

No. 22-16110

IN THE
United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

▶◀▶◀

AARON GREENSPAN,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

OMAR QAZI, SMICK ENTERPRISES, INC., ELON MUSK, TESLA, INC.,

Defendants-Appellees.

*On Appeal From The United States District Court
For The Northern District Of California
Civil Case No. 3:20-cv-03426-JD; Hon. James Donato*

ANSWERING BRIEF OF DEFENDANTS-APPELLEES ELON MUSK AND TESLA, INC.

Anthony P. Alden
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
& SULLIVAN, LLP
865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017
(213) 443-3000

Alex Spiro (*application forthcoming*)
William B. Adams
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
& SULLIVAN, LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10010
(212) 849-7000

Attorneys for Defendants-Appellees Elon Musk and Tesla, Inc.

December 27, 2022

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 26.1, Tesla, Inc. states that it has no parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation owns 10 percent or more of its stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
RULE 26.1 CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.....	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	1
COUNTERSTATEMENT OF ISSUES PRESENTED.....	4
COUNTERSTATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	4
A. Plaintiff’s Complaints And Their Dismissal.....	4
B. The Orders At Issue In This Appeal	6
1. The Orders Maintaining The Stay Of Discovery.....	6
2. The Orders Denying Recusal	7
3. The Order Denying Relief From Judgment Under Rule 60(b).....	8
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	10
STANDARD OF REVIEW	12
ARGUMENT	13
I. THE DISTRICT COURT PROPERLY STAYED DISCOVERY PENDING RESOLUTION OF THE MOTIONS TO DISMISS	13
A. The District Court Correctly Followed The PSLRA In Staying Discovery.....	14
B. Even Absent The PSLRA, The District Court Acted Within Its Discretion In Staying Discovery	18
C. Plaintiff’s Challenge To The PSLRA’s Pleading Standard Is Waived, Irrelevant, And Meritless	20

II.	THE DISTRICT COURT CORRECTLY DISMISSED THE DEFAMATION CLAIM.....	22
III.	THE DISTRICT COURT WAS WELL WITHIN ITS DISCRETION TO DECLINE TO RECUSE	25
IV.	THE DISTRICT COURT WAS WELL WITHIN ITS DISCRETION TO DENY RELIEF UNDER RULE 60(B).....	29
A.	The Supposed New Evidence Of Falsity Was Duplicative.....	29
B.	The Supposed New Evidence Regarding Qazi’s Agency Status Supported Dismissal.....	32
C.	The New Scierer Authority Was Irrelevant.....	33
	CONCLUSION.....	34
	STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES	35
	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	36
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	37

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Page(s)****CASES**

<i>Aetna Life Ins. Co. of Hartford, Conn. v. Haworth</i> , 300 U.S. 227 (1937)	20
<i>Alaska Cargo Transp., Inc. v. Alaska R.R. Corp.</i> , 5 F.3d 378 (9th Cir. 1993)	12, 19
<i>Barnes v. BTN, Inc.</i> , 555 Fed. App'x 281 (5th Cir. 2014)	27
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007)	19
<i>Ben Sager Chem. Int'l v. E. Targosz & Co.</i> , 560 F.2d 805 (7th Cir. 1977)	29
<i>Brown v. United States</i> , 823 F. App'x 97 (3d Cir. 2020)	27
<i>Cent. Laborers' Pension Fund v. Integrated Elec. Servs. Inc.</i> , 497 F.3d 546 (5th Cir. 2007)	22
<i>Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for D.C.</i> , 541 U.S. 913 (2004)	26
<i>Coastal Transfer Co. v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.</i> , 833 F.2d 208 (9th Cir. 1987)	29
<i>Correia v. Santos</i> , 191 Cal. App. 2d 844 (1961)	24
<i>Crawford-El v. Britton</i> , 523 U.S. 574 (1998)	16
<i>Datagate, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 941 F.2d 864 (9th Cir. 1991)	28
<i>Delay v. Gordon</i> , 475 F.3d 1039 (9th Cir. 2007)	13
<i>Eade v. InvestorsHub.com, Inc.</i> , 2011 WL 13323344 (C.D. Cal. July 12, 2011)	25
<i>Engleson v. Burlington N.R. Co.</i> , 972 F.2d 1038 (9th Cir. 1994)	29

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.