No. 22-56052

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY; R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY; AMERICAN SNUFF COMPANY, LLC; SANTA FE NATURAL TOBACCO COMPANY, INC.; MODORAL BRANDS INC.; NEIGHBORHOOD MARKET ASSOCIATION, INC.; AND MORIJA LLC DBA VAPIN' THE 619, Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

ROBERT BONTA, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA; AND SUMMER STEPHAN, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO,

Defendants-Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of California No. 3:22-cv-01755 (Hon. Cathy Ann Bencivengo)

APPELLANTS' OPENING BRIEF

Action Necessary by November 29, 2022

Christian G. Vergonis Ryan J. Watson Andrew J. M. Bentz JONES DAY 51 Louisiana Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 879-3939 Facsimile: (202) 626-1700 njfrancisco@jonesday.com

Noel J. Francisco

Counsel for Appellants



CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

In compliance with Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1, Appellants disclose the following:

- Appellant R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company ("RJRT") states that RJRT is a direct, wholly owned subsidiary of R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Holdings, Inc.; R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Holdings, Inc. is a direct, wholly owned subsidiary of Reynolds American Inc.; and Reynolds American Inc. is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of British American Tobacco, p.l.c., a publicly traded company.
- Appellant R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company ("RJRV") states that RJRV is a direct, wholly owned subsidiary of RAI Innovations Company; RAI Innovations Company is a direct, wholly owned subsidiary of Reynolds American Inc.; and Reynolds American Inc. is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of British American Tobacco, p.l.c., a publicly traded company.
- Appellant American Snuff Company, LLC ("ASC") states that ASC is a direct, wholly owned subsidiary of Conwood Holdings, Inc.; Conwood Holdings, Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Reynolds American Inc.; and Reynolds American Inc. is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of British American Tobacco, p.l.c., a publicly traded company.
- Appellant Santa Fe Natural Tobacco Company ("SFNTC") states that SFNTC is a direct, wholly owned subsidiary of Reynolds American Inc.; and Reynolds American Inc. is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of British American Tobacco, p.l.c., a publicly traded company.
- Appellant Modoral Brands Inc. ("Modoral") states that Modoral is a subsidiary of RAI Innovations Company; RAI Innovations Company is a direct, wholly owned subsidiary of Reynolds American Inc.; and Reynolds American Inc. is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of British American Tobacco, p.l.c., a publicly traded company.
- Appellant Neighborhood Markets Association states that no parent corporation or publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock exists.



Case: 22-56052, 11/18/2022, ID: 12591596, DktEntry: 15, Page 3 of 40

• Appellant Morija, LLC dba Vapin' the 619 states that no parent corporation or publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock exists.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
CO	RPO	RATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	i
TA]	BLE	OF AUTHORITIES	iv
STA	ATE	MENT OF JURISDICTION	1
STA	ATE	MENT REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT	1
STA	ATE	MENT OF THE ISSUES	2
INT	ROI	DUCTION	3
STA	ATE	MENT OF THE CASE	5
	A.	California Senate Bill 793	5
	B.	Procedural History	7
STA	AND	ARD OF REVIEW	9
ARGUMENT			9
I.	WHILE APPELLANTS' EXPRESS PREEMPTION CLAIM ON THE MERITS IS FORECLOSED BY CURRENT NINTH CIRCUIT		
	Pre	CEDENT, THAT PRECEDENT IS WRONG	11
	A.	Los Angeles County's interpretation of the TCA's preemption clause is wrong	11
	B.	Even under <i>Los Angeles County</i> , SB793 falls under the TCA's preemption clause	16
	C.	Los Angeles County misinterpreted the TCA's savings clause	18
II.	Тні	E BAN WILL IRREPARABLY HARM APPELLANTS	23
III.	Тні	E EQUITIES AND PUBLIC INTEREST FAVOR APPELLANTS	26
CO	NCL	USION	28
STA	ATEI	MENT OF RELATED CASES	30



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
Ala. Ass'n of Realtors v. Dep't of Health & Hum. Servs., 141 S. Ct. 2485 (2021) (per curiam)	23
Am. Trucking Ass'ns v. City of Los Angeles, 559 F.3d 1046 (9th Cir. 2009)	24
Arizona v. Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe R.R., 656 F.2d 398 (9th Cir. 1981)	8
Collins v. Yellen, 141 S. Ct. 1761 (2021)	22
Dennis Melancon, Inc. v. City of New Orleans, 703 F.3d 262 (5th Cir. 2012)	23
Engine Mfrs. Ass'n v. S. Coast Air Quality Mgmt. Dist., 541 U.S. 246 (2004)	passim
Henson v. Santander Consumer USA Inc., 137 S. Ct. 1718 (2017)	20
Holley v. Cal. Dep't of Corr., 599 F.3d 1108 (9th Cir. 2010)	25
Kentucky v. U.S. ex rel. Hagel, 759 F.3d 588 (6th Cir. 2014)	23, 25
Melendres v. Arpaio, 695 F.3d 990 (9th Cir. 2012)	26
Morales v. Trans World Airlines, Inc., 504 U.S. 374 (1992)	24, 26
Nat'l Ass'n of Mfrs. v. Dep't of Def., 138 S. Ct. 617 (2018)	22
Nat'l Meat Ass'n v. Harris, 565 U.S. 452 (2012)	passim
Neville v. Johnson, 440 F.3d 221 (5th Cir. 2006)	
Nken v. Holder, 556 U.S. 418 (2009)	



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

