

Nos. 21-16506 & 21-16695

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

EPIC GAMES, INC.,

*Plaintiff/counter-defendant,
Appellant/cross-appellee;*

v.

APPLE INC.,

*Defendant/counter-claimant,
Appellee/cross-appellant.*

On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of California (Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers)
No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR

**PRINCIPAL AND RESPONSE BRIEF FOR
APPELLEE/CROSS-APPELLANT APPLE INC.**

Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr.
Daniel G. Swanson
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
333 S. Grand Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90071

Rachel S. Brass
Julian W. Kleinbrodt
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
555 Mission St., Suite 3000
San Francisco, CA 94105

Mark A. Perry
Cynthia Richman
Joshua M. Wesneski
Anna Casey
Zachary B. Copeland
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 955-8500
MPerry@gibsondunn.com

Attorneys for Apple Inc.

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 26.1 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, the undersigned counsel of record certifies that Apple Inc. has no parent corporation and no publicly held corporation owns 10% or more of its stock.

Dated: March 24, 2022

s/ Mark A. Perry

Mark A. Perry

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT	5
STATEMENT OF ISSUES	5
STATUTORY PROVISIONS	6
BACKGROUND	6
I. Factual Background.....	6
A. Apple’s Revolutionary Innovations.....	7
1. The App Store.....	7
2. IAP.....	8
3. Security, Privacy, Reliability, Fraud Protection, And App Quality.....	9
4. Intellectual Property	12
5. The Challenged Limitations	13
B. Competition For Digital Game Transactions.....	14
C. Epic’s “Project Liberty”.....	18
II. Proceedings Below	20
III. The District Court’s Decision	23
A. Epic’s Claims	25
1. Sherman Act	25
2. Cartwright Act	29
3. UCL	29

B.	Apple’s Counterclaims.....	30
1.	Breach of Contract.....	31
2.	Indemnity	31
	STANDARDS OF REVIEW	31
	SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	33
	ARGUMENT ON EPIC’S APPEAL.....	37
I.	Epic Failed To Prove Its Market Definitions Or Monopoly Power.....	38
A.	Epic’s Proposed iOS-Only Markets Are Defective	39
1.	Epic’s Market Definition Arguments Are Untenable Under <i>Amex</i>	41
2.	Epic Failed to Prove Lock-In On Either Side Of Its Alleged Markets.....	45
B.	Apple Is Not A Monopolist.....	49
1.	There Is No Direct Evidence Of Monopoly Power	50
2.	Epic Failed To Produce Circumstantial Evidence Of Monopoly Power	54
II.	Epic Challenged Only Unilateral Conduct By Apple	56
A.	The District Court Correctly Found No Concerted Action	57
B.	Apple’s Unilateral Conduct Is Lawful.....	62
III.	Apple’s Distribution Model Is Lawful Under the Rule of Reason	66
A.	The App Store Distribution Requirement Is Reasonable Under Section 1.....	66
1.	Epic Failed To Prove Substantial Anticompetitive Effects	67

2.	Procompetitive Justifications Defeat Epic’s Claims	74
3.	Epic Did Not Prove Viable Less Restrictive Alternatives.....	80
4.	The Court Undertook Every Step Required By The Rule Of Reason.....	85
B.	The App Store Distribution Requirement Is Reasonable Under Section 2.....	90
IV.	Apple’s IAP Requirement Is Lawful Under The Rule Of Reason	92
A.	There Is No Unlawful “Tie”	92
B.	There Is No Unreasonable Restraint.....	96
V.	Epic Cannot Escape Liability For Its Breaches Of Contract.	100
	ARGUMENT ON APPLE’S CROSS-APPEAL	101
I.	The UCL Injunction Cannot Stand	101
A.	Epic Lacks Standing	102
B.	UCL Liability Is Foreclosed As A Matter Of Law	104
C.	The Injunction Is Beyond The Authority Of The Court.....	109
II.	Epic Must Pay Apple’s Attorneys’ Fees	112
	CONCLUSION.....	115

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.