FILED

United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

PUBLISH

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

July 26, 2021

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

Christopher M. Wolpert Clerk of Court

303 CREATIVE LLC, a limited liability company; LORIE SMITH,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v. No. 19-1413

AUBREY ELENIS; CHARLES GARCIA; AJAY MENON; MIGUEL RENE ELIAS; RICHARD LEWIS; KENDRA ANDERSON; SERGIO CORDOVA; JESSICA POCOCK; PHIL WEISER,

Defendants - Appellees.

FOUNDATION FOR MORAL LAW; CATO INSTITUTE; CENTER FOR **RELIGIOUS EXPRESSION:** CATHOLICVOTE.ORG EDUCATION FUND; LAW AND ECONOMIC SCHOLARS; TYNDALE HOUSE PUBLISHERS; CROSSROADS PRODUCTIONS, INC., d/b/a Catholic Creatives; WHITAKER PORTRAIT DESIGN, INC., d/b/a Christian Professional Photographers; THE BRINER INSTITUTE, INC.; STATE OF ARIZONA; STATE OF ALABAMA; STATE OF ALASKA; STATE OF ARKANSAS; STATE OF KENTUCKY; STATE OF LOUISIANA; STATE OF MISSOURI; STATE OF MONTANA; STATE OF NEBRASKA; STATE OF OKLAHOMA; STATE OF SOUTH



CAROLINA; STATE OF TENNESSEE; STATE OF TEXAS; STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA; ROBERT P. GEORGE, Professor; AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF COLORADO; AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION; AMERICANS UNITED FOR SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE; ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE; BEND THE ARC: A JEWISH PARTNERSHIP FOR JUSTICE; CENTRAL CONFERENCE OF AMERICAN RABBIS; GLOBAL JUSTICE INSTITUTE, METROPOLITAN COMMUNITY CHURCHES; HADASSAH, THE WOMEN'S ZIONIST ORGANIZATION OF AMERICA, INC.; HINDU AMERICAN FOUNDATION; INTERFAITH ALLIANCE FOUNDATION; INTERFAITH ALLIANCE OF COLORADO; MEN OF REFORM JUDAISM; PEOPLE FOR THE AMERICAN WAY FOUNDATION; RECONSTRUCTIONIST RABBINICAL ASSOCIATION; SIKH COALITION; WOMEN OF REFORM JUDAISM; UNION FOR REFORM JUDAISM; STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS; STATE OF CALIFORNIA; STATE OF CONNECTICUT; STATE OF DELAWARE; DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA; STATE OF HAWAII; STATE OF ILLINOIS; STATE OF MAINE; STATE OF MARYLAND; STATE OF MINNESOTA; STATE OF NEVADA; STATE OF NEW JERSEY; STATE OF NEW MEXICO; STATE OF NEW YORK; STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA; STATE OF OREGON; STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA; STATE OF RHODE ISLAND; STATE OF VERMONT; STATE OF VIRGINIA; STATE OF WASHINGTON; LAW



PROFESSORS OF THE STATE OF COLORADO; LAW PROFESSORS FROM THE STATE OF KANSAS; LAW PROFESSORS FROM THE STATE OF **NEW MEXICO; LAW PROFESSORS** FROM THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA: LAW PROFESSORS FROM THE STATE OF UTAH; LAW PROFESSORS FROM THE STATE OF WYOMING; LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER LAW; SOUTHERN POVERTY LAW CENTER; ASIAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE & EDUCATION FUND; LATINOJUSTICE PRLDEF; LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS; NATIONAL ACTION NETWORK; THE CENTER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS; CENTER FOR CONSITUTIONAL RIGHTS; FLOYD ABRAMS; ERWIN CHEMERINSKY; WALTER DELLINGER; KERMIT ROOSEVELT; AMANDA SHANOR; REBECCA TUSHNET; MAX H. BAZERMAN; MONICA C. BELL; ISSA KOHLER-HAUSMANN; DAVID LAIBSON; ADAM J. LEVITIN; MARY-**HUNTER MCDONNELL; NEERU** PAHARIA; NINA STROHMINGER; TOM R. TYLER; LAUREN E. WILLIS; LAMBDA LEGAL DEFENSE & EDUCATION FUND, INC.,

Amici Curiae.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Colorado (D.C. No. 1:16-CV-02372-MSK-CBS)

Kristin K. Waggoner (Jonathan A. Scruggs and Katherine L. Anderson, Alliance Defending Freedom, Scottsdale, Arizona; David A. Cortman and John J. Bursch, Alliance



Appellate Case: 19-1413 Document: 010110553596 Date Filed: 07/26/2021 Page: 4

Defending Freedom, Washington, DC, with her on the briefs), Alliance Defending Freedom, Scottsdale, Arizona, appearing for Plaintiffs-Appellants.

Eric R. Olson, Solicitor General (Phillip J. Weiser, Colorado Attorney General; Billy Lee Seiber, First Assistant Attorney General; Jack D. Patten, III, Senior Assistant Attorney General; Vincent E. Morscher and Skippere S. Spear, with him on the brief), Colorado Department of Law, Denver, Colorado, appearing for Defendants-Appellees.

Before TYMKOVICH, Chief Judge, BRISCOE, and MURPHY, Circuit Judges.

BRISCOE, Circuit Judge.

I. Introduction

Appellants Lorie Smith and her website design company 303 Creative, LLC (collectively, "Appellants") appeal the district court's grant of summary judgment in favor of Appellees Aubrey Elenis, Director of the Colorado Civil Rights Division (the "Director"), Anthony Aragon, Ulysses J. Chaney, Miguel Rene Elias, Carol Fabrizio, Heidi Hess, Rita Lewis, and Jessica Pocock, members of the Colorado Civil Rights Commission (the "Commission"), and Phil Weiser, Colorado Attorney General (collectively, "Colorado"). Appellants challenge Colorado's Anti-Discrimination Act ("CADA") on free speech, free exercise, and vagueness and overbreadth grounds.

As to our jurisdiction, we hold that Appellants have standing to challenge CADA. As to the merits, we hold that CADA satisfies strict scrutiny, and thus permissibly compels Appellants' speech. We also hold that CADA is a neutral law of general applicability, and that it is not unconstitutionally vague or overbroad.



Accordingly, exercising jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, we affirm the district court's grant of summary judgment in favor of Colorado.

II. Background

A. Factual Background

1. CADA

CADA restricts a public accommodation's ability to refuse to provide services based on a customer's identity. Specifically, CADA defines a public accommodation as "any place of business engaged in any sales to the public and any place offering services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to the public." Colo. Rev. Stat. § 24-34-601(1). Exempted from CADA's definition of public accommodations are places that are "principally used for religious purposes." *Id.*

Under CADA's "Accommodation Clause," a public accommodation may not:

directly or indirectly . . . refuse . . . to an individual or a group, because of . . . sexual orientation . . . the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of a place of public accommodation

Colo. Rev. Stat. § 24-34-601(2)(a).

Under CADA's "Communication Clause," a public accommodation also may not:

directly or indirectly . . . publish . . . any . . . communication . . . that indicates that the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of a place of public accommodation will be refused . . . or that an individual's patronage . . . is unwelcome, objectionable, unacceptable, or undesirable because of . . . sexual orientation



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

