

Case No. 22-70118

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY,
Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, *et al.*,
Respondents,

and

SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION, LLC,
Respondent-Intervenor.

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
United States Environmental Protection Agency

PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY VACATUR

CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY
Amy van Saun
2009 NE Alberta St., Suite 207
Portland, OR 97211
T: (971) 271-7372
avansaun@centerforfoodsafety.org

Sylvia Shih-Yau Wu
Meredith Stevenson
303 Sacramento Street, 2F
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 826-2770
swu@centerforfoodsafety.org
mstevenson@centerforfoodsafety.org

Counsel for Petitioners

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	1
FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	3
PROCEDURAL HISTORY.....	5
JURISDICTION	8
STANDARD OF REVIEW	11
ARGUMENT.....	13
I. EPA’s Endangered Species Act Violation Is Clear Error.....	13
II. EPA’s Lack of Substantial Evidence Under FIFRA Is Clear Error.....	18
III. Vacatur Is the Proper Remedy.....	23
CONCLUSION	27
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	28
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>All. for the Wild Rockies v. U.S. Forest Serv.</i> , 907 F.3d 1105 (9th Cir. 2018).....	23, 24
<i>Coal. to Protect Puget Sound Habitat v. U.S. Army Corps. of Eng’rs</i> , 466 F. Supp. 3d 1217 (W.D. Wash. 2020)	25
<i>Conner v. Burford</i> , 848 F.2d 1441 (9th Cir. 1988).....	12
<i>Ctr. for Food Safety v. Vilsack</i> , 734 F. Supp. 2d 948 (N.D. Cal. 2010).....	25
<i>Defs. of Wildlife v. Adm’r, EPA</i> , 882 F.2d 1294 (8th Cir. 1989).....	16
<i>Ellis v. Housenger</i> , 252 F. Supp. 3d 800 (N.D. Cal. 2017).....	16
<i>Farmworker Ass’n of Fla. v. EPA</i> , No. 21-1079, 2021 U.S. App. LEXIS 16882 (D.C. Cir. June 7, 2021)	2, 13, 15
<i>Friends of the Earth, Inc. v. Laidlaw Envtl. Servs. (TOC), Inc.</i> , 528 U.S. 167 (2000).....	8, 9
<i>Humane Soc’y of the United States v. Locke</i> , 626 F.3d 1040 (9th Cir. 2010).....	23
<i>Idaho Farm Bureau Fed’n v. Babbitt</i> , 58 F.3d 1392 (9th Cir. 1995).....	23
<i>Karuk Tribe of Cal. v. U.S. Forest Serv.</i> , 681 F.3d 1006 (9th Cir. 2012).....	13, 14, 16

Federal Cases (Cont'd)	Page(s)
<i>Lane Cty. Audubon Soc’y v. Jamison</i> , 958 F.2d 290 (9th Cir. 1992).....	16
<i>Mass. v. EPA</i> , 549 U.S. 497 (2007)	11
<i>Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass’n v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.</i> , 463 U.S. 29 (1983).....	12
<i>Nat. Res. Def. Council, Inc. v. EPA</i> , 38 F.4th 34 (9th Cir. 2022)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Nat. Res. Def. Council, Inc. v. FDA</i> , 710 F.3d 71 (2d Cir. 2013)	10
<i>Nat. Res. Def. Council v. EPA</i> , No. 20-70787 (9th Cir. filed Aug. 1, 2022)	26, 27
<i>Nat’l Family Farm Coal. v. EPA</i> , 960 F.3d 1120 (9th Cir. 2020).....	3, 8, 18, 24
<i>Nat’l Parks Conservation Ass’n v. Jewell</i> , 62 F. Supp. 3d 7 (D.D.C. 2014).....	24
<i>Pollinator Stewardship Council v. EPA</i> , 806 F.3d 520 (9th Cir. 2015).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Salmon Spawning & Recovery All. v. Gutierrez</i> , 545 F.3d 1220 (9th Cir. 2008).....	11
<i>Tucson Herpetological Soc’y v. Salazar</i> , 566 F.3d 870 (9th Cir. 2009).....	22
<i>United States v. Hooton</i> , 693 F.2d 857 (9th Cir. 1982).....	11, 13, 18
<i>W. States Petroleum Ass’n v. EPA</i> , 87 F.3d 280 (9th Cir. 1996).....	22

Federal Cases (Cont'd) Page(s)

W. Watersheds Project v. Kraayenbrink,
632 F.3d 472 (9th Cir. 2011)..... 13, 24

Wash. Toxics Coal. v. EPA,
413 F.3d 1024 (9th Cir. 2005)..... 16

Williams v. Hampton,
No. 19-56197, 2020 U.S. App. LEXIS 10473 (9th Cir. Apr.
2, 2020) 11, 13, 18

Federal Statutes

5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A) 12, 13

7 U.S.C. § 136a(c)(2)(B) 19

7 U.S.C. § 136h(d)(1) 2, 20

7 U.S.C. § 136n(b)..... 8, 11, 13

16 U.S.C. § 1536(a) 14

16 U.S.C. § 1536(a)(2)..... 13, 14

FIFRA..... *passim*

Rules

9th Cir. R. 3-6(a) 1

9th Cir. R. 3-6(a)(1)..... 11

9th Cir. R. 27-1 1

9th Cir. R. 27-1(2) 1

Fed. R. App. P. 27 1

Fed. R. App. P. 32.1 2

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.