	UNITED	STATES CC	OURT OF A	APPEALS	
	FOI	R THE SECO	ND CIRC	UIT	
		August Te	rm, 2020		
(Argued:	May 25, 2021		De	cided: A	August 16, 2022)
		Docket No	. 20-2415		
ELLIS WA	ALKER,				
			Plaintiff-	Appellee,	
		- v			
	H G. SCHULT, K, Counselor, FCI		,	Brook,	JACKII
			Defendan	ts-Appell	ants,
Unit Mai Warden,	PERDUE, Warde nager, FCI Ray FCI Ray Brook, FCI Ray Brook, S	Brook, DA	AVID POI Ary cai	RTER, A	ssociate ssociate



1	FCI Ray Brook, J.L. NORWOOD, Regional Director, HARLEY
2	LAPPIN, Director, Bureau of Prisons,
3	Defendants.*

5 Before: KEARSE, LYNCH, and CHIN, Circuit Judges.

Appeal by defendants Deborah G. Schult and Jackii Sepanek, federal prison officials, from a judgment entered in the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York following a jury trial before Daniel J. Stewart, Magistrate Judge, awarding former prisoner Ellis Walker \$20,000 for mental and emotional injury in this action requesting, inter alia, damages pursuant to Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), for his imprisonment in overcrowded conditions that posed a substantial risk of serious damage to his health or safety, to which appellants were deliberately indifferent, in violation of his rights under the Eighth Amendment to the Constitution. On appeal, appellants contend that the district court erred in denying their motions for judgment as a matter of law on the ground (a) that a Bivens



4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

The Clerk of Court is instructed to amend the official caption to conform with the above.

1	damages remedy is not available for such claims, or (b) that even if such
2	a remedy is available, appellants are entitled to qualified immunity.
3	Without addressing the Bivens question, we conclude that appellants are
4	entitled to judgment as a matter of law on the grounds (a) that in light
5	of the jury's findings that Walker had not proven any physical injury, the
6	Prison Litigation Reform Act precluded the award of damages for mental
7	or emotional injury, see 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(e); (b) that whether or not the
8	facts found by the jury sufficed to establish a violation of Walker's Eighth
9	Amendment rights, any award of nominal damages was precluded by
10	appellants' entitlement to qualified immunity; and (c) that as Walker had
1	been released from prison prior to judgment, his claims for injunctive
12	relief were moot.

Judgment against appellants reversed; remanded for dismissal of the complaint.

> MEGAN BEHRMAN, New York, New York (Blake Denton, William O. Reckler, Latham & Watkins, New York, New York, on the brief), for Plaintiff-Appellee.

> LOWELL V. STURGILL JR., Civil Division, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

(Jeffrey Bossert Clark, Acting Assistant Attorney General, Brian M. Boynton, Acting Assistant Attorney General, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC; Antoinette T. Bacon, Acting United States Attorney for the Northern District of New York, Albany, New York; Barbara L. Herwig, Civil Division, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, on the brief), for Defendants-Appellants.

Samuel Weiss, Washington, DC (for Amicus Curiae Rights Behind Bars), David M. Shapiro, Chicago, Illinois (for Amicus Curiae Roderick & Solange MacArthur Justice Center), filed a brief in support of Plaintiff-Appellee.

KEARSE, Circuit Judge:

Defendants Deborah G. Schult and Jackii Sepanek ("Defendants"), federal prison officials, appeal from a judgment entered in the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York following a jury trial before Daniel J. Stewart, *Magistrate Judge*, awarding former prisoner Ellis Walker \$20,000 for mental and emotional injury in this action requesting, *inter alia*, damages pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971),



for his imprisonment in overcrowded conditions that posed a substantial risk of serious damage to his health or safety, to which Defendants were deliberately indifferent, in violation of his rights under the Eighth Amendment to the Constitution. On appeal, Defendants contend that the district court erred in denying their motions for judgment as a matter of law on the ground (a) that a Bivens damages remedy is not available for such claims, or (b) that even if such a remedy is available, Defendants are entitled to qualified immunity. Without regard to the Bivens question, we conclude for the reasons discussed below that Defendants are entitled to judgment as a matter of law on the grounds (a) that the Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA") precluded the award of damages to Walker for mental or emotional injury because the jury found he had not proven that he suffered any physical injury, see 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(e); (b) that if a constitutional violation by these Defendants was proven, their entitlement to qualified immunity foreclosed an award of nominal damages; and (c) that as Walker had been released from prison prior to judgment, his claims for injunctive relief were moot.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

