

No. 20-71433

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SUSAN SISLEY, et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

U.S. DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION, et al.,

Respondents.

On Petition for Review From An Order of the U.S. Drug Enforcement
Administration

ANSWERING BRIEF FOR THE FEDERAL RESPONDENTS

JEFFREY BOSSERT CLARK
Acting Assistant Attorney General

MARK B. STERN
DANIEL AGUILAR
Attorneys, Appellate Staff
Civil Division, Room 7266
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001
(202) 514-5432

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES	2
PERTINENT STATUTES AND REGULATIONS.....	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	3
I. STATUTORY AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK.....	3
II. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND	5
A. The Zyszkiewicz Petition For DEA Rulemaking	5
B. Zyszkiewicz’s Attempts To Seek Judicial Review	11
C. This Petition For Judicial Review	12
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	12
STANDARD OF REVIEW.....	14
ARGUMENT	15
I. THE COURT SHOULD DISMISS THE PETITION FOR REVIEW	15
A. Petitioners Lack Standing Because Their Claims Rest On A Generalized Grievance And the Rights Of Third Parties.....	15
B. Petitioners Have Not Exhausted Their Administrative Remedies, And May Not Challenge The Denial Of Another Person’s Petition For Rulemaking.....	22

II. DEA APPROPRIATELY DENIED THE ZYSKIEWICZ PETITION FOR RULEMAKING.....	28
A. DEA’s Denial Was Reasonable And Appropriate.....	28
B. Petitioners’ Nondelegation Argument Is Insubstantial.....	39
CONCLUSION	45
STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES	
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	
ADDENDUM	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases:	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>Agua Caliente Tribe of Cupeño Indians of Pala Reservation v. Sweeney</i> , 932 F.3d 1207 (9th Cir. 2019).....	22, 23
<i>Alliance for Cannabis Therapeutics v. DEA</i> , 930 F.2d 936 (D.C. Cir. 1991)	14, 34
<i>Alliance for Cannabis Therapeutics v. DEA</i> , 15 F.3d 1131 (D.C. Cir. 1994)	35
<i>Americans for Safe Access v. DEA</i> , 706 F.3d 438 (D.C. Cir. 2013)	4, 5, 14, 35
<i>Arakaki v. Lingle</i> , 477 F.3d 1048 (9th Cir. 2007).....	14
<i>Barnhart v. Walton</i> , 535 U.S. 212 (2002).....	35
<i>Bonds v. Tandy</i> , 457 F.3d 409 (5th Cir. 2006).....	28
<i>Cabaccang v. U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services</i> , 627 F.3d 1313 (9th Cir. 2010).....	23
<i>Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc.</i> , 467 U.S. 837 (1984).....	14, 34, 35, 37, 38
<i>Darby v. Cisneros</i> , 509 U.S. 137 (1993).....	26, 27
<i>Elgin v. Department of Treasury</i> , 567 U.S. 1 (2012).....	26
<i>Ex parte Levitt</i> , 302 U.S. 633 (1937).....	17
<i>Fairchild v. Hughes</i> , 258 U.S. 126 (1922).....	17

<i>Garfias-Rodriguez v. Holder</i> , 702 F.3d 504 (9th Cir. 2012) (en banc)	37
<i>Getty Oil Co. v. Andrus</i> , 607 F.2d 253 (9th Cir. 1979).....	30
<i>Gill v. Whitford</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1916 (2018)	13, 19
<i>Grinspoon v. DEA</i> , 828 F.2d 881 (1st Cir. 1987)	14, 33, 34
<i>Hemp Indus. Ass'n v. DEA</i> , 357 F.3d 1012 (9th Cir. 2004).....	35, 38
<i>Hollingsworth v. Perry</i> , 570 U.S. 693 (2013).....	21
<i>Lance v. Coffman</i> , 549 U.S. 437 (2007).....	18
<i>John Doe, Inc. v. DEA</i> , 484 F.3d 561 (D.C. Cir. 2007)	24
<i>Krumm v. DEA</i> , 739 F. App'x 655 (D.C. Cir. 2018)	35
<i>Leorna v. U.S. Department of State</i> , 105 F.3d 548 (9th Cir. 1997).....	14
<i>Lexmark Int'l, Inc. v. Static Control Components, Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 118 (2014).....	16
<i>Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife</i> , 504 U.S. 555 (1992).....	15, 16, 17, 18
<i>Massachusetts v. EPA</i> , 549 U.S. 497 (2007).....	29
<i>Mills v. United States</i> , 742 F.3d 400 (9th Cir. 2014).....	20
<i>Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass'n of U.S., Inc. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.</i> , 463 U.S. 29 (1983).....	29

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.