1	UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
2	FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT
3	
4	August Term, 2020
5	(Argued: June 9, 2021 Decided: December 27, 2022
6	Docket Nos. 18-2811, 18-2825, 18-2867, 18-2878
7	
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
9	Appellee,
10	- v
11 12	DAVID BLASZCZAK, THEODORE HUBER, ROBERT OLAN, CHRISTOPHER WORRALL,
13 14	Defendants-Appellants.
15	Before: KEARSE, WALKER, and SULLIVAN, Circuit Judges.
16	Appeals, following vacatur and remand by the United States Supreme Court
17	for further consideration, in light of Kelly v. United States, 140 S. Ct. 1565 (2020), of this
18	Court's prior affirmance of judgments of the United States District Court for the Southern
19	District of New York convicting some or all of the defendants on substantive counts of



conversion of government property in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 641, wire fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, and securities fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1348; and convicting certain of the defendants on various counts of conspiring to engage in conduct violating one or more of the above sections, all originating from misappropriation of confidential information from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services ("CMS"), see United States v. Blaszczak, 947 F.3d 19 (2d Cir. 2019), vacated and remanded, 141 S. Ct. 1040, 2021 WL 78042, 2021 WL 78043 (Jan. 11, 2020). On this remand: (A) defendants contend that their argument that the CMS information at issue does not constitute "property" or a "thing of value" within the meaning of the above statutes is supported by the Supreme Court's decision in *Kelly*; (B) the government, concurring in that contention, confesses error as to the substantive counts and as to a count charging only conspiracy to violate §§ 1343 and 1348 (Count Two); and it agrees that either the defendants' convictions on those counts should be reversed, or the cases should be remanded to the district court so that the government can dismiss those counts pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 48(a); and (C) the government seeks affirmance on the remaining conspiracy counts (Counts One and Seventeen).

Given the Supreme Court's decision in *Kelly* and the prosecutorial discretion to which the Executive Branch of the government is entitled, we grant the government's request to remand the cases to the district court for dismissal of the substantive counts and Count Two. As to Counts One and Seventeen, the verdicts do not reveal whether the jury



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1	found that the charged defendants conspired to commit offenses as to which the government
2	has confessed error or instead found that they conspired to engage in other charged criminal
3	conduct. Accordingly, we vacate the convictions on these two counts and remand for such
4	further proceedings as may be appropriate.
5	Remanded for dismissal of the substantive counts and Count Two; vacated and
6	remanded for further proceedings on Counts One and Seventeen.
7	Judge Walker joins the majority opinion and concurs in a separate concurring
8	opinion, in which Judge Kearse joins.
9	Judge Sullivan dissents, in a separate opinion.
10	
10	ERIC J. FEIGIN, Deputy Solicitor General, United States
11	Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. (Elizabeth B.
12 13	Prelogar, Acting Solicitor General, United States Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.; Audrey
14	Strauss, United States Attorney for the Southern District
15	of New York, Ian McGinley, Joshua A. Naftalis, Won S.
16	Shin, Assistant United States Attorneys, New York, New
17	York, on the brief), <i>for Appellee</i> .
18	DONALD B. VERRILLI, JR., Washington, D.C. (Elaine J.
19	Goldenberg, Jonathan S. Meltzer, Dahlia Mignouna,
20	Jacobus P. van der Ven, Munger, Tolles & Olson,
21	Washington, D.C., David Esseks, Eugene Ingoglia,
22	Alexander Bussey, Allen & Overy, New York, New York,
23	on the brief for Defendant-Appellant Robert Olan; Daniel M.
24	Sullivan, James M. McGuire, Holwell Shuster &
25	Goldberg, New York, New York, Stephen Fishbein, John
26	A. Nathanson, Shearman & Sterling, New York, New



27

York, on the brief for Defendant-Appellant Christopher

1	Worrall; Alexandra A.E. Shapiro, Daniel J. O'Neill, Eric S.
2	Olney, Shapiro Arato Bach, New York, New York, Barry
3	H. Berke, Dani R. James, Kramer Levin Naftalis &
4	Frankel, New York, New York, on the brief for Defendant-
5	Appellant Theodore Huber; Colleen P. Cassidy, Barry D.
6	Leiwant, Federal Defenders of New York, New York,
7	New York, on the brief for Defendant-Appellant David
8	Blaszczak), for Defendants-Appellants.
9	KATHERINE R. GOLDSTEIN, New York, New York (Akin
10	Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, New York, New York, on
11	the brief), Court-appointed Amicus Curiae, in support of
12	reinstatement of this Court's decision of affirmance.
13	Peter Neiman, New York, New York (Nicholas Werle, Wilmer
14	Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, New York, New York,
15	Jessica Lutkenhaus, Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and
16	Dorr, Washington, D.C.; Lindsay A. Lewis, Committee of
17	the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers,
18	New York, New York, of counsel), submitted a brief for
19	Amicus Curiae National Association of Criminal Defense
20	Lawyers in support of reversal.
21	Roman Martinez, Washington, D.C. (Michael Clemente, Latham
22	& Watkins, Washington, D.C., Jason M. Ohta, Latham &
23	Watkins, San Diego, California; Stephen R. Cook, Brown
24	Rudnick, Irvine, California, Justin S. Weddle, Weddle
25	Law, New York, New York, of counsel), submitted a brief
26	for Amicus Curiae Jeffrey Wada in support of Defendants-
27	Appellants and reversal.
28	Michael H. McGinley, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Michael P.
29	Corcoran, Dechert, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, of
30	counsel), submitted a brief for Amicus Curiae The Alternative
31	Introctment Management Association in support of retiercal



### KEARSE, Circuit Judge:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

This appeal returns to us on remand from the United States Supreme Court for further consideration, in light of Kelly v. United States, 140 S. Ct. 1565 (2020), of this Court's prior affirmance of judgments of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York convicting defendants David Blaszczak, Theodore Huber, Robert Olan, and Christopher Worrall of conversion of government property in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 641 and wire fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343; and convicting Blaszczak, Huber, and Olan of securities fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1348 ("Title 18 securities fraud"), conspiracy to commit wire fraud and Title 18 securities fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, and conspiracies in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 to, inter alia, convert government property and defraud the United States, all originating from misappropriation of confidential information from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services ("CMS"), see United States v. Blaszczak, 947 F.3d 19 (2d Cir. 2019) ("Blaszczak I"), vacated and remanded, 141 S. Ct. 1040, 2021 WL 78043 (Jan. 11, 2021). On this remand: (A) defendants contend that their argument that the CMS information at issue does not constitute "property" or a "thing of value" within the meaning of the above statutes is supported by the Supreme Court's decision in Kelly; (B) the government, concurring in that contention, confesses error as to those substantive counts and as to a conspiracy count premised only on crimes concerning



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

