

No. 19-70115

IN THE
**United States Court of Appeals
for the Ninth Circuit**

NATIONAL FAMILY FARM COALITION, et al.,
Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, et al.,
Respondents,

MONSANTO COMPANY, et al.,
Respondents-Intervenors,

and

BASF CORPORATION,
Intervenor.

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW FROM THE UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC

John C. Cruden
Kathryn E. Szmuszkovicz
Anthony L. Michaels
David A. Barker
BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND PC
1350 I Street NW Suite 700
Washington DC 20005-3311
(202) 789-6000

Neal Kumar Katyal
Kirti Datla
Jo-Ann Tamila Sagar
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP
555 Thirteenth Street NW
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 637-5600

Counsel for Intervenor BASF Corporation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
RULE 35(b) STATEMENT.....	1
BACKGROUND	1
REASONS FOR GRANTING REHEARING EN BANC.....	3
I. THE DECISION TO VACATE BASF’S ENGENIA REGISTRATION VIOLATES BASIC PRINCIPLES OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	3
A. The Panel Did Not Review The Relevant Administrative Record, Contrary To Precedents Of The Supreme Court And This Court.....	4
B. The Panel Vacated BASF’s Engenia Registration Without Giving BASF Notice And An Opportunity To Be Heard.....	5
C. The Panel Vacated BASF’s Engenia Registration Though Petitioners Lack Standing, Departing From Other Circuits.....	8
II. THE PANEL LACKED JURISDICTION OVER PETITIONERS’ CHALLENGE TO BASF’S ENGENIA REGISTRATION	10
III. THE PANEL DID NOT APPLY THE DEFERENTIAL “SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE” STANDARD	14
CONCLUSION	18
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	
ADDENDUM	
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES:	
<i>Arkansas v. Oklahoma</i> , 503 U.S. 91 (1992).....	14
<i>Ass’n of Pub. Agency Customers v. Bonneville Power Admin.</i> , 733 F.3d 939 (9th Cir. 2013)	9
<i>Citizens Against Ruining the Env’t v. EPA</i> , 535 F.3d 670 (7th Cir. 2008)	10
<i>Citizens to Preserve Overton Park, Inc. v. Volpe</i> , 401 U.S. 402 (1971), <i>abrogated on other grounds by Califano v. Sanders</i> , 430 U.S. 99 (1977).....	4
<i>Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. U.S. EPA</i> , 847 F.3d 1075 (9th Cir. 2017)	6, 10, 11
<i>Indus. Safety Equip. Ass’n v. EPA</i> , 837 F.2d 1115 (D.C. Cir. 1988).....	6
<i>Iowa League of Cities v. EPA</i> , 711 F.3d 844 (8th Cir. 2013)	10
<i>Lands Council v. McNair</i> , 537 F.3d 981 (9th Cir. 2008)	17
<i>Lujan v. Defs. of Wildlife</i> , 504 U.S. 555 (1992).....	8
<i>Mathews v. Eldridge</i> , 424 U.S. 319 (1976).....	8
<i>Nat’l Family Farm Coal. v. U.S. EPA</i> , 747 F. App’x 646 (9th Cir. 2019)	2
<i>Nat’l Family Farm Coal. v. U.S. EPA</i> , 960 F.3d 1120 (9th Cir. 2020)	<i>passim</i>

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

	Page(s)
<i>Nat'l Wildlife Fed'n v. U.S. Army Corps of Eng'rs</i> , 384 F.3d 1163 (9th Cir. 2004)	15
<i>N. Laramie Range All. v. FERC</i> , 733 F.3d 1030 (10th Cir. 2013)	10
<i>Nw. Requirements Utils. v. FERC</i> , 798 F.3d 796 (9th Cir. 2015)	9
<i>Portland Audubon Soc'y v. Endangered Species Comm.</i> , 984 F.2d 1534 (9th Cir. 1993)	4
<i>Sierra Club v. EPA</i> , 292 F.3d 895 (D.C. Cir. 2002)	9, 10
<i>Sierra Club v. U.S. EPA</i> , 793 F.3d 656 (6th Cir. 2015)	8, 10
<i>United Farm Workers of Am., AFL-CIO v. Am'r, EPA</i> , 592 F.3d 1080 (9th Cir. 2010)	12
<i>Walter O. Boswell Mem'l Hosp. v. Heckler</i> , 749 F.2d 788 (D.C. Cir. 1984)	4
STATUTES:	
5 U.S.C. § 706	4
7 U.S.C. § 136a(a)	1
7 U.S.C. § 136a(c)(1)(C)	1
7 U.S.C. § 136a(c)(5)(D)	14
7 U.S.C. § 136d(b)	14
7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(G)	14, 16
7 U.S.C. § 136l(a)(1)	16

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

	Page(s)
7 U.S.C. § 136l(b)(1)(B)	16
7 U.S.C. § 136n(a)	10
7 U.S.C. § 136n(b)	10, 11, 14
REGULATIONS:	
40 C.F.R. § 23.6	12
40 C.F.R. § 152.3	1
40 C.F.R. § 152.15	1
<i>Judicial Review Under EPA-Administered Statutes; Races to the Courthouse</i> , 50 Fed. Reg. 7268 (Feb. 21, 1985)	12, 13
RULE:	
Fed. R. App. P. 15(a)(2)(C)	5
OTHER AUTHORITY:	
13 Wright & Miller, Federal Practice & Procedure Jurisdiction § 3522 (3d ed. 2020 update)	13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.