

No. 20-16758

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF WHEAT GROWERS, ET AL.,
Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

ROB BONTA,* ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA, ET AL.,
Defendants-Appellants.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the
Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-02401-WBS-EFB
Honorable William B. Shubb

ANSWERING BRIEF OF PLAINTIFFS-APPELLEES
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF WHEAT GROWERS, ET AL.

Catherine L. Hanaway
Matthew P. Diehr
Natalie R. Holden
HUSCH BLACKWELL LLP
190 Carondelet Plaza, Suite 600
St. Louis, Missouri 63105
Telephone: (314) 480-1903
*Attorneys for Appellees National Assoc.
of Wheat Growers, National Corn
Growers Assoc., U.S. Durum Growers
Assoc., Monsanto Company, Missouri
Farm Bureau, Iowa Soybean Assoc.,
South Dakota Agri-Business Assoc.,
North Dakota Grain Growers Assoc.,
Missouri Chamber of Commerce and
Industry, Agribusiness Assoc. of Iowa,
and Associated Industries of Missouri*

Richard P. Bress
Philip J. Perry
Andrew D. Prins
Tyce R. Walters
Nicholas L. Schlossman
Ryan S. Baasch
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
555 Eleventh Street, NW, Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: (202) 637-2200
*Attorneys for Appellees Monsanto
Company and CropLife America*

(additional counsel on signature page)

* Following Defendant Xavier Becerra's confirmation as HHS Secretary, Rob Bonta was confirmed as the Attorney General of California on April 22, 2021. See Fed. R.

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1, Defendants-Appellees make the following disclosures.

Defendants-Appellees National Association of Wheat Growers, National Corn Growers Association, U.S. Durum Growers Association, Missouri Farm Bureau Federation, Iowa Soybean Association, South Dakota Agri-Business Association, North Dakota Grain Growers Association, Missouri Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Agribusiness Association of Iowa, Associated Industries of Missouri, CropLife America, Western Plant Health Association, and Agricultural Retailers Association have no parent corporations and no publicly held corporations own 10% or more of any of these Defendants-Appellees' stock.

Defendant-Appellee Monsanto Company is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Bayer AG. Bayer AG is a publicly held corporation. No other publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of Monsanto Company's stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
INTRODUCTION	1
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES.....	6
STATEMENT OF ADDENDUM	6
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION.....	6
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	7
A. GLYPHOSATE IS APPROVED IN THE UNITED STATES AS A PESTICIDE, INCLUDING FOR USE ON CROPS AND FOOD INPUTS	7
B. THE INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC CONSENSUS THAT GLYPHOSATE DOES NOT CAUSE CANCER	8
C. IARC’S CONTRARY VIEW, AND THE GLOBAL REPUDIATION OF THAT VIEW.....	10
D. PROPOSITION 65	15
E. OEHHA’S GLYPHOSATE LISTING AND NSRL	19
F. PROCEDURAL HISTORY	20
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	25
STANDARD OF REVIEW	27
ARGUMENT	27
I. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL DOES NOT CONTEST THE DISTRICT COURT’S HOLDING THAT THE CORE PROPOSITION 65 WARNING VIOLATES THE FIRST AMENDMENT AS APPLIED TO GLYPHOSATE	28
II. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL’S ALTERNATIVE WARNING IS A RED HERRING.....	29
A. THE PROPER FOCUS OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT INQUIRY IS THE CORE WARNING COMPELLED BY PROPOSITION 65	29

	Page
B. CALIFORNIA’S WARNING DOES NOT COMPLY WITH PROPOSITION 65	32
1. Proposition 65 requires a warning that the chemical at issue causes cancer.....	32
2. The Attorney General cannot evade these established standards.....	35
3. If Proposition 65’s warning requirement were as indeterminate as the Attorney General now contends, it would be unconstitutional for other reasons	37
III. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL’S ALTERNATIVE WARNING ALSO VIOLATES THE FIRST AMENDMENT	39
A. THE ALTERNATIVE WARNING IS NOT ELIGIBLE FOR REVIEW UNDER <i>ZAUDERER</i>	39
1. The <i>Zauderer</i> standard of review applies only to purely factual and uncontroversial warnings	39
2. The district court correctly held that the Alternative Warning is ineligible for review under the <i>Zauderer</i> standard because it is misleading and, at a minimum, controversial.....	42
3. The Attorney General’s other efforts to evade heightened scrutiny are meritless	57
B. THE ALTERNATIVE WARNING FAILS <i>CENTRAL HUDSON</i> REVIEW	61
1. Requiring Plaintiffs to convey the Alternative Warning would not advance a substantial government interest	62
2. Compelling the Alternative Warning would burden more speech than necessary	65
CONCLUSION.....	67

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>American Beverage Association v. City & County of San Francisco</i> (<i>ABA I</i>), 871 F.3d 884 (9th Cir. 2017)	27, 40, 53
<i>American Beverage Association v. City & County of San Francisco</i> (<i>ABA II</i>), 916 F.3d 749 (9th Cir. 2019)	41, 46, 61, 66
<i>American Meat Institute v. United States Department of Agriculture</i> (<i>AMI</i>), 760 F.3d 18 (D.C. Cir. 2014).....	39, 40, 51, 53, 55
<i>Amidon v. Student Association of SUNY</i> , 508 F.3d 94 (2d Cir. 2007)	51
<i>Baxter Healthcare Corp. v. Denton</i> , 120 Cal. App. 4th 333 (2004)	49
<i>California Chamber of Commerce v. Becerra</i> , No. 2:19-cv-02019-KJM-EFB, 2021 WL 1193829 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 30, 2021)	38, 48
<i>California Teachers Association v. State Board of Education</i> , 271 F.3d 1141, 1150 (9th Cir. 2001)	38
<i>Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp. v. Public Service Commission</i> , 447 U.S. 557 (1980).....	4, 61
<i>Consumer Cause, Inc. v. Mrs. Gooch’s Natural Food Markets, Inc.</i> , 127 Cal. App. 4th 387 (2005)	17
<i>Consumer Cause, Inc. v. SmileCare</i> , 91 Cal. App. 4th 454 (2001)	17, 19
<i>Consumer Defense Group v. Rental Housing Industry Members</i> , 137 Cal. App. 4th 1185 (2006).....	17, 18

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.