

No. 23-15992

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,
Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

MICROSOFT CORP., and
ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.,
Defendants-Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of California
No. 3:23-cv-2880; Hon. Jacqueline Scott Corley

**BRIEF OF FORMER ANTITRUST ENFORCERS
AS AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF APPELLEES
AND AFFIRMANCE**

Aaron M. Panner
Derek C. Reinbold
KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL
& FREDERICK, P.L.L.C.
1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 326-7900
apanner@kellogghansen.com
dreinbold@kellogghansen.com

Counsel for Amici Curiae

September 13, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTERESTS OF AMICI	1
ARGUMENT	3
I. Antitrust Law Reflects A Basic Distinction Between The Competitive Consequences Of Horizontal And Non-Horizontal Mergers	3
II. The FTC Offered No Sound Economic Analysis Showing The Transaction Probably Would Be Anticompetitive	15
CONCLUSION	24
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
ADDENDUM	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Alberta Gas Chems. Ltd. v. E.I. Du Pont De nemours & Co.</i> , 826 F.2d 1235 (3d Cir. 1987).....	7
<i>Brown Shoe Co. v. United States</i> , 370 U.S. 294 (1962)	4, 8, 14, 20, 22
<i>Brunswick Corp. v. Pueblo Bowl-O-Mat, Inc.</i> , 429 U.S. 477 (1977)	8
<i>Continental T.V., Inc. v. GTE Sylvania, Inc.</i> , 433 U.S. 36 (1977)	8
<i>Fruehauf Corp. v. FTC</i> , 603 F.2d 345 (2d Cir. 1979).....	9, 11, 21
<i>FTC v. Advocate Health Care Network</i> , 841 F.3d 460 (7th Cir. 2016)	18
<i>FTC v. H.J. Heinz Co.</i> , 246 F.3d 708 (D.C. Cir. 2001)	13, 18
<i>FTC v. Whole Foods Mkt., Inc.</i> , 548 F.3d 1028 (D.C. Cir. 2008)	18
<i>Grappone, Inc. v. Subaru of New England, Inc.</i> , 858 F.2d 792 (1st Cir. 1988).....	8
<i>Hospital Corp. of Am. v. FTC</i> , 807 F.2d 1381 (7th Cir. 1986)	8
<i>Leegin Creative Leather Prods., Inc. v. PSKS, Inc.</i> , 551 U.S. 877 (2007)	8
<i>Mississippi River Corp. v. FTC</i> , 454 F.2d 1083 (8th Cir. 1972)	5

Republic Tobacco Co. v. North Atl. Trading Co.,
381 F.3d 717 (7th Cir. 2004) 13

U.S. Steel Corp. v. FTC,
426 F.2d 592 (6th Cir. 1970) 5, 6

United States v. Aluminum Co. of Am.,
148 F.2d 416 (2d Cir. 1945)..... 6

United States v. Anthem, Inc.,
855 F.3d 345 (D.C. Cir. 2017) 14, 16

United States v. AT&T Inc.,
310 F. Supp. 3d 161 (D.D.C. 2018) 7

United States v. AT&T, Inc.,
916 F.3d 1029 (D.C. Cir. 2019) 13, 14, 18, 21, 22

United States v. Baker Hughes Inc.,
908 F.2d 981 (D.C. Cir. 1990) 14

United States v. Kennecott Copper Corp.,
231 F. Supp. 95 (S.D.N.Y. 1964) 5

United States v. Philadelphia Nat’l Bank,
374 U.S. 321 (1963) 13

STATUTES

Celler-Kefauver Act (Anti-Merger Act), Pub. L. No. 81-899,
ch. 1184, 64 Stat. 1125 (1950)..... 4

Clayton Act (Antitrust Act), Pub. L. No. 63-212, § 7,
38 Stat. 730 (1914) 3, 4

15 U.S.C. § 18..... 14

15 U.S.C. § 18 (1950) 4, 5

OTHER AUTHORITIES

10 Phillip E. Areeda & Herbert Hovenkamp, <i>Antitrust Law</i> (4th ed.).....	3, 10, 11
Ward S. Bowman, Jr.:	
<i>The Prerequisites and Effects of Resale Price Maintenance</i> , 22 U. Chi. L. Rev. 825 (1955)	7
<i>Tying Arrangements and the Leverage Problem</i> , 67 Yale L.J. 19 (1957).....	7
Complaint, <i>United States v. Visa Inc.</i> , No. 20-cv-7810 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 5, 2020), ECF No. 1	20
Aaron Director & Edward H. Levi, <i>Law and the Future</i> : <i>Trade Regulation</i> , 51 N.W. U. L. Rev. 281	7
Guidelines on the Assessment of Non-Horizontal Mergers Under the Council Regulation on the Control of Concentrations Between Undertakings, 2008 O.J. (C 265) 7, https://perma.cc/HF79-ECLN	10
D. Bruce Hoffman, Acting Dir., Bureau of Competition, Fed. Trade Comm'n, <i>Vertical Merger Enforcement at the</i> <i>FTC</i> (Jan. 10, 2018), https://perma.cc/6AXA-QJDY	12
Herbert Hovenkamp, <i>Competitive Harm from Vertical</i> <i>Mergers</i> , 59 Rev. of Indus. Org. 139 (2021)	13
Jon Sallet, Deputy Assistant Att'y Gen., U.S. Dep't of Justice, <i>The Interesting Case of the Vertical Merger</i> (Nov. 17, 2016), https://perma.cc/DL7D-4SLJ	12
Lester G. Telser, <i>Why Should Manufacturers Want Fair</i> <i>Trade?</i> , 3 J.L. & Econ. 86 (1960).....	7

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.