

Nos. 20-70787, 20-70801

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, et al.,
Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,
Respondent.

RURAL COALITION, et al.,
Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,
Respondent.

On Petition for Review of Final Agency Action of the
United States Environmental Protection Agency

BRIEF FOR U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Of Counsel:

DEVI CHANDRASEKARAN
Attorney Advisor

Jean E. Williams
Acting Assistant Attorney General
Bruce S. Gelber
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

BENJAMIN CARLISLE

FORREST PITTMAN

Attorney Advisor

Office of General Counsel

U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency

Mail Code 2333A

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20460

U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency

Senior Attorney

ROBERT WILLIAMS

Senior Trial Attorney

Environment and Natural Resources
Division

U.S. Department of Justice

Post Office Box 7411

Washington, D.C. 20044

(202) 514-9771 (Carlisle)

Benjamin.Carlisle@usdoj.gov

(202) 305-0206 (Williams)

Robert.P.Williams@usdoj.gov

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
GLOSSARY	xii
INTRODUCTION	1
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION.....	3
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES.....	3
PERTINENT STATUTES AND REGULATIONS.....	4
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	5
I. Statutory and regulatory background.....	5
A. Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (“FIFRA”).	5
B. Endangered Species Act (“ESA”).....	9
II. The glyphosate registration review.....	10
A. Glyphosate.....	10
B. Overview of the glyphosate registration review process.	13
C. The Interim Decision.	14
D. Motion for voluntary remand.	16
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	17
STANDARD OF REVIEW.....	19
ARGUMENT	22

I.	The Court should not consider Petitioners’ extra-record evidence.....	22
II.	EPA’s conclusion that glyphosate does not pose human-health risks of concern is supported by substantial evidence.....	24
III.	Petitioners’ attempts to contradict EPA’s expert human-health analysis misconstrue the record.	30
A.	EPA thoroughly considered cancer risk and its decision is supported by substantial evidence.....	30
B.	Substantial evidence supports EPA’s analysis of workers’ skin exposure to glyphosate.	40
C.	EPA’s consideration of glyphosate formulations was consistent with its regulations and supported by substantial evidence.....	44
D.	EPA thoroughly assessed human-health risks raised in comments.	56
IV.	Petitioners fail to show that EPA is violating the ESA.....	57
V.	Petitioners’ ESA claim is prudentially moot.....	65
VI.	Remedy.	69
A.	Petitioners’ are wrong in claiming that vacatur of the Interim Decision means vacating hundreds of glyphosate registrations.	69
B.	Vacatur of over five hundred glyphosate registrations is not an appropriate remedy.	74
	CONCLUSION	77
	STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES	78

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	79
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.....	80
ADDENDUM	81

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.