

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FILED IN THE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Jan 14, 2021

SEAN F. McAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

VALLEY PROCESSING, INC.,
a corporation, and MARY ANN
BLIESNER, individually,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-3191 -SAB

**CONSENT DECREE OF
PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

Plaintiff, the United States of America, by its undersigned attorneys, having filed
a Complaint for Permanent Injunction against Valley Processing, Inc. (“Valley

1 Processing”) and Mary Ann Bliesner, (collectively, “Defendants”), and Defendants
2 having appeared and consented to the entry of this Consent Decree of Permanent
3 Injunction (“Decree”) without contest and before any testimony has been taken, and the
4 United States of America having consented to this Decree;
5

6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:**

- 7
- 8 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over all parties to this
9 action.
 - 10 2. The Complaint for Permanent Injunction states a cause of action against
11 Defendants under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (“the Act”), 21 U.S.C. §§
12 301 *et seq.*
 - 13 3. Defendants violate the Act, 21 U.S.C. § 331(a), by introducing or delivering for
14 introduction into interstate commerce, or the causing thereof, articles of food within the
15 meaning of 21 U.S.C. § 321(f), namely single strength fruit juice and fruit juice
16 concentrate, including bulk apple, pear, and grape juice products (“juice products”) that
17 are adulterated, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 331(a).
 - 18 4. Defendants violate the Act, 21 U.S.C. § 331(k), by causing the adulteration of
19 articles of food while such articles are held for sale after shipment of one or more
20 components in interstate commerce.
 - 21 5. The articles of food are adulterated within the meaning of 21 U.S.C. §
22 342(a)(4) in that they have been prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions
23 whereby they may have become contaminated with filth or rendered injurious to health.
24
25
26
27
28

1 6. The articles of food are also adulterated within the meaning of 21 U.S.C. §
2 342(a)(3) in that the food “consists in whole or in part of any filthy, putrid, or
3 decomposed substance, or if it is otherwise unfit for food.”
4

5 7. Defendants represent to the Court that, with the exception of holding and
6 shipping product for destruction pursuant to paragraph 9, at the time of entry of this
7 Decree, they are not engaged in processing, manufacturing, preparing, packing, holding,
8 or distributing any type of food. With the exception of any product in Defendant’s
9 possession that is covered by paragraph 9, if Defendants later intend to resume
10 processing, manufacturing, preparing, packing, holding, or distributing food, they must
11 first notify the United States Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) in writing at least
12 ninety (90) calendar days in advance of resuming operations and comply with Paragraph
13 8 of this Decree. This notice shall identify the type(s) of food Defendants intend to
14 receive, prepare, process, pack, hold, or distribute. Defendants shall not resume
15 operations until FDA has inspected the Defendants’ facility(ies) and operations pursuant
16 to Paragraph 8(B)(xiv), Defendants have paid the costs of such inspection(s) pursuant to
17 Paragraph 12, and Defendants have received written notice from FDA, as required by
18 Paragraph 8(B)(xv), and then shall resume operations only to the extent authorized in
19 FDA’s written notice.
20
21
22
23
24

25 8. Upon entry of this Decree, Defendants and each and all of their directors,
26 officers, agents, representatives, employees, attorneys, successors, assigns, and any and
27 all persons in active concert or participation with any of them (including individuals,
28

1 directors, partnerships, corporations, subsidiaries, and affiliates) who receive notice of
2 this Decree, are permanently restrained and enjoined under 21 U.S.C. § 332(a), and the
3 inherent equitable authority of this Court, from directly or indirectly receiving,
4 processing, manufacturing, preparing, packing, holding, and/or distributing, at or from
5 any facility from which Defendants receive, prepare, process, manufacture, pack, hold,
6 and/or distribute food (“Defendants’ facilities”), any article of food, unless and until the
7 following occur:
8
9

10 A. Defendants select an expert or experts (the “sanitation expert”) having no
11 personal or financial ties (other than a consulting agreement) to the Defendants or the
12 Defendants’ manufacturing operations and who, by reason of background, education,
13 training, and experience, is qualified to develop, and ensure adequate implementation of,
14 a written sanitation control program, covering the Defendants’ manufacturing processes,
15 cleaning and sanitizing operations, pest control, employee health and hygiene
16 precautions, and plant construction and maintenance (including the plant’s buildings and
17 sanitation-related systems (plumbing, sewage disposal), equipment, and utensils
18 contained therein), to protect against contamination of food, food-contact surfaces, and
19 food-packaging materials with chemicals, toxins, microorganisms, and filth;
20
21

22 i. Defendants inform FDA in writing of the name and qualifications of
23 the sanitation expert(s) as soon as they retain such expert. The sanitation expert(s)
24 develops a written sanitation control program for preparing, packing, holding, and
25 distributing the Defendants’ juice products;
26
27
28

1 ii. FDA approves, in writing, the sanitation control program developed
2 by the sanitation expert(s);

3 iii. Defendants make English and Spanish versions of the sanitation
4 control program available and accessible to all their employees;

5 iv. Defendants develop a written employee training program (in English
6 and Spanish) that includes, at a minimum, instruction in sanitation control requirements
7 for food-handling and manufacturing, and the Defendants document that each employee
8 has received such training;

9 v. Defendants assign the responsibility and authority for implementing
10 and monitoring the sanitation control program on a continuing basis to an employee who
11 is trained in sanitation control requirements;

12 vi. The sanitation expert(s) inspects the Defendants' plant, including the
13 buildings, sanitation-related systems, equipment, utensils, articles of food, and relevant
14 records contained therein to determine whether the Defendants have adequately
15 established and implemented the FDA-approved sanitation control program, whether
16 Defendants have adequately addressed the FDA investigators' inspectional observations
17 listed on each Form FDA-483 issued to the Defendants since 2016, and whether
18 Defendants comply with Current Good Manufacturing Practice ("CGMP") requirements
19 set forth in 21 C.F.R. Part 117 subparts A, B, and F; and

20 vii. The sanitation expert certifies in writing to FDA that Defendants:
21 (a) have adequately established and implemented the FDA-approved sanitation control
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.