

Nos. 21-16506 & 21-16695

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

EPIC GAMES, INC.,

*Plaintiff/counter-defendant,
Appellant/cross-appellee;*

v.

APPLE INC.,

*Defendant/counter-claimant,
Appellee/cross-appellant.*

On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of California (Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers)
No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR

**REPLY BRIEF FOR
APPELLEE/CROSS-APPELLANT APPLE INC.**

Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr.
Daniel G. Swanson
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
333 S. Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071

Rachel S. Brass
Julian W. Kleinbrodt
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
555 Mission Street, Suite 3000
San Francisco, CA 94105

Mark A. Perry
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
2001 M Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 682-7511
Mark.Perry@weil.com

Cynthia Richman
Joshua M. Wesneski
Anna Casey
Zachary B. Copeland
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Attorneys for Apple Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ARGUMENT	1
I. The UCL Judgment Cannot Stand	1
A. There Is No Case Or Controversy.....	1
1. Epic Failed To Prove Direct Or Indirect Harm	1
2. Apple Disproved Redressability.....	6
B. Epic’s UCL Claim Fails As A Matter Of Law	8
1. The <i>Chavez</i> Doctrine Precludes UCL Liability.....	9
2. Epic Failed To Prove Significant Harm To Competition In Any Relevant Market	13
3. The Anti-Steering Provisions Are Procompetitive Under <i>Amex</i>	21
C. The Injunction Exceeded The Court’s Authority	24
1. The Injunction Is Overbroad.....	24
2. Epic Failed To Prove Irreparable Harm	26
II. Epic Must Pay Apple’s Attorneys’ Fees	28
CONCLUSION.....	30

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
CASES	
<i>Aguilar v. Atl. Richfield Co.</i> , 25 Cal. 4th 826 (2001)	12
<i>Am. Ad Mgmt., Inc. v. GTE Corp.</i> , 92 F.3d 781 (9th Cir. 1996)	15
<i>Arizonans for Official English v. Arizona</i> , 520 U.S. 43 (1997).....	2
<i>Aya Healthcare Servs., Inc. v. AMN Healthcare, Inc.</i> , 9 F.4th 1102 (9th Cir. 2021)	20
<i>BladeRoom Grp. Ltd. v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , 219 F. Supp. 3d 984 (N.D. Cal. 2017).....	16
<i>Brandwein v. Butler</i> , 218 Cal. App. 4th 1485 (2013)	28
<i>Brantley v. NBC Universal, Inc.</i> , 675 F.3d 1192 (9th Cir. 2012)	19
<i>Bresgal v. Brock</i> , 843 F.2d 1163 (9th Cir. 1987)	25
<i>Brooke Grp. Ltd. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.</i> , 509 U.S. 209 (1993).....	18
<i>Cal. Dental Ass’n v. FTC</i> , 224 F.3d 942 (9th Cir. 2000)	18, 28
<i>Cal. Dental Ass’n v. FTC</i> , 526 U.S. 756 (1999).....	18
<i>California v. Texas</i> , 141 S. Ct. 2104 (2021).....	5
<i>Cel-Tech Commc’ns, Inc. v. L.A. Cellular Tel. Co.</i> , 20 Cal. 4th 163 (1999)	8, 10, 13, 14, 22, 23, 24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>Chavez v. Whirlpool Corp.</i> , 93 Cal. App. 4th 363 (2001)	9, 10, 12
<i>Chi. Bd. of Trade v. United States</i> , 246 U.S. 231 (1918).....	13
<i>City of San Jose v. Off. of the Comm’r of Baseball</i> , 776 F.3d 686 (9th Cir. 2015)	9, 10
<i>Clapper v. Amnesty Int’l USA</i> , 568 U.S. 398 (2013).....	5
<i>Coto Settlement v. Eisenberg</i> , 593 F.3d 1031 (9th Cir. 2012)	5
<i>Diva Limousine, Ltd. v. Uber Techs., Inc.</i> , 329 F. Supp. 3d 1074 (N.D. Cal. 2017).....	16
<i>Dixon Gas Club, LLC v. Safeway Inc.</i> , No. A139910, 2015 WL 4557388 (Cal. Ct. App. July 29, 2015).....	16
<i>Dream Theater, Inc. v. Dream Theater</i> , 124 Cal. App. 4th 547 (2004)	29
<i>Drum v. San Fernando Valley Bar Ass’n</i> , 182 Cal. App. 4th 247 (2010)	11, 16
<i>Flagship Theatres of Palm Desert, LLC v. Century Theatres, Inc.</i> , 55 Cal. App. 5th 381 (2020)	15, 23
<i>Franchise Tax Bd. v. Alcan Aluminum Ltd.</i> , 493 U.S. 331 (1990).....	5
<i>Freitag v. Ayers</i> , 468 F.3d 528 (9th Cir. 2006)	7
<i>FTC v. Motion Picture Adv. Co.</i> , 344 U.S. 392 (1953).....	13
<i>FTC v. Qualcomm</i> , 969 F.3d 974 (9th Cir. 2020)	19, 20, 21

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>Gerawan Farming, Inc. v. Rehrig Pac. Co.</i> , No. 11-CV-1273, 2013 WL 1934173 (E.D. Cal. May 9, 2013).....	17
<i>Gregory v. Albertson’s, Inc.</i> , 104 Cal. App. 4th 845 (2002)	14, 19
<i>Hahn v. Or. Physicians’ Serv.</i> , 868 F.2d 1022 (9th Cir. 1988)	20
<i>Hangarter v. Provident Life & Accident Ins. Co.</i> , 373 F.3d 998 (9th Cir. 2004)	26
<i>hiQ Labs, Inc. v. LinkedIn Corp.</i> , 273 F. Supp. 3d 1099 (N.D. Cal. 2017).....	16
<i>Hodges v. Comcast Cable Commc’ns, LLC</i> , 21 F.4th 535 (9th Cir. 2021)	25
<i>Hollingsworth v. Perry</i> , 570 U.S. 693 (2013).....	3
<i>L.A. Haven Hospice, Inc. v. Sebelius</i> , 638 F.3d 644 (9th Cir. 2011)	25
<i>Levitt v. Yelp! Inc.</i> , 765 F.3d 1123 (9th Cir. 2014)	16
<i>LiveUniverse, Inc. v. MySpace, Inc.</i> , 304 F. App’x 554 (9th Cir. 2008).....	12
<i>Lozano v. AT&T Wireless Servs., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 718 (9th Cir. 2007)	16
<i>Lujan v. Defs. of Wildlife</i> , 504 U.S. 555 (1992).....	1, 6
<i>Marsh v. Anesthesia Servs. Med. Grp., Inc.</i> , 200 Cal. App. 4th 480 (2011)	15, 16
<i>Meland v. WEBER</i> , 2 F.4th 838 (9th Cir. 2021)	1

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.