

No. 20-55631

IN THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

NATIONAL PORK PRODUCERS COUNCIL & AMERICAN FARM
BUREAU FEDERATION,
Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

KAREN ROSS, *ET AL.*,
Defendants-Appellees.

&

ANIMAL LEGAL DEFENSE FUND, *ET AL.*,
Intervenors-Defendants-Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the
Southern District of California
No. 3:19-cv-02324-W-AHG
Hon. Thomas J. Whelan

**BRIEF OF INDIANA, ALABAMA, ALASKA, ARKAN-
SAS, GEORGIA, IOWA, KANSAS, LOUISIANA, MIS-
SOURI, MONTANA, NEBRASKA, NORTH DAKOTA,
OHIO, OKLAHOMA, SOUTH CAROLINA, SOUTH
DAKOTA, TEXAS, UTAH, WEST VIRGINIA, AND
WYOMING AS *AMICI CURIAE* IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS-APPELLANTS**

Office of the Attorney General
302 W. Washington Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204
(317) 924-3005
Tom.Fisher@atg.in.gov
*Counsel of Record

CURTIS T. HILL, JR.
Attorney General of Indiana
THOMAS M. FISHER*
Solicitor General
KIAN J. HUDSON
Deputy Solicitor General
JULIA C. PAYNE
Deputy Attorney General

Counsel for Amici States

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTRODUCTION AND INTEREST OF <i>AMICI</i> STATES	1
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	3
ARGUMENT	6
I. Proposition 12 Violates the Commerce Clause Because It Imposes California’s Policies on Out-of-State Conduct.....	6
II. Proposition 12 Threatens State Sovereignty.....	15
CONCLUSION	22
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	25
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.....	26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Am. Bev. Ass’n v. Snyder</i> , 735 F.3d 362 (6th Cir. 2013).....	13
<i>Ass’n des Eleveurs de Canards et d’Oies du Quebec v. Harris</i> , 729 F.3d 937 (9th Cir. 2013).....	9
<i>Ass’n for Accessible Medicines v. Frosh</i> , 887 F.3d 664 (4th Cir. 2018).....	12
<i>Baldwin v. G.A.F. Seelig, Inc.</i> , 294 U.S. 511 (1935).....	19
<i>Brown-Forman Distillers Corp. v. N.Y. State Liquor Auth.</i> , 476 U.S. 573 (1986).....	7
<i>C & A Carbone v. Town of Clarkstown</i> , 511 U.S. 383 (1994).....	7, 8, 11
<i>Chinatown Neighborhood Ass’n v. Harris</i> , 794 F.3d 1136 (9th Cir. 2015).....	9
<i>Daniels Sharpsmart, Inc. v. Smith</i> , 889 F.3d 608 (9th Cir. 2018).....	8, 9, 16
<i>Healy v. Beer Inst., Inc.</i> , 491 U.S. 324 (1989).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Hughes v. Oklahoma</i> , 441 U.S. 322 (1979).....	3, 4
<i>NCAA v. Miller</i> , 10 F.3d 633 (9th Cir. 1993).....	10, 11, 12
<i>La. Pub. Serv. Comm’n v. Tex. & N.O.R. Co.</i> , 284 U.S. 125 (1931).....	3
<i>Legato Vapors, LLC v. Cook</i> , 847 F.3d 825 (7th Cir. 2017).....	12, 13

::

CASES [CONT'D]

Maine v. Taylor,
477 U.S. 131 (1986)..... 3

Nat’l Foreign Trade Council v. Natsios,
181 F.3d 38 (1st Cir. 1999) 13

New State Ice Co. v. Liebmann,
285 U.S. 262 (1932)..... 20

North Dakota v. Heydinger,
825 F.3d 912 (8th Cir. 2016)..... 12, 13, 18

Rocky Mountain Farmers Union v. Corey,
913 F.3d 940 (9th Cir. 2019)..... 9

Sam Francis Foundation v. Christies, Inc.,
784 F.3d 1320 (9th Cir. 2015)..... 9

Tenn. Wine & Spirits Retailers Ass’n. v. Thomas,
139 S. Ct. 2449 (2019)..... 3

STATUTES

Cal. Health & Saf. Code § 25990..... 1

Cal. Health & Saf. Code § 25991..... 17

Cal. Health & Saf. Code § 25993..... 19

Mass. Gen. Laws Chapter S51A, §§ 1–5 17

Mass. Gen. Laws Chapter S51A, § 3..... 18

Me. Rev. Stat. Title 7, § 4020(2)..... 17

Mich. Comp. Laws § 287.746(2) 17

4 R.I. Gen. Laws. § 4-1.1-3 17

OTHER AUTHORITIES

- Brian Ford, Purdue College of Agriculture, *Swine Unit*,
<https://ag.purdue.edu/ansc/ASREC/Pages/SwineUnit.aspx> 15
- Cal. Dep't of Food and Agric., Draft Art. 5. (Jul. 22, 2020),
[https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/ahfss/pdfs/
Article5CertificationDRAFT07222020.pdf](https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/ahfss/pdfs/Article5CertificationDRAFT07222020.pdf)..... 1, 19, 20
- Elizabeth R. Rumley, The National Agricultural Law Center,
States' Farm Animal Confinement Statutes, [https://na-
tionalaglawcenter.org/state-compilations/farm-animal-
welfare/](https://nationalaglawcenter.org/state-compilations/farm-animal-welfare/)..... 17
- Nat'l Pork Bd., *State Rankings by Hogs and Pigs Inventory*
(Jun. 14, 2018) [https://www.pork.org/facts/stats/structure-
and-productivity/state-rankings-by-hogs-and-pigs-
inventory/](https://www.pork.org/facts/stats/structure-and-productivity/state-rankings-by-hogs-and-pigs-inventory/) 14

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.