

Nos. 21-16506 & 21-16695

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

EPIC GAMES, INC.,
Plaintiff, Counter-defendant – Appellant, Cross-Appellee,

v.

APPLE INC.,
Defendant, Counterclaimant – Appellee, Cross-Appellant.

Appeal from the U.S. District Court
for the Northern District of California
The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers (No. 4:20-CV-05640-YGR-TSH)

**OPPOSITION TO APPLE INC.'S MOTION FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE STAY AND TO STAY INJUNCTION
PENDING APPEAL**

Christine A. Varney
Peter T. Barbur
Katherine B. Forrest
Antony L. Ryan
Gary A. Bornstein
Yonatan Even
Lauren A. Moskowitz
Omid H. Nasab
Justin C. Clarke
M. Brent Byars
CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
825 Eighth Avenue
New York, NY 10019-7475
(212) 474-1000

Paul J. Riehle
FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH
LLP
Four Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 591-7500

Counsel for Appellant, Cross-Appellee Epic Games, Inc.

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1, Epic Games, Inc. (“Epic”) states that it has no parent corporation and that Tencent Holdings Limited owns more than 10% of Epic stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	iv
INTRODUCTION.....	1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
ARGUMENT	8
I. APPLE WILL NOT SUFFER IRREPARABLE INJURY ABSENT A STAY.....	8
II. APPLE IS UNLIKELY TO SUCCEED ON THE MERITS.....	15
A. Epic Proved a UCL Violation.....	15
B. Epic Continues To Have Standing.....	22
C. Granting the UCL Injunction Was Within the District Court’s Equitable Authority.....	25
III. EPIC WOULD BE HARMED BY A STAY.....	28
IV. THE PUBLIC INTEREST FAVORS DENIAL OF A STAY.....	29
V. THE COURT SHOULD DENY APPLE’S ALTERNATIVE REQUEST FOR AN ADMINISTRATIVE STAY.....	30
CONCLUSION.....	30

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Al Otro Lado v. Wolf</i> , 952 F.3d 999 (9th Cir. 2020).....	12
<i>Am. Passage Media Corp. v. Cass Commc’ns, Inc.</i> , 750 F.2d 1470 (9th Cir. 1985).....	14
<i>Amylin Pharms., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , 456 F. App’x 676 (9th Cir. 2011).....	14
<i>Bresgal v. Brock</i> , 843 F.2d 1163 (9th Cir. 1987).....	27, 28
<i>Cel-Tech Commc’ns, Inc. v. L.A. Cellular Tel. Co.</i> , 20 Cal. 4th 163 (1999).....	16
<i>Chavez v. Whirlpool Corp.</i> , 93 Cal. App. 4th 363 (2001).....	20
<i>City of San Jose v. Off. of the Comm’r of Baseball</i> , 776 F.3d 686 (9th Cir. 2015).....	20
<i>Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Hoen</i> , No. C04-360P, 2006 WL 2645183 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 14, 2006).....	9
<i>Doe v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.</i> , 982 F.3d 1204 (9th Cir. 2020).....	15
<i>Easyriders Freedom F.I.G.H.T. v. Hannigan</i> , 92 F.3d 1486 (9th Cir. 1996).....	28
<i>Facebook, Inc. v. BrandTotal Ltd.</i> , No. 20-cv-07182-JCS, 2021 WL 2354751 (N.D. Cal. June 9, 2021).....	17

Facebook, Inc. v. Brand/Total Ltd.,
 No. 20-cv-07182-JCS, 2021 WL 662168 (N.D. Cal.
 Feb. 19, 2021)..... 17

Franchise Tax Bd. of Cal. v. Alcan Aluminum Ltd.,
 493 U.S. 331 (1990)..... 23, 25

Freitag v. Ayers,
 468 F.3d 528 (9th Cir. 2006)..... 23

Herr v. Nestlé U.S.A., Inc.,
 109 Cal. App. 4th 779 (2003) 27

High Sierra Hikers Ass’n v. Blackwell,
 390 F.3d 630 (9th Cir. 2004)..... 25

Kilgore v. KeyBank, Nat’l Ass’n,
 718 F.3d 1052 (9th Cir. 2013)..... 25

Kirola v. City & Cty. of S.F.,
 860 F.3d 1164 (9th Cir. 2017)..... 26

Latta v. Otter,
 771 F.3d 496 (9th Cir. 2014)..... 10

LegalForce RAPC Worldwide P.C. v. UpCounsel, Inc.,
 No. 18-cv-02573-YGR, 2019 WL 160335 (N.D. Cal.
 Jan. 10, 2019)..... 16

Lozano v. AT&T Wireless Servs., Inc.,
 504 F.3d 718 (9th Cir. 2007)..... 15

Montano v. Bonnie Brae Convalescent Hosp., Inc.,
 79 F. Supp. 3d 1120 (C.D. Cal. 2015) 27

Nken v. Holder,
 556 U.S. 418 (2009)..... 8

Ohio v. Am. Express Co.,
 138 S. Ct. 2274 (2018)..... 21

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.