## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK VICINAGE

DARROLL SAVAGE, on behalf of himself
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

v.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V.; PHILIPS NORTH AMERICA LLC; and PHILIPS RS NORTH AMERICA LLC,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Darroll Savage ("Plaintiff" or "Plaintiff Savage"), on behalf of himself, the class and subclass of all others similarly situated as defined below, for his complaint against Defendants Koninklijke Philips N.V. ("Royal Philips"), Philips North America LLC ("Philips NA"), and Philips RS North America LLC ("Philips RS") (collectively, Royal Philips, Philips

### INTRODUCTION

NA, and Philips RS are "Philips" or the "Defendants"), alleges the following based on (a)

personal knowledge, (b) the investigation of counsel, and (c) information and belief.

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and a proposed class of purchasers and users of Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP) and Bi-Level Positive Airway Pressure (Bi-Level PAP) devices and mechanical ventilators manufactured by Philips, which contain polyester-based polyurethane sound abatement foam ("PE-PUR Foam").
- 2. On April 26, 2021, Philips made a public announcement disclosing it had determined there were risks that the PE-PUR Foam used in certain CPAP, Bi-Level PAP, and mechanical ventilator devices it manufactured may degrade or off-gas under certain circumstances.



- 3. On June 14, 2021, Royal Philips issued a recall in the United States of its CPAP, Bi-Level PAP, and mechanical ventilator devices containing PE-PUR Foam, because Philips had determined that (a) the PE-PUR Foam was at risk for degradation into particles that may enter the devices' pathway and be ingested or inhaled by users, and (b) the PE-PUR Foam may off-gas certain chemicals during operation. Philips further disclosed in its Recall Notice that "these issues can result in serious injury which can be life-threatening, cause permanent impairment, and/or require medical intervention to preclude permanent impairment."
- 4. Philips has disclosed that the absence of visible particles in the devices does not mean that PE-PUR Foam breakdown has not already begun. Philips reported that lab analysis of the degraded foam reveals the presence of harmful chemicals, including: Toluene Diamine ("TDA"), Toluene Diisocyanate ("TDI"), and Diethylene Glycol ("DEG").<sup>3</sup>
- 5. Prior to issuing the Recall Notice, Philips received complaints regarding the presence of black debris/particles within the airpath circuit of its devices (extending from the device outlet, humidifier, tubing, and mask). Philips also received reports of headaches, upper airway irritation, cough, chest pressure and sinus infection from users of these devices.
- 6. In its Recall Notice, Philips disclosed that the potential risks of particulate exposure to users of these devices include: irritation (skin, eye, and respiratory tract), inflammatory response, headache, asthma, adverse effects to other organs (*e.g.*, kidneys and liver) and toxic carcinogenic affects. The potential risks of chemical exposure due to off-gassing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Philips Sleep and Respiratory Care Update; Clinical information for physicians, https://www.philips.com/c-dam/b2bhc/master/landing-pages/src/update/documents/philips-recall-clinical-information-for-physicians-and-providers.pdf (accessed June 27, 2021).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Philips Recall Notice attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Id*.

of PE-PUR Foam in these devices include: headache/dizziness, irritation (eyes, nose, respiratory tract, skin), hypersensitivity, nausea/vomiting, toxic and carcinogenic effects.

- 7. Philips recommended that patients using the recalled CPAP and Bi-Level PAP devices immediately discontinue using their devices and that patients using the recalled ventilators for life-sustaining therapy consult with their physicians regarding alternative ventilator options.
- 8. In approximately June 2016, Plaintiff Savage purchased a Philips DreamStation CPAP device, which he used nightly from the date of receipt until August 2018.
- 9. In August 2021, Plaintiff Savage learned, via news media, that his Philips

  DreamStation CPAP device was subject to a recall due to the presence of a dangerous PE-PUR

  Foam that could cause him to suffer from adverse health effects, including, *inter alia*, cancer and organ failure.
- 10. He learned that it was recommended that users of recalled devices, like himself, discontinue use of the devices.
  - 11. Plaintiff Savage has and will suffer economic loss to replace the devices.
- 12. Plaintiff Savage seeks to recover damages based on, *inter alia*, Philips' breach of express warranty, breach of implied warranties, misrepresentations, omissions, and breaches of state consumer protection laws in connection with its manufacture, marketing and sales of devices containing PE-PUR Foam on behalf of himself and the proposed Class and Subclass. In addition, Plaintiff Savage seeks medical monitoring damages for users of Philips' devices identified in the Recall Notice, who are at risk of suffering from serious injury, including irritation (skin, eye, and respiratory tract), inflammatory response, headache, asthma, adverse effects to other organs (*e.g.*, kidneys and liver) and toxic carcinogenic affects.



## **PARTIES**

- 13. Plaintiff Darroll Savage is a citizen of the Commonwealth of Virginia.
- 14. Defendant Royal Philips is a Dutch multinational corporation with its principal place of business located in Amsterdam, Netherlands. Royal Philips is the parent company of the Philips Group of healthcare technology businesses, including Connected Care businesses focusing on Sleep & Respiratory Care. Royal Philips holds directly or indirectly 100% of its subsidiaries Philips NA and Philips RS.<sup>4</sup> Upon information and belief, Royal Philips controls Philips NA and Philips RS in the manufacturing, selling, distributing, and supplying of the recalled CPAP, Bi-Level PAP, and mechanical ventilator devices.<sup>5</sup>
- 15. Defendant Philips NA is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 222 Jacobs Street, Floor 3, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02141. Philips NA is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Philips.
- 16. Defendant Philips RS is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 6501 Living Place, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15206. Philips RS is a whollyowned subsidiary of Royal Philips. Philips RS was formerly operated under the business name Respironics, Inc. ("Respironics"). Royal Philips acquired Respironics in 2008.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Philips announces completion of tender offer to acquire Respironics, WEB WIRE, https://www.webwire.com/ViewPressRel.asp?aId=61199 (accessed June 27, 2021).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Philips 2020 annual filing with the SEC, fn. 8, https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/313216/000031321621000008/phg-exhibit8.htm (accessed June 30, 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Philips 2020 annual filing with the SEC, https://www.sec.gov/ix?doc=/Archives/edgar/data/0000313216/000031321621000008/phg-20201231.htm (accessed June 30, 2021).

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 17. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because (1) the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, (2) the action is a class action, (3) there are members of the Class and Subclass who are diverse from Defendants, and (4) there are more than 100 class members. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367, because they form part of the same case or controversy as the claims within the Court's original jurisdiction.
- 18. Venue is proper in this judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) and 18 U.S.C. § 1965, because Defendants transact business in this District, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District; because the Plaintiff resides in this District; and because the Defendants caused harm to class members residing in the District.
- 19. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because Defendants conduct substantial business in this District, and the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims arise out of and relate to Defendants' contacts with this District. Moreover, Defendants' affiliations with this District are so continuous and systematic as to render them essentially at home in the forum State. Further, Defendants have transacted business, maintained substantial contacts, purposefully targeted consumers and medical professionals for sales of its devices and/or committed overt acts in furtherance of the unlawful acts alleged in this Complaint in this District, as well as throughout the United States. The unlawful acts of Defendants have been directed at, targeted, and have had the effect of causing injury to persons residing in, located in, or doing business in this District, as well as throughout the United States.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

