Intervenor-Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW FROM THE UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

**PETITIONERS' OPPOSITION TO PROPOSED-INTERVENORS'
EMERGENCY MOTIONS TO INTERVENE**

CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY

George A. Kimbrell

Sylvia Shih-Yau Wu

Amy van Saun

2009 NE Alberta St., Suite 207

Portland, OR 97211

T: (971) 271-7372

gkimbrell@centerforfoodsafety.org

swu@centerforfoodsafety.org

avansaun@centerforfoodsafety.org

CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL
DIVERSITY

Stephanie M. Parent

PO Box 11374

Portland, OR 97211

T: (971) 717-6404

sparent@biologicaldiversity.org

Counsel for Petitioners

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
ARGUMENT	2
I. Proposed Intervenors Fail to Meet the Requisite Test for Intervention.	3
A. Proposed Intervenors’ Motions Are Not Timely.	3
B. Proposed Intervenors’ Interests Are Adequately Represented By Existing Parties.	13
II. The Court Should Also Decline Permissive Intervention. ...	16
CONCLUSION	19

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>Adams v. United States</i> , 449 F. App'x 653 (9th Cir. 2011)	11
<i>Arakaki v. Atano Cayetano</i> , 324 F.3d 1078 (9th Cir. 2003).....	13, 14
<i>Cal. Dep't of Toxic Substances Control v. Commercial Realty Projects, Inc.</i> , 309 F.3d 1113 (9th Cir. 2002).....	3
<i>Calvert v. Huckins</i> , 109 F.3d 636 (9th Cir. 1997).....	12
<i>Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Serv.</i> , 2005 WL 6789301 (N.D. Cal. May 31, 2005)	18
<i>Donnelly v. Glickman</i> , 159 F.3d 405 (9th Cir. 1998).....	16
<i>Drakes Bay Oyster Co. v. Salazar</i> , 2013 WL 451813 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 4, 2013).....	12
<i>Golden Wolf Partners v. BASF Corp.</i> , 2010 WL 5173197 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 13, 2010).....	11
<i>League of United Latin Am. Citizens v. Wilson</i> , 131 F.3d 1297 (9th Cir. 1997).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Lewis v. First Am. Title Ins. Co.</i> , 2010 WL 3735485 (D. Idaho Aug. 5, 2010)	4
<i>Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin v. Thompson</i> , 164 F.R.D. 672 (W.D. Wis. 1996).....	17

::

Federal Cases (Cont'd)	Page(s)
<i>Nat'l Family Farm Coal. v. EPA</i> , No. 19-70115, 2020 WL 2901136 (9th Cir. 2020)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Nw. Forest Res. Council v. Glickman</i> , 82 F. 3d 825 (9th Cir. 1996).....	15, 16
<i>Perry v. Proposition 8 Official Proponents</i> , 587 F.3d 947 (9th Cir. 2009).....	13, 17, 18
<i>Spangler v. Pasadena City Bd. of Educ.</i> , 552 F.2d 1326 (9th Cir. 1977).....	16
<i>Sw. Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. Berg</i> , 268 F.3d 810 (9th Cir. 2001).....	2
<i>United States v. Alisal Water Corp.</i> , 370 F.3d 915 (9th Cir. 2004).....	3
<i>United States v. Oregon</i> , 913 F.2d 576 (9th Cir. 1990).....	6
<i>United States v. State of Washington</i> , 86 F.3d 1499 (9th Cir. 1996).....	4, 13
<i>Wilderness Soc. v. U.S. Forest Serv.</i> , 630 F.3d 1173 (9th Cir. 2011).....	3
 Rules	
Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure Rule 15(d).....	4, 5, 10
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24.....	2, 3, 16
Circuit Rule 15-1.....	5

INTRODUCTION

More than one year and five months after Petitioners filed the present expedited litigation, BASF Corporation (BASF) and E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company (Corteva) (collectively, Proposed Intervenor(s)) now seek intervention. *See* ECF 1-6 (Petition for Review filed Jan. 11, 2019). Proposed Intervenor(s) slept on their rights to intervene, even though they had every reason to know that this Court's adjudication of EPA's 2018 registration decision could affect the registration basis for their pesticide products.

Even after the Court issued its Order rejecting EPA's argument that the case was limited to just XtendiMax and vacating all three pesticide use approvals, Proposed Intervenor(s) waited yet another nine days; they only leaped into action after Petitioners returned to this Court seeking enforcement of its Order against EPA's brazen attempt to keep Proposed Intervenor(s)' products in use. ECF 127-3.

Proposed Intervenor(s) are far too late. Huffing and puffing aside, Proposed Intervenor(s) have failed to identify a single interest that is not adequately represented by EPA (or Intervenor Monsanto) at this juncture, when the only question before this Court narrowly concerns

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.