

No. 20-55631

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

NATIONAL PORK PRODUCERS COUNCIL & AMERICAN FARM
BUREAU FEDERATION,
Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

KAREN ROSS, et al.,
Defendants-Appellees,

&

ANIMAL LEGAL DEFENSE FUND, et al.,
Intervenors-Defendants-Appellees.

On Appeal from a Judgment of the United States District Court for
the Southern District of California,
No. 3:19-cv-02324-W-AHG (Hon. Thomas J. Whelan)

PLAINTIFFS-APPELLANTS' REPLY BRIEF

Dan Himmelfarb
Colleen M. Campbell
MAYER BROWN LLP
1999 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 263-3000
Facsimile: (202) 263-3300
dhimmelfarb@mayerbrown.com

Timothy S. Bishop
Brett E. Legner
MAYER BROWN LLP
71 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: (312) 782-0600
Facsimile: (312) 701-7711
tbishop@mayerbrown.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Appellants

Additional Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Appellants

Listed on Signature Page

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTRODUCTION.....	1
ARGUMENT.....	7
I. Plaintiffs Have Adequately Alleged That Proposition 12 Is An Impermissible Extraterritorial Regulation.....	7
A. Proposition 12 regulates wholly out-of-state conduct.....	8
B. Proposition 12 regulates extraterritorially because it impermissibly intrudes into the operations of out-of-state businesses.	28
C. Proposition 12 subjects pork producers to inconsistent regulations.....	32
II. Plaintiffs Have Adequately Alleged That Proposition 12 Imposes An Excessive Burden On Interstate Commerce In Relation To Its Putative Local Benefits.....	34
A. Proposition 12 substantially burdens interstate commerce.....	34
B. Proposition 12's burden on interstate commerce far exceeds its illusory local benefits	40
CONCLUSION	43

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009)	2
<i>Association des Eleveurs de Canards et d'Oies du Quebec v. Harris</i> , 729 F.3d 937 (9th Cir. 2013)	24, 40
<i>Baldwin v. G.A.F. Seelig, Inc.</i> , 294 U.S. 511 (1935)	16
<i>Brown-Forman Distillers Corp. v. N.Y. State Liquor Auth.</i> , 476 U.S. 573 (1986)	13, 17
<i>C & A Carbone, Inc. v. Town of Clarkstown</i> , 511 U.S. 383 (1994)	41
<i>Chinatown Neighborhood Ass'n v. Harris</i> , 794 F.3d 1136 (9th Cir. 2015)	23, 24
<i>Daniels Sharpsmart, Inc. v. Smith</i> , 889 F.3d 608 (9th Cir. 2018)	11, 13
<i>Exxon Corp. v. Governor of Maryland</i> , 437 U.S. 117 (1978)	18
<i>Greater Los Angeles Agency on Deafness, Inc. v. Cable News Network, Inc.</i> , 742 F.3d 414 (9th Cir. 2014)	25, 27
<i>Harris v. Cnty. of Orange</i> , 682 F.3d 1126 (9th Cir. 2012)	3
<i>Healy v. Beer Inst.</i> , 491 U.S. 324 (1989)	<i>passim</i>

<i>Herrera v. Zumiez</i> , 953 F.3d 1063 (9th Cir. 2020)	8
<i>Jacobson v. AEG Capital Corp.</i> , 50 F.3d 1493 (9th Cir. 1995)	8, 11
<i>Kassel v. Consol. Freightways Corp. of Del.</i> , 450 U.S. 662 (1981)	35, 42
<i>Legato Vapors, LLC v. Cook</i> , 847 F.3d 825 (7th Cir. 2017)	28, 30
<i>National Ass’n of Optometrists & Opticians v. Harris</i> , 682 F.3d 1144 (9th Cir. 2012)	18
<i>NCAA v. Miller</i> , 10 F.3d 633 (9th Cir. 1993)	11, 20
<i>N. Am. Meat Inst. v. Becerra</i> , 420 F. Supp. 3d 1014 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 22, 2019)	4
<i>N. Am. Meat Inst. v. Becerra</i> , 825 Fed. App’x 518 (9th Cir. 2020)	4
<i>Pac. Merch. Shipping Ass’n v. Goldstene</i> , 639 F.3d 1154 (9th Cir. 2011)	34
<i>Pac. Nw. Venison Producers v. Smitch</i> , 20 F.3d 1008 (9th Cir. 1994)	34
<i>Pharm. Rsch. and Mfrs. of Am. v. Walsh</i> , 538 U.S. 644 (2003)	15, 16
<i>Pike v. Bruce Church, Inc.</i> , 397 U.S. 137 (1970)	1, 34, 40, 41
<i>Rocky Mountain Farmers Union v. Corey</i> , 730 F.3d 1070 (9th Cir. 2013)	17
<i>Rocky Mountain Farmers Union v. Corey</i> , 913 F.3d 940 (9th Cir. 2019)	17

Rosenblatt v. City of Santa Monica,
940 F.3d 439 (9th 2019) 36, 37

S.D. Myers, Inc. v. City & Cnty. of S.F.,
253 F.3d 461 (9th Cir. 2001) 34

Statutes

Ind. Code § 16-44-2-8(b) 32

Ind. Code § 16-44-2-11(a) 32

Mont. Code Ann. § 80-5-403 31

Other Authorities

Andrew S. Bowman et al., *Influenza A (H3N2) Virus in Swine at Agricultural Fairs and Transmission to Humans*, 23(9) Emerging Infectious Diseases 1551 (2017)..... 40

Hormel Foods, Annual Report (Form 10-K) (Oct 25, 2020),
<http://d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net/CIK-0000048465/049e9679-5c2b-48fc-a67f-945fdc05db1f.pdf>..... 11

Ohio Admin. Code 901:12-8-02(G)(4) 33

Ohio Admin. Code 901:12-8-02(G)(5) 33

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.