

The Honorable Richard A. Jones

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

DEBORAH FRAME-WILSON, CHRISTIAN  
SABOL, SAMANTHIA RUSSELL, ARTHUR  
SCHAREIN, LIONEL KEROS, NATHAN  
CHANEY, CHRIS GULLEY, SHERYL  
TAYLOR-HOLLY, ANTHONY COURTNEY,  
DAVE WESTROPE, STACY DUTILL,  
SARAH ARRINGTON, MARY ELLIOT,  
HEATHER GEESEY, STEVE MORTILLARO,  
CHAUNDA LEWIS, ADRIAN HENNEN,  
GLENDA R. HILL, GAIL MURPHY,  
PHYLLIS HUSTER, and GERRY  
KOCHENDORFER, on behalf of themselves  
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

No. 2:20-cv-00424-RAJ

MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF  
INTERIM CO-LEAD CLASS COUNSEL  
AND PLAINTIFFS' EXECUTIVE  
COMMITTEE

NOTE FOR MOTION CALENDAR:  
January 27, 2023

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. STATEMENT OF FACTS .....	1
III. LEGAL STANDARD.....	2
IV. ARGUMENT .....	3
A. Counsel Have Already Done Substantial Work To Litigate Amazon’s MFN Clause.....	3
B. Counsel Have Significant Experience With Complex Class Actions And Deep Knowledge Of Antitrust Law And Problems Posed By Large Technology Firms.....	4
1. Hagens Berman.....	5
2. Keller Postman.....	6
3. Quinn Emanuel .....	8
4. Keller Rohrback.....	10
C. Counsel Will Bring Extensive Human and Financial Resources to Litigate These Proposed Class Actions.....	11
D. Counsel Bring Diverse Backgrounds, Experiences, And Perspectives To The Case .....	12
V. CONCLUSION.....	12

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

**CASES**

1

2

3

4 *McFadden v. Microsoft Corp.*,

5 2020 WL 5642822 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 22, 2020).....2

6 *In re Stubhub Refund Litig.*,

7 2020 WL 8669823 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 18, 2020) .....1

8 *In re WorldCom, Inc. ERISA Litig.*,

9 2004 WL 2338151 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 18, 2004).....10

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

10 Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g)(1)(B) .....12

11 Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g)(1)(A)(i) .....3

12 Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g)(1)(A)(ii)-(iii).....4

13 Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g)(1)(A)(iv) .....11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## I. INTRODUCTION

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g)(3), the Court “may designate interim counsel to act on behalf of a putative class before determining whether to certify the action as a class action.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 23. The court should “designate interim counsel during the pre-certification period if necessary to protect the interests of the putative class.” *In re Stubhub Refund Litig.*, 2020 WL 8669823, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 18, 2020). Appointment of lead counsel is necessary because Plaintiffs recently learned of a substantially similar proposed class action recently filed in the Southern District of New York. *See* Dkt. 80. To avoid duplicative efforts, minimize costs, and avoid risks to the Class by conflicting representation, Plaintiffs seek leave to appoint their counsel as interim lead counsel. Plaintiffs further propose a leadership structure for the current proposed class action, consisting of Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP (“Hagens Berman”) and Keller Postman LLC (“Keller Postman”) as Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel, as well as Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP (“Quinn Emanuel”) and Keller Rohrback L.L.P. (“Keller Rohrback”) as members of a Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee (“PEC”).

These appointments are warranted pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g)(3). The above firms have already invested substantial time and resources to investigate, file, and litigate the claims at issue in the proposed class actions. They have deep experience prosecuting complex class actions and antitrust cases and will bring that experience, and the firms’ vast resources, to these cases. Counsel will coordinate closely with one another to prosecute the class actions efficiently and effectively, without duplication of effort, and will represent the diversity of our society and the legal profession with their varied backgrounds and experiences. Plaintiffs, therefore, respectfully request that the Court adopt the proposed leadership structure.

## II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

The above firms are counsel in the current action on behalf of consumers who were harmed by Amazon’s anticompetitive conduct. In March 2020, Plaintiffs filed a complaint alleging that in Amazon’s agreement with third-party merchants that sell products on its platform, Amazon imposed a “most favored nation” (MFN) clause to prevent those merchants from selling their products for lower prices on competing online platforms. This resulted in

1 supra-competitive prices for those products, both on Amazon’s platform and on competing  
2 online platforms. In March 2022, the Court denied Amazon’s motion to dismiss Plaintiffs’  
3 federal monopoly and rule of reason antitrust claims and allowed Plaintiffs to amend their  
4 dismissed claims (Dkt. 48). While Amazon’s motion to dismiss Plaintiffs’ amended claims is  
5 pending before this Court, after the Court granted Plaintiffs’ motion to compel Amazon’s  
6 participation in a 26(f) conference (Dkt. 63), the parties have proceeded to discovery over the  
7 last several months. *See* Dkt. 70 (discovery case schedule). Meanwhile, Plaintiffs’ counsel first  
8 learned on December 30 of the substantially similar action filed in the Southern District of New  
9 York in November 2022—nearly three years after the filing of the instant action.

10 The proposed leadership structure fairly recognizes Hagens Berman’s and Keller  
11 Rohrback’s innovative work in filing the original consumer class action against Amazon, and  
12 Keller Postman’s and Quinn Emanuel’s role furthering the litigation. All Plaintiffs also agree that  
13 this leadership structure will facilitate the efficient and successful litigation of all proposed class  
14 actions, particularly given that the four firms in the proposed leadership structure also serve as  
15 counsel in the related case, *De Coster, et al. v. Amazon.com, Inc.*, 2:20-cv-00424-RAJ (W.D.  
16 Wash.) (the “*De Coster*” action).

### 17 III. LEGAL STANDARD

18 Rule 23(g)(3) allows the Court to designate interim class counsel “to act on behalf of a  
19 putative class before determining whether to certify a matter as a class action.” *McFadden v.*  
20 *Microsoft Corp.*, 2020 WL 5642822, at \*1 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 22, 2020). “Factors relevant to the  
21 appointment of counsel include: ‘(i) the work counsel has done in identifying or investigating  
22 potential claims in the action; (ii) counsel’s experience in handling class actions, other complex  
23 litigation, and the types of claims asserted in the action; (iii) counsel’s knowledge of the  
24 applicable law; and (iv) the resources that counsel will commit to representing the class.’” *Id.*  
25 (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g)(1)(A)). In addition, “[t]he Court may also ‘consider any other  
26 matter pertinent to counsel’s ability to fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class.’”  
27 *Id.* (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g)(1)(B)). This includes consideration of whether the leadership  
28 team reflects the diversity of the class and the legal bar.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.