

No. 20-70747

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

---

CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY,

*Petitioner,*

v.

UNITED STATES FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, *et al.*,

*Respondents,*

and

IMPOSSIBLE FOODS INC.,

*Intervenor-Respondent.*

---

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW FROM THE UNITED STATES  
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

---

**PETITIONER'S COMBINED REPLY BRIEF**

---

CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY  
Ryan D. Talbott  
Sylvia Shih-Yau Wu  
2009 NE Alberta St., Suite 207  
Portland, OR 97211  
T: (971) 271-7372  
rdtalbott@centerforfoodsafety.org  
swu@centerforfoodsafety.org

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	ii
INTRODUCTION .....	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	2
ARGUMENT .....	8
I.    CFS HAS STANDING .....	8
II.   FDA FAILED TO APPLY THE CONVINCING EVIDENCE STANDARD TO IMPOSSIBLE FOODS’ COLOR ADDITIVE PETITION. ....	15
III.  FDA FAILED TO SUPPORT ITS DECISION WITH SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE.....	22
A.   The estimated exposure and novelty of soy leghemoglobin should have prompted rigorous testing.....	23
B.   Impossible Foods’ 28-day rat-feeding study with only ten animals per sex per dosage group did not meet FDA’s <i>minimum</i> requirements for subchronic toxicity studies. ....	28
C.   History of consumption of soy and soy leghemoglobin does not constitute substantial evidence. ....	34
D.   FDA and Impossible Foods present no substantial evidence for claim that soy leghemoglobin is “rapidly digested” in the stomach. ....	35
IV.  THE COURT SHOULD VACATE FDA’S DECISION. ....	38
CONCLUSION .....	44

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>Federal Cases</b>	
<i>All. for the Wild Rockies v. U.S. Forest Serv.</i> , 907 F.3d 1105 (9th Cir. 2018).....	39, 42, 43
<i>Altamirano v. Gonzales</i> , 427 F.3d 586 (9th Cir. 2005).....	33
<i>Amoco Prod. Co. v. Village of Gambell</i> , 480 U.S. 531 (1987).....	42
<i>Bowen v. Georgetown Univ. Hosp.</i> , 488 U.S. 204 (1988).....	32
<i>Citizens for Better Forestry v. U.S. Dep't of Agric.</i> , 341 F.3d 961 (9th Cir. 2003).....	8, 9
<i>Clapper v. Amnesty Int'l USA</i> , 568 U.S. 398 (2013).....	13, 14
<i>Food &amp; Water Watch v. Vilsack</i> , 808 F.3d 905 (D.C. Cir. 2015).....	13, 14
<i>Friends of the Earth v. Laidlaw Emtl. Servs.</i> , 528 U.S. 167 (2000).....	10
<i>Humane Soc'y of U.S. v. Locke</i> , 626 F.3d 1040 (9th Cir. 2010).....	38
<i>Idaho Farm Bureau Fed'n v. Babbitt</i> , 58 F.3d 1392 (9th Cir. 1995).....	39
<i>Lujan v. Defs. of Wildlife</i> , 504 U.S. 555 (1992).....	12

<b>Federal Cases (Cont'd)</b>	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>McIlwain v. Hayes</i> , 690 F.2d 1041 (D.C. Cir. 1982).....	19, 20
<i>Monsanto Co. v. Geertson Seed Farms</i> , 561 U.S. 139 (2010).....	13
<i>Nat. Res. Def. Council v. U.S. E.P.A.</i> , 735 F.3d 873 (9th Cir. 2013).....	10, 14, 29, 30
<i>Nat'l Family Farm Coal. v. EPA</i> , 960 F.3d 1120 (9th Cir. 2020).....	39, 43
<i>Nw. Coal. for Alts. to Pesticides v. U.S. E.P.A.</i> , 544 F.3d 1043 (9th Cir. 2008).....	17, 18
<i>Nw. Envtl. Def. Ctr. v. Bonneville Power Admin.</i> , 117 F.3d 1520 (9th Cir. 1997).....	11
<i>Nw. Requirements Utils. v. FERC</i> , 798 F.3d 796 (9th Cir. 2015).....	15
<i>Ocean Advocs. v. U.S. Army Corps of Eng'rs</i> , 402 F.3d 846 (9th Cir. 2005).....	10
<i>Pollinator Stewardship Council v. EPA</i> , 806 F.3d 520 (9th Cir. 2015).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Public Citizen v. Young</i> , 831 F.2d 1108 (D.C. Cir.1987).....	21
<i>San Luis &amp; Delta-Mendota Water Auth. v. Jewell</i> , 747 F.3d 581 (9th Cir. 2014).....	36, 37
<i>WildEarth Guardians v. U.S. Dep't of Agric.</i> , 795 F.3d 1148 (9th Cir. 2015).....	9, 10
<i>In re Zappos.com, Inc.</i> , 888 F.3d 1020 (9th Cir. 2018).....	13, 14

## Federal Statutes

21 U.S.C. § 379e.....	<i>passim</i>
21 U.S.C. § 321(s) .....	21
21 U.S.C. § 321(t).....	21
21 U.S.C. § 379e(a) .....	3, 15
21 U.S.C. § 379e(b)(4) .....	3

## Regulations

21 C.F.R. § 70.3(i) .....	4, 16, 18, 22
21 C.F.R. § 70.55.....	41
21 C.F.R. § 71.1.....	41
21 C.F.R. § 170.3(i) .....	4, 16, 22

## Other Authorities

FDA, <i>Good Laboratory Practice Regulations Management Briefings, Post Conference Report</i> , subpt. A General Provisions, #23 (Aug. 1979), available at <a href="https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/good-laboratory-practice-regulations-management-briefings-post-conference-report-aug-1979">https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/good-laboratory-practice-regulations-management-briefings-post-conference-report-aug-1979</a> .....	31
FDA, <i>Guidance for Industry: Summary Table of Recommended Toxicological Testing for Additives Used in Food</i> , available at <a href="https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/guidance-industry-summary-table-recommended-toxicological-testing-additives-used-food">https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/guidance-industry-summary-table-recommended-toxicological-testing-additives-used-food</a> .....	24, 27, 28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.