Appellate Case: 21-8058 Document: 010110939489 Date Filed: 10/23/2023 Page: 1

# FILED United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

#### **PUBLISH**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

October 23, 2023

### FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

Christopher M. Wolpert Clerk of Court

JOHN C. FRANK,

Plaintiff - Appellee/Cross-Appellant,

v.

Nos. 21-8058, 21-8059, and 21-8060

DEBRA LEE, Laramie County Clerk, in her official capacity; CHARLES GRAY, Wyoming Secretary of State, in his official capacity; SYLVIA HACKL, Laramie County District Attorney, in her official capacity,

Defendants - Appellants/Cross-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Wyoming (D.C. No. 2:20-CV-00138-NDF)

James Peters, Senior Assistant Attorney General (Mackenzie Williams, Senior Assistant Attorney General, State of Wyoming, Cheyenne, Wyoming, with him on the briefs), for Defendants-Appellants/Cross-Appellees Charles Gray and Sylvia Hackl.

J. Mark Stewart of Davis & Cannon, LLP, Cheyenne, Wyoming (Catherine M. Young, Davis & Cannon, LLP, with him on the briefs), for Defendant-Appellant/Cross-Appellee Debra Lee.

Stephen R. Klein of Barr & Klein PLLC, Washington, District of Columbia (Benjamin Barr of Barr & Klein PLLC, Chicago, Illinois, with him on the briefs) for Plaintiff-Appellee/Cross-Appellant.



Before <b>HOLMES</b> , Ch Judges.	ief Judge, <b>MATHESON</b>	and <b>ROSSMAN</b> , Circuit
ROSSMAN, Circuit &	udge	

Wyoming law prohibits electioneering within 300 feet of a polling place on an election day and within 100 feet of an absentee polling place during the 45-day period when absentee voting is being conducted. Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 22-26-113 (the electioneering statute); Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 22-6-107(b). At issue is whether these prohibitions violate the First Amendment.

Plaintiff John C. Frank<sup>1</sup> sued Wyoming state and local officials<sup>2</sup> in federal district court under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, contending the electioneering

During the pendency of this appeal, Plaintiff-Appellee/Cross-Appellant Grassfire LLC dissolved. On September 28, 2023, counsel for Grassfire LLC notified the court of this development and moved for partial voluntary dismissal with prejudice under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 42(b)(3). The court grants the unopposed motion, dismisses Grassfire as a party, and dismisses the portion of the appeal related to Grassfire only. Grassfire had separately challenged Wyoming's election day prohibition on signature gathering, Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 22-26-113, but the district court left this issue unaddressed without explanation. Mr. Frank concedes he does not have standing to pursue this claim himself.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Plaintiff sued Debra Lee, the Laramie County Clerk; Ed Buchanan, the Wyoming Secretary of State; and Leigh Anne Manlove, the District Attorney of Larimer County. As of January 3, 2023, Charles Gray succeeded Mr. Buchanan as Wyoming Secretary of State and Sylvia Hackl succeeded

Appellate Case: 21-8058 Document: 010110939489 Date Filed: 10/23/2023 Page: 3

statute violated the First Amendment, facially and as applied. Mr. Frank, a Wyoming citizen, alleged the statute unconstitutionally prevented him from handing out campaign literature and displaying bumper stickers on his car within the 300-foot buffer zone. Mr. Frank also claimed the statute was overbroad because it violated the First Amendment rights of third parties who could not display campaign signs on private property falling within the statutory buffer zones.

The parties filed cross-motions for summary judgment. The district court granted each in part, striking down some parts of the electioneering statute and upholding the rest. Specifically, the district court held the ban on electioneering within 300 feet of polling places on election day was unconstitutional, as was the ban on bumper stickers within the election day and absentee period buffer zones. But the district court upheld the statute's prohibition on electioneering within 100 feet of absentee polling places. It

Mr. Gray and Ms. Lee are the chief elections officers for the State and Laramie County, respectively. Ms. Hackl is responsible for prosecuting crimes in Laramie County, including violations of the electioneering statute. We refer to them collectively as "Defendants."



Ms. Manlove as Laramie County District Attorney. Under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 43(c)(2), they are automatically substituted as parties in this matter.

also concluded there was an insufficient factual basis to consider Plaintiff's overbreadth claim. All parties timely appealed.<sup>3</sup>

Exercising jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, we affirm in part, reverse in part, and remand for further proceedings. We uphold the electioneering statute against Mr. Frank's First Amendment challenge to the size of, and conduct proscribed within, the 300-foot election-day buffer zone. We reverse and remand on Mr. Frank's constitutional challenge to the absentee buffer zone, including the electioneering conduct proscribed within that zone. Finally, we remand for the district court to adjudicate in the first instance Mr. Frank's facial overbreadth challenge.

Ι

We begin by reciting the history of the electioneering statute and its enforcement in Wyoming. We then detail the factual and procedural background of the constitutional challenges now before us.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Defendant Lee's appeal was docketed in case number 21-8058; Defendants Gray and Hackl's in 21-8059; Plaintiff cross-appealed in 21-8060. We consolidated the appeals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> These facts derive from Plaintiff's verified complaint and attachments thereto as well as the parties' summary judgment briefing.

A

1

The polling place is where the act of voting itself takes place. Like every other state and the District of Columbia, Wyoming regulates electioneering around polling places.<sup>5</sup> The state's electioneering statute provides:

(a) Electioneering too close to a polling place or absentee polling place under W.S. 22-9-125 when voting is being conducted, consists of any

The remaining fifteen states prohibit electioneering at further distances, from 150, 300, or even 600 feet. See Alaska Stat. §§ 15.15.170, 15.56.016(a)(2) (200 feet); Fla. Stat. Ann. § 102.031(4)(a) (150 feet); Ga. Code Ann. § 21-2-414(a) (150 feet, or within 25 feet of any voter standing in line); Haw. Rev. Stat. § 11-132(a), (d) (200 feet); Iowa Code § 39A.4(1)(a)(1) (300 feet); Kan. Stat. Ann. § 25-2430(a) (250 feet); La. Stat. Ann. § 18:1462 (600 feet); Me. Stat. tit. 21-A, § 682(2), (3) (250 feet); Mass. Gen. Laws Ann.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Most jurisdictions—thirty-five states and the District of Columbia prohibit electioneering within 100 feet or less of the polling location. See Ala. Code § 17-9-50; Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. §§ 16-515, 16-1018(1); Ark. Code Ann. § 7-1-103(a)(8); Cal. Elec. Code §§ 319.5, 18370; Colo. Rev. Stat. § 1-13-714(1)(a); Conn. Gen. Stat. § 9-236; Del. Code Ann., tit. 15, § 4942; D.C. Code § 1-1001.10(b)(2); Idaho Code § 18-2318(1); 10 Ill. Comp. Stat. § 5/7-41(c); Ind. Stat. Ann. §§ 3-14-3-16, 3-5-2-10; Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 117.235(3); Md. Code Ann. Elec. Law § 16-206(b); Mich. Comp. Laws Ann. § 168.931(1)(k); Minn. Stat. Ann. §§ 204C.06(1), 211B.11(b); Mo. Rev. Stat. § 115.637(18); Mont. Code Ann. § 13-35-211(1); Nev. Rev. Stat. § 293.740; N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 659:43(II); N.J. Stat. Ann. § 19:34-15; N.M. Stat. Ann. § 1-20-16; N.Y. Elec. Law § 8-104(1); N.C. Gen. Stat. § 163-166.4; N.D. Cent. Code Ann. § 16.1-10-06(1); Ohio Rev. Code Ann. §§ 3501.30(A)(4), 3501.35(A); Or. Rev. Stat. § 260.695(3); 25 Pa. Stat. and Cons. Stat. Ann. § 3060(d); 17 R.I. Gen. Laws Ann. § 17-19-49; S.D. Codified Laws § 12-18-3; Tenn. Code Ann. § 2-7-111(a), (b)(1); Tex. Elec. Code Ann. §§ 61.003, 85.036; Vt. Stat. Ann., tit. 17, § 2508(a)(1); Va. Code Ann. § 24.2-604; Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 29A.84.510; W. Va. Code §§ 3-1-37, 3-9-9; Wis. Stat. § 12.03.

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

