

No. 20-70272, 20-70464

---

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

---

CENTER FOR COMMUNITY ACTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE;  
SIERRA CLUB; TEAMSTERS LOCAL 1932; SHANA SATERS; AND MARTHA  
ROMERO,

*Petitioners,*

v.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION; AND STEPHEN M. DICKSON, IN  
HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE FEDERAL AVIATION  
ADMINISTRATION,

*Respondents,*

EASTGATE BLDG 1, LLC; AND SAN BERNARDINO INTERNATIONAL  
AIRPORT AUTHORITY,

*Intervenors.*

[Consolidated Case Caption on Following Cover Page]

---

**On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Federal Aviation Administration**

---

**PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC  
BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

---

ROB BONTA  
*Attorney General of California*  
EDWARD H. OCHOA  
*Senior Assistant Attorney General*  
CHRISTIE VOSBURG  
*Supervising Deputy Attorney General*  
YUTING YVONNE CHI  
*Deputy Attorney General*

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor  
P.O. Box 70550  
Oakland, CA 94612-0550  
(510) 879-3298  
Yuting.Chi@doj.ca.gov  
*Attorneys for the State of California*

January 3, 2022

---

---

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, BY AND THROUGH ROB BONTA, IN HIS OFFICIAL  
CAPACITY AS ATTORNEY GENERAL,  
*Petitioner,*

v.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION; STEPHEN M. DICKSON, IN HIS  
OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE FEDERAL AVIATION  
ADMINISTRATION, AND SAN BERNARDINO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT  
AUTHORITY,  
*Respondents.*

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
Introduction and Rule 35 statement.....	1
Statement of the case.....	4
A.    The Eastgate air cargo project .....	4
B.    Procedural background .....	7
Reasons for granting rehearing en banc .....	9
I.    The panel’s creation of a new burden of proof is inconsistent with Ninth Circuit precedent.....	9
II.   The panel’s approval of flawed agency environmental analysis where impacts are uncertain and controversial conflicts with Ninth Circuit precedent.....	13
III.  This case presents questions of exceptional importance.....	16
Conclusion.....	19

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<b>Page</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Anderson v. Evans</i>	
371 F.3d 475 (9th Cir. 2004).....	3, 13, 14
<i>Bark v. U.S. Forest Serv.</i>	
958 F.3d 865 (9th Cir. 2020).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Barnes v. U.S. Dep’t of Transp.</i>	
655 F.3d 1124 (9th Cir. 2011).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Blue Mountains Biodiversity Project v. Blackwood</i>	
161 F.3d 1208 (9th Cir. 1998).....	13, 18
<i>Found. for N. Am. Wild Sheep v. U.S. Dep’t of Agric.</i>	
681 F.2d 1172 (9th Cir. 1982).....	2, 10, 16
<i>Klamath-Siskiyou Wildlands Ctr. v. Bureau of Land Mgmt.</i>	
387 F.3d 989 (9th Cir. 2004).....	11, 12, 16
<i>Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass’n of U.S. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins.</i>	
463 U.S. 29 (1983).....	13
<i>Nat’l Parks &amp; Conservation Ass’n v. U.S. Dep’t of Transp.</i>	
222 F.3d 677 (9th Cir. 2000).....	11
<i>Ocean Advocs. v. Army Corps of Eng’rs</i>	
402 F.3d 846 (9th Cir. 2005).....	11
<i>Robertson v. Methow Valley Citizens Council</i>	
490 U.S. 332 (1985).....	16, 18
<i>Te-Moak Tribe of the W. Shoshone of Nev. v. U.S. Dep’t of Interior</i>	
608 F.3d 592 (9th Cir. 2010).....	<i>passim</i>

..

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
**(continued)**

**Page**

**STATUTES**

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)  
42 U.S.C. § 4321 *et seq.* .....1

**CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS**

40 C.F.R. § 1500.1(a) .....16  
40 C.F.R. § 1500.1(b) .....3, 18  
40 C.F.R. § 1500.1(c) .....16, 18

**COURT RULES**

Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure

Rule 28(j) .....15  
Rule 35(b)(1)(A) .....1  
Rule 35(b)(1)(B).....1

...

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.