

22-491

In the United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

RESTAURANT LAW CENTER,
NEW YORK STATE RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION,
Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

CITY OF NEW YORK, LORELEI SALAS,
IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS COMMISSIONER OF THE NEW YORK CITY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND WORKER PROTECTION,
Defendants - Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the
Southern District of New York, No. 21-cv-4801, Hon. Denise L. Cote

REPLY BRIEF OF APPELLANTS

Leni D. Battaglia
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
101 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10178
(212) 309-7177

William R. Peterson
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 4000
Houston, TX 77002
(713) 890-5188

Angelo I. Amador
RESTAURANT LAW CENTER
2055 L Street, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 492-5037

James D. Nelson
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 739-5411

Counsel for Restaurant Law Center and New York State Restaurant Association

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Table of Authorities	iv
Argument in Reply.....	1
I. The NLRA Preempts the Just Cause Laws.....	2
A. <i>Machinists</i> preemption applies to substantive rules that intrude on the bargaining process and mandate the result of bargaining, and thus are not “minimum labor standards.”	2
B. The Just Cause Laws are not minimum labor standards because they intrude on key bargaining matters in a manner inconsistent with the NLRA’s purposes.	5
1. The City ignores that the Just Cause Laws represent detailed and comprehensive intrusions into many aspects of the labor-management relationship.	5
2. The City ignores that the Just Cause Laws usurp the ability to negotiate on the <i>most central</i> component of CBAs.	8
3. The City ignores the relevance of the fact that these intrusive laws are targeted at a single, narrow industry.....	9
4. The City ignores clear legislative history showing the Laws’ purpose was to provide this industry what a union provides.	10
5. The City’s attempt to distinguish on-point cases falls short. 12	
6. The Laws’ unprecedented scope shows they are not “traditional” or “unexceptional” exercises of state power.....	14
C. The Just Cause Laws alter the balance of power between labor and management in the fast-food industry.	16
D. The Just Cause Laws remove economic tools from employers’ toolbox in a manner that violates <i>Machinists</i>	18

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
II. The Just Cause Laws Violate the Dormant Commerce Clause.....	19
A. The City concedes that the “practical effect” of the Just Cause Laws is to discriminate against interstate commerce.....	20
B. The City cannot show that other available non-discriminatory means could not serve its legitimate local purpose.....	21
1. The City fails to cite any evidence supporting its argument.....	22
2. Imposing regulatory costs on more-profitable interstate businesses violates the dormant Commerce Clause.	24
3. The City’s argument about franchisees conflicts with the Just Cause Laws.	26
C. No case supports the City’s position.	27
1. The City cannot defend the district court’s reliance on <i>VIZIO</i>	27
2. The City’s reliance on <i>In re National Restaurant Association v. Commissioner of Labor</i> is misleading.....	28
3. The City cannot distinguish <i>Cachia v. Islamorada</i>	28
Conclusion	31
Certificate of Compliance	32

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>520 S. Michigan Ave. Assocs., Ltd. v. Shannon</i> , 549 F.3d 1119 (7th Cir. 2008)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Associated Builders & Contractors of S. Cal. v. Nunn</i> , 356 F.3d 979 (9th Cir. 2004)	13
<i>Baldwin v. G.A.F. Seelig, Inc.</i> , 294 U.S. 511 (1935).....	25
<i>Bassette v. Stone Container Corp.</i> , No. 89-33, 1992 WL 613289 (D. Mont. Oct. 9, 1992), <i>aff'd</i> , 25 F.3d 757 (9th Cir. 1994)	8
<i>Cachia v. Islamorada</i> , 542 F.3d 839 (11th Cir. 2008)	28, 29
<i>Chamber of Com. of U.S. v. Bragdon</i> , 64 F.3d 497 (9th Cir. 1995)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Cloverland-Green Spring Dairies, Inc. v. Pa. Milk Mktg. Bd.</i> , 298 F.3d 201 (3d Cir. 2002)	20, 25
<i>Concerned Home Care Providers, Inc. v. Cuomo</i> , 783 F.3d 77 (2d Cir. 2015)	<i>passim</i>
<i>CTS Corp. v. Dynamics Corp. of Am.</i> , 481 U.S. 69 (1987).....	21
<i>Exxon Corp. v. Governor of Md.</i> , 437 U.S. 117 (1978).....	29, 30
<i>Golden State Transit Corp. v. City of Los Angeles</i> , 475 U.S. 608 (1986).....	3, 18
<i>Hughes v. Oklahoma</i> , 441 U.S. 322 (1979).....	24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>Hull v. Dutton</i> , 935 F.2d 1194 (11th Cir. 1991)	14
<i>Int'l Franchise Ass'n, Inc. v. City of Seattle</i> , 803 F.3d 389 (9th Cir. 2015)	30
<i>Maine v. Taylor</i> , 477 U.S. 131 (1986).....	21
<i>Metro. Life Ins. Co. v. Massachusetts</i> , 471 U.S. 724 (1985).....	3, 4, 14, 17
<i>N.Y. Pet Welfare Ass'n v. City of New York</i> , 850 F.3d 79 (2d Cir. 2017)	21
<i>In re Nat'l Rest. Ass'n v. Comm'r of Lab.</i> , 141 A.D.3d 185 (N.Y. App. Div. 3d Dep't 2016).....	28
<i>Or. Waste Sys., Inc. v. Dep't of Env't Quality</i> , 511 U.S. 93 (1994).....	21
<i>Peabody Galion v. Dollar</i> , 666 F.2d 1309 (10th Cir. 1981)	16
<i>R.I. Hosp. Ass'n v. City of Providence</i> , 667 F.3d 17 (1st Cir. 2011).....	3, 15
<i>Rondout Elec., Inc. v. NYS Dep't of Lab.</i> , 335 F.3d 162 (2d Cir. 2003)	12
<i>St. Thomas-St. John Hotel & Tourism Ass'n, Inc. v. Gov't of U.S. Virgin Islands</i> , 218 F.3d 232 (3d Cir. 2000)	14, 15
<i>VIZIO, Inc. v. Klee</i> , 886 F.3d 249 (2d Cir. 2018)	27

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.