

20-15742

---

---

IN THE  
**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

---

---

IN RE: COCA-COLA PRODUCTS MARKETING  
AND SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION (NO. II)

---

GEORGE ENGURASOFF; JOSHUA OGDEN; PAUL MERRITT;  
YOCHEVED LAZAROFF; RACHEL DUBE; RONALD SOWIZROL;  
MICHELLE MARINO; THOMAS WOODS,  
*Plaintiffs-Appellees,*

—v.—

COCA-COLA REFRESHMENTS USA, INC.; THE COCA-COLA COMPANY;  
BCI COCA-COLA BOTTLING COMPANY; COCA COLA BOTTLING  
COMPANY OF SONORA, CALIFORNIA, INC.,  
*Defendants-Appellants.*

---

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

---

---

**REPLY BRIEF FOR DEFENDANTS-APPELLANTS**

---

STEVEN A. ZALESIN  
JANE METCALF  
KADE N. OLSEN  
PATTERSON BELKNAP WEBB  
& TYLER LLP  
1133 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 336-2000  
*Attorneys for Defendants-Appellants*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	ii
INTRODUCTION .....	1
ARGUMENT .....	3
I. PLAINTIFFS LACK STANDING TO SEEK INJUNCTIVE RELIEF .....	3
A. Plaintiffs Can Show No Risk Of Future Confusion.....	5
1. <i>Davidson</i> Requires A Showing Of Potential Future Product Changes To Confer Standing .....	5
2. Plaintiffs Cannot Make The Showing Required By <i>Davidson</i> .....	9
B. Plaintiffs Face No Risk Of Injury Because The Disputed Product Feature Does Not Matter To Them.....	13
C. Plaintiffs Cannot Establish That Any Claimed Injury Is Imminent .....	15
II. THE DISTRICT COURT ABUSED ITS DISCRETION IN FINDING COMMONALITY .....	18
CONCLUSION.....	22
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	24
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE .....	25

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Adams v. Starbucks Corp.</i> , No. 20-cv-00225, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 131380 (C.D. Cal. July 9, 2020) .....	7
<i>Bassett v. ABM Parking Services, Inc.</i> , 883 F.3d 776 (9th Cir. 2018) .....	14
<i>Berni v. Barilla S.p.A.</i> , 964 F.3d 141 (2d Cir. 2020) .....	8
<i>Bruton v. Gerber Products Co.</i> , No. 15-15174, 2017 U.S. App. LEXIS 12833 (9th Cir. July 17, 2017) .....	20
<i>Clapper v. Amnesty Int'l USA</i> , 568 U.S. 398 (2013).....	16, 17
<i>Conrad v. Boiron, Inc.</i> , 869 F.3d 536 (7th Cir. 2017) .....	9
<i>Davidson v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.</i> , 889 F.3d 956 (9th Cir. 2018) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Dutta v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co.</i> , 895 F.3d 1166 (9th Cir. 2018) .....	14
<i>Ellis v. Costco Wholesale Corp.</i> , 657 F.3d 970 (9th Cir. 2011) .....	19
<i>Lanovaz v. Twinings N. Am., Inc.</i> , 726 F. App'x 590 (9th Cir. 2018) .....	16, 17
<i>Lilly v. Jamba Juice Co.</i> , No. 13-cv-02998, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 34498 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 18, 2015) .....	8

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES  
(CONTINUED)**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Nguyen v. Lotus by Johnny Dung Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-1317, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 78033 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 7, 2019) .....	17
<i>Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife</i> , 504 U.S. 555 (1992).....	14
<i>McNair v. Synapse Grp. Inc.</i> , 672 F.3d 213 (3d Cir. 2012) .....	9
<i>Moore v. Mars Petcare US, Inc.</i> , 966 F.3d 1007 (9th Cir. 2020) .....	9, 13, 14
<i>Nelsen v. King County</i> , 895 F.2d 1248 (9th Cir. 1990) .....	3, 10
<i>Perez v. Nidek Co.</i> , 711 F.3d 1109 (9th Cir. 2013) .....	20
<i>Pizana v. Sanmedica Int’l LLC</i> , No. 18-cv-00644, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 169372 (E.D. Cal. Sep. 30, 2019) .....	8
<i>Prescott v. Bayer Healthcare LLC</i> , No. 20-cv-00102, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 136651 (N.D. Cal. July 31, 2020) .....	7
<i>Smith v. Keurig Green Mountain</i> , No. 18-cv-06690, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 172826 (N.D. Cal. Sep. 21, 2020) .....	7
<i>Spokeo, Inc. v. Robins</i> , 136 S. Ct. 1540 (2016).....	14
<i>Tucker v. Post Consumer Brands, LLC</i> , No. 19-cv-3993, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 71090 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 21, 2020) .....	11

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES  
(CONTINUED)**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes</i> , 564 U.S. 338 (2011).....	18, 19, 20
<i>Walker v. Life Ins. Co. of the Sw.</i> , 953 F.3d 624 (9th Cir. 2020) .....	19
<i>Wisdom v. Easton Diamond Sports, LLC</i> , No. 18-cv-4078, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24500 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 11, 2019) .....	17
<b>Rules</b>	
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 .....	<i>passim</i>
<b>Other Authorities</b>	
Shari S. Diamond, <i>Reference Guide on Survey Research</i> , in <i>Reference Manual on Scientific Evidence</i> (3d ed. 2011).....	21

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.