

15-1815

To Be Argued By:
EUN YOUNG CHOI

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

Docket No. 15-1815



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

—v.—

Appellee,

ROSS WILLIAM ULBRICHT,
also known as Dread Pirate Roberts, also known as Silk Road,
also known as Sealed Defendant 1, also known as DPR,

Defendant-Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BRIEF FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PREET BHARARA,
*United States Attorney for the
Southern District of New York,
Attorney for the United States
of America.*

One St. Andrew's Plaza
New York, New York 10007
(212) 637-2200

EUN YOUNG CHOI,
MICHAEL D. NEFF,
TIMOTHY T. HOWARD,
ADAM S. HICKEY,
*Assistant United States Attorneys,
Of Counsel.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Preliminary Statement	1
Statement of Facts	3
A. The Government’s Case at Trial	4
1. Overview of the Silk Road Website	4
a. The Tor Network.	5
b. The Silk Road User Interface.	6
c. “Dread Pirate Roberts” Controlled Silk Road	8
2. Illegal Goods and Services Sold on the Silk Road Website	10
a. Illegal Narcotics	10
b. Fraudulent Identification Documents.	11
c. Computer Hacking Tools and Services.	12
d. Money Laundering Services.	13
3. Ross Ulbricht’s Creation and Operation of the Silk Road Website	14
a. Ulbricht Creates and Launches Silk Road	14

	PAGE
b. Ulbricht’s Willingness to Use Violence to Protect His Interests in Silk Road	18
c. Ulbricht Orders Fraudulent Identification Documents from Silk Road.	20
d. Ulbricht’s Arrest and His Electronic Media	21
4. The Volume of Illegal Transactions on Silk Road	25
B. The Defense Case	27
C. The Verdict and Sentencing.	29

ARGUMENT:

POINT I—There Was No Discovery Violation	30
A. Relevant Facts	30
1. The Maryland Investigation of Silk Road	30
2. The Government’s Pretrial Disclosure of the Investigation of Carl Force	32
3. The District Court Denies Ulbricht’s Motion	35

	PAGE
4. The Defense’s Attempts to Introduce Evidence Relating to the Force Investigation During Trial	37
5. Ulbricht Moves for a New Trial While the San Francisco USAO Charges Carl Force and Shaun Bridges	38
B. Applicable Law	42
1. The Government’s Discovery Obligations	42
a. Rule 16	42
b. The Jencks Act	43
c. <i>Brady v. Maryland</i>	43
2. Rule 17(c)	45
3. Standards of Review	46
C. Discussion	47
1. There Was No <i>Brady</i> Violation	48
2. The District Court Did Not Abuse Its Discretion in Denying Ulbricht’s Discovery Requests	55
3. There Was No Abuse of Discretion in Denying an Adjournment	56

	PAGE
4. The Government Produced Jencks Act Material in a Timely Fashion	57
5. The District Court Properly Precluded Cross-Examination Using Force's Hearsay Statements	59
POINT II—The District Court's Limitations on Cross- Examination Were Proper	61
A. Relevant Facts	61
1. Agent Der-Yeghiayan's Testimony About Mark Karpeles	61
2. Thomas Kiernan's Testimony About Ulbricht's Computer	65
B. Applicable Law	66
1. The Confrontation Clause	66
2. Alternative Perpetrator Evidence.	68
3. Opinions of Law Enforcement Agents	69
C. Discussion	70
1. Agent Der-Yeghiayan's Testimony Was Properly Limited to Facts	70
2. The District Court Properly Excluded the Fourth-Hand Hearsay of Karpeles	75

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.