

No. 20-16758

---

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

---

XAVIER BECERRA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,  
Defendants/Appellants,

v.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF WHEAT GROWERS, et al.,  
Plaintiffs/Appellees.

---

On Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of California

Nos. 2:17-cv-02401-WBS-EFB  
(Hon. William B. Shubb)

---

**OPENING BRIEF OF APPELLANT XAVIER  
BECERRA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE  
OF CALIFORNIA**

---

XAVIER BECERRA  
Attorney General of the State of  
California  
DAVID A. ZONANA  
Acting Senior Assistant Attorney  
General  
DENNIS A. RAGEN  
Acting Supervising Deputy  
Attorney General

ANDREW J. WIENER  
LAURA J. ZUCKERMAN  
Deputy Attorneys General  
State Bar No. 161896  
1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor  
Oakland, CA 94612-0550  
Telephone: (510) 879-1299  
Fax: (510) 622-2270  
Email:  
Laura.Zuckerman@doj.ca.gov

*Attorneys for Defendant and  
Appellant Xavier Becerra,  
Attorney General of the State of  
California*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION .....	1
JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT .....	5
STATEMENT OF ISSUE PRESENTED.....	5
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	6
I.    STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BACKGROUND.....	6
A.    The Listing Mechanisms.....	8
B.    The Warning Requirement.....	11
1.    The “Safe Harbor” No Significant Risk Level .....	12
2.    Warning Language .....	13
3.    Proposition 65 Enforcement.....	16
II.   FACTUAL BACKGROUND .....	17
A.    The International Agency for Research on Cancer .....	17
B.    Reliance on IARC by U.S. Federal and State Government Entities .....	19
C.    IARC’s 2015 Classification of Glyphosate as a Carcinogen.....	22
D.    OEHHA’s Placement of Glyphosate on the Proposition 65 List.....	24
E.    OEHHA’s Establishment of a No Significant Risk Level for Glyphosate. ....	25
F.    There Is No Evidence in the Record that Glyphosate Warnings Would Be Required for Food Products. ....	27
G.    EPA’s and Other Regulatory Agencies’ Conclusions.....	28
H.    Recent Court Rulings and Jury Verdicts .....	35

III.	PROCEDURAL HISTORY .....	39
A.	Preliminary Injunction .....	39
B.	Summary Judgment .....	40
	SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	43
	STANDARD OF REVIEW .....	45
	ARGUMENT .....	46
I.	DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR COMMERCIAL SPEAKERS ARE SUBJECT TO REDUCED SCRUTINY UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT.....	47
II.	A GLYPHOSATE WARNING REQUIRED BY PROPOSITION 65 CAN COMPLY WITH THE FIRST AMENDMENT. ....	48
A.	The Attorney General’s Proposed Warning for Glyphosate Complies with <i>Zauderer</i> and <i>CTIA II</i> . ....	51
1.	The Warning is Purely Factual.....	54
2.	The Warning Is Uncontroversial. ....	58
3.	The Warning Is Not Misleading.....	61
4.	The Warning Is Reasonably Related to the State’s Substantial Interest in Protecting Public Health and Safety. ....	67
5.	The Warning Is Neither Unjustified Nor Unduly Burdensome.....	70
B.	The Proposed Warning in this Case Also Complies with Central Hudson. ....	74
	CONCLUSION.....	77
	STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES.....	79
	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	80
	STATUTORY ADDENDUM .....	81

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>AFL-CIO v. Deukmejian</i> 212 Cal. App. 3d 425 (Cal. Ct. App. 1989).....	6, 9, 10, 11
<i>Am. Chemistry Council v. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment</i> 55 Cal. App. 5th 1113 (Cal. Ct. App. 2020).....	9, 30
<i>Am. Meat Inst. v. U.S. Dep’t of Agric.</i> 760 F.3d (D.C. Cir. 2014).....	75
<i>American Beverage Ass’n v. City &amp; County of San Francisco</i> 916 F.3d 749 (9th Cir. 2019) (en banc) .....	48, 51, 71, 75
<i>Arizonans for Official English v. Arizona</i> 520 U.S. 43 (1997).....	46
<i>Baxter Healthcare Corp. v. Denton</i> 120 Cal. App. 4th 333 (2004) .....	16
<i>Bd. of Trs. of State Univ. of N.Y. v. Fox</i> 492 U.S. 469 (1989).....	47, 75
<i>Central Hudson Gas &amp; Electric Corp. v. Public Service Commission of New York</i> 447 U.S. 557 (1980).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>CTIA-The Wireless Ass’n v. City of Berkeley</i> 928 F.3d 832 (9th Cir. 2019), ( <i>CTIA II</i> ) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Def. of Wildlife v. Zinke</i> 856 F.3d 1248 (9th Cir. 2017) .....	45
<i>Dowhal v. SmithKline-Beecham Consumer Healthcare</i> 32 Cal. 4th 910 (Cal. 2004) .....	13

...

<i>Ellis v. Bhd. of Ry., Airline &amp; S.S. Clerks, Freight Handlers, Exp. &amp; Station Employees</i> 466 U.S. 435 (1984).....	46
<i>Environmental Law Found. v. Wykle Research, Inc.</i> 134 Cal. App. 4th 60 (Cal. Ct. App. 2005).....	13
<i>ExxonMobil Corp. v. OEHHA</i> 169 Cal. App. 4th 1264 (Cal. Ct. App. 2009).....	54
<i>Hardeman v. Monsanto Co.</i> Ninth Circuit Case No. 19-16253 .....	37
<i>In Re Roundup Prods. Liab. Litig. (Hardeman v. Monsanto Co.)</i> 385 F. Supp. 3d 1042 (N.D. Cal. 2019).....	35, 37, 38
<i>In re Roundup Products Liability Litigation</i> 390 F. Supp. 3d 1102 (N.D. Cal. 2018).....	30
<i>Ingredient Communication Council v. Lungren</i> 2 Cal. App. 4th 1480 (Cal. Ct. App. 1992).....	12, 14
<i>Johnson v. Monsanto Company</i> 52 Cal. App. 5th 434 (Cal. Ct. App. 2020).....	18, 35, 36, 39, 64
<i>L. F. v. Lake Wash. Sch. Dist.</i> #414, 947 F.3d 621 (9th Cir. 2020).....	45
<i>Legislature v. Deukmejian</i> 34 Cal. 3d 658 (Cal. 1983) .....	7
<i>Lorillard Tobacco Co. v. Reilly</i> 533 U.S. 525 (2001).....	74
<i>Monsanto Company v. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment</i> 22 Cal. App. 5th 534 (Cal. Ct. App. 2018).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Nat’l Elec. Mfrs. Ass’n v. Sorrell</i> 272 F.3d 104 (2d Cir. 2001) .....	59, 68

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.