

Nos. 19-72109 & 19-72280

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

POLLINATOR STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL, AMERICAN BEEKEEPING
FEDERATION, and JEFFERY S. ANDERSON,

Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, *et al.*,

Respondents,

and

CORTEVA AGRISCIENCE LLC,

Respondent-Intervenor.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
United States Environmental Protection Agency

PETITIONERS' REPLY BRIEF

SURBHI SARANG
Earthjustice
48 Wall Street, 19th Floor
New York, NY 10005
T: 212.845.7376
E: ssarang@earthjustice.org

GREGORY C. LOARIE
Earthjustice
50 California Street, Suite 500
San Francisco, CA 94111
T: 415.217.2000
E: gloarie@earthjustice.org

Counsel for Petitioners

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
ARGUMENT	4
I. The Court Should Address the Merits of Beekeepers’ FIFRA Claims Before Remanding EPA’s Registration Decision.	4
A. EPA Does Not Intend to Reconsider Its Registration Decision.	4
B. Remanding EPA’s Decision Without Reaching the Merits Would Unduly Prejudice Beekeepers.....	6
C. No Intervening Change in Law Warrants Remanding EPA’s Decision Without Reaching the Merits.....	8
II. This Is Not an Exceptional Case Warranting Remand Without Vacatur.	10
A. EPA Committed Many Serious Violations of Law.....	10
1. EPA Still Lacks Sufficient Data to Conduct the Required Assessment of Risk to Bees.	10
2. EPA Lacks Information Necessary to Assess the Cost of Registering Sulfoxaflor’s New Uses.....	16
3. EPA Failed to Provide the Requisite Public Notice and Opportunity for Comment.....	18
4. EPA Violated the “Heart of the ESA.”	20
B. Leaving EPA’s Decision in Place During Remand Would Cause Significant Environmental Harm, and Vacating It Will Not.....	21
1. Honey Bee Populations Are More Precarious than Ever.....	22
2. There Is No Basis for EPA’s Speculation that Vacatur May Cause Growers to Use Even More Harmful Insecticides.	24
a. EPA Lacks Sufficient Data to Assert Sulfoxaflor Is Better for Bees than the Alternatives It Identifies.....	24

b.	Vacatur Is Unlikely to Affect Overall Use of the Alternative Insecticides EPA Identifies.....	26
C.	Vacatur Will Not Cause Significant Economic Disruption.	27
CONCLUSION		30
FORM 8		31
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		32

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Alsea Valley All. v. Dep’t of Com.</i> , 358 F.3d 1181 (9th Cir. 2004)	10
<i>Am. Great Lakes Ports Ass’n v. Schultz</i> , 962 F.3d 510 (D.C. Cir. 2020)	28
<i>Cottonwood Envtl. Law Ctr. v. U.S. Forest Serv.</i> , 789 F.3d 1075 (9th Cir. 2015)	27, 28
<i>Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. EPA</i> , 861 F.3d 174 (D.C. Cir. 2017)	21
<i>Ethyl Corp. v. Browner</i> , 989 F.2d 522 (D.C. Cir. 1993)	5
<i>Humane Soc. v. Locke</i> , 626 F.3d 1040 (9th Cir. 2010)	4
<i>Idaho Farm Bureau Fed’n v. Babbitt</i> , 58 F.3d 1392 (9th Cir. 1995)	21
<i>Karuk Tribe of California v. U.S. Forest Serv.</i> , 681 F.3d 1006 (9th Cir. 2012)	20
<i>League of United Latin Am. Citizens v. Regan</i> , 996 F.3d 673 (9th Cir. 2021)	8, 26
<i>Limnia v. Dep’t of Energy</i> , 857 F.3d 379 (D.C. Cir. 2017)	5
<i>Love v. Thomas</i> , 858 F.2d 1347 (9th Cir. 1988)	17
<i>In re Nat. Res. Def. Council v. EPA</i> , 956 F.3d 1134 (9th Cir. 2020)	8
<i>Nat’l Family Farm Coal. v. EPA</i> , 960 F.3d 1120 (9th Cir. 2020)	3, 9, 16, 17

<i>Nat'l Family Farm Coal. v. EPA</i> , 966 F.3d 893 (9th Cir. 2020)	18
<i>Nat'l Fuel Gas Supply Corp. v. Fed. Energy Reg. Comm'n</i> , 899 F.2d 1244	9
<i>Natural Res. Def. Council v. EPA</i> , 676 F. Supp. 2d 307 (S.D.N.Y. 2009)	18
<i>Natural Res. Def. Council v. EPA</i> , 735 F.3d 873 (9th Cir. 2013)	12
<i>Pollinator Stewardship Council v. EPA</i> , 806 F.3d 520 (9th Cir. 2015)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Sierra Forest Legacy v. Sherman</i> , 646 F.3d 1161 (9th Cir. 2011)	21
<i>Skagit Cty. Pub. Hosp. Dist. No. 2 v. Shalala</i> , 80 F.3d 379 (9th Cir. 1996)	4
<i>Standing Rock Sioux Tribe v. Army Corps of Engineers</i> , 985 F.3d 1032 (D.C. Cir. 2021)	10, 18, 20
<i>Thomas v. Peterson</i> , 753 F.2d 754 (9th Cir. 1985)	20
<i>United Farm Workers v. EPA</i> , 592 F.3d 1080 (9th Cir. 2010)	8
<i>United States v. Houser</i> , 804 F.2d 565 (9th Cir. 1986)	2
<i>Util. Solid Waste Activities Grp. v. EPA</i> , 901 F.3d 414 (D.C. Cir. 2018)	6
Statutes	
7 U.S.C. 136n	4, 7
7 U.S.C. § 136(bb)	3
7 U.S.C. § 136a(c)(4)	18, 19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.