	Case 2:20-cv-00950-JCC Docum	nent 1	Filed 06/22/20	Page 1 of 29
1				
2				
3				
4				
5	LINITED STATES			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
7				
8	PUGET SOUNDKEEPER ALLIANCE, SIERRA CLUB, IDAHO CONSERVATION	Ca	se No. 2:20-cv-95	0
9	LEAGUE, and MI FAMILIA VOTA,		OMPLAINT	
10	Plaintiff	S,		
11	v.			
12	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, ANDREW			
13	WHEELER, in his official capacity as Administrator of the United States			
14	Environmental Protection Agency, UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS,			
15	and R.D. JAMES, in his official capacity as Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil			
16	Works,			
17	Defendants.			
18				
	INTRODUCTION			
19 20	1. Congress declared a single objective for the Clean Water Act: "to restore and			
20	maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the Nation's waters." 33 U.S.C.			
21	§ 1251(a). To achieve that objective, the Act prohibits and regulates the discharge of pollutants			
22	into "navigable waters," which the Act defines broadly as "the waters of the United States." <i>Id.</i>			
23	§ 1362(7).			
24				

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

2. Congress adopted the Clean Water Act as a uniform and comprehensive national approach to water protection to replace decades of fragmented approaches that had relied on the states and had failed to protect the nation's waters. It is one of the nation's most important and successful environmental laws.

1

2

3

4

17

5 3. Plaintiffs challenge two final rules promulgated by the United States Environmental 6 Protection Agency ("EPA"); Andrew Wheeler, Administrator of the EPA; the United States 7 Army Corps of Engineers ("Corps"); and R.D. James, Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil 8 Works (collectively, "the Agencies"). The first, entitled "Definition of Waters of the U.S.: 9 Recodification of Pre-Existing Rules," 84 Fed. Reg. 56,626 (October 22, 2019) (the "Repeal 10 Rule"), repealed the 2015 "Clean Water Rule" which defined the term "waters of the United 11 States" in the Clean Water Act. The second, entitled "The Navigable Waters Protection Rule: 12 Definition of Waters of the United States," 85 Fed. Reg. 22,250 (April 21, 2020) (the "Navigable 13 Waters Rule"), replaced the Clean Water Rule and its predecessor rules with a definition of 14 "waters of the United States" that substantially narrows the waters protected by the Act.

15 4. The Navigable Waters Rule exceeds the Agencies' statutory authority and is contrary 16 to the Clean Water Act's text, structure, objectives, and legislative history requiring broad protection of all the Nation's waters, because its provisions exclude waters from the protections 18 required and afforded by the Act.

19 5. Plaintiffs also challenge the Repeal Rule and the Navigable Waters Rule as arbitrary 20 and capricious because both rules are contrary to the evidence before the Agencies, including 21 vast volumes of science and technical evidence in the administrative record and the 22 uncontroverted findings made by the EPA and its own Science Advisory Board. The Agencies 23 also failed to explain their decision to reverse prior regulations and failed to consider important 24

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 2:20-cv-00950-JCC Document 1 Filed 06/22/20 Page 3 of 29

aspects of the problem, including the effects on water quality and aquatic ecosystems of stripping 2 protections for large numbers of waters, the ecological importance of protecting the excluded 3 waters, and the effects of the reversal on the objectives of the Clean Water Act. These decisions 4 are arbitrary, capricious, and contrary to law in violation of the Administrative Procedure Act 5 ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. § 706(2).

1

6

7

8

6. Plaintiffs ask the Court to vacate and set aside the Repeal Rule and the Navigable Waters Rule, and to reinstate the Clean Water Rule.

PARTIES

9 7. Plaintiff Puget Soundkeeper Alliance is a nonprofit corporation organized and 10 existing under the laws of Washington, with its headquarters in Seattle. Its mission is to protect 11 and preserve the waters of Puget Sound by detecting and reporting pollution, engaging 12 government agencies and businesses to regulate pollution discharges, and enforcing requirements 13 under the CWA to control or halt pollution and other adverse impacts to waters from sewage-14 treatment plants, industrial facilities, construction sites, municipal storm sewers, and other 15 sources. Puget Soundkeeper Alliance has nearly 1,500 members who reside throughout the 16 Puget Sound watershed. Some of its members participate in volunteer boat or kayak patrols to observe water-quality conditions, check for abnormal discharges and pollution, and remove 17 18 floating trash and debris. Puget Soundkeeper Alliance also accomplishes its work, in part, by 19 working to enforce the permitting requirements of the Act throughout the Puget Sound 20 watershed. Puget Soundkeeper's members use and recreate on the Sound and the waters 21 throughout the Puget Sound watershed. Puget Soundkeeper and its members have significant 22 interest in preserving the full reach of the Clean Water Act's protections.

23 8. Plaintiff Sierra Club is a nonprofit corporation organized and existing under the laws 24 of California, with its headquarters in San Francisco. It is a national organization dedicated to

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 2:20-cv-00950-JCC Document 1 Filed 06/22/20 Page 4 of 29

1

24

protecting public health and the environment. The Sierra Club has long worked to protect clean 2 water. In particular, local chapters of the Sierra Club have defended treasured waterbodies 3 throughout the U.S. from pollution, development, and destruction. The Sierra Club has more 4 than 630,000 members who reside in all fifty states and the District of Columbia. Some Sierra 5 Club chapters and groups run local Water Sentinels programs that train member volunteers to 6 test their local waterbodies for contamination and present the results to local regulatory officials, 7 to organize cleanups, and to advocate before government agencies to help improve water quality. 8 Sierra Club members use and recreate on waters and own property that contains waters that will 9 be affected by the rules challenged here. Sierra Club and its members have an interest in 10 preserving the full protections of the Clean Water Act.

11 9. Plaintiff Idaho Conservation League is an Idaho non-profit membership conservation 12 organization. The Idaho Conservation League and its approximately 10,000 members are 13 dedicated to protecting and conserving Idaho's natural resources, including its water quality and 14 native fish. The Idaho Conservation League's mission is to protect Idaho's clean water, clean 15 air, healthy families, and unique way of life. The Idaho Conservation League, its staff, and its 16 members are active in public education, administration, and legislative advocacy on conservation 17 issues in Idaho, including advocacy aimed at addressing the impacts of pollution on water quality 18 and native fish. The Idaho Conservation League's members use and enjoy waters in Idaho for 19 recreational, scientific, aesthetic, cultural, and commercial purposes.

20 10. Mi Familia Vota is a nonprofit public-interest advocacy organization working to 21 advance and protect the interests of Latino communities in areas of immigration, voting, 22 environment, workers' rights, education, and healthcare. Mi Familia Vota works for the 23 community through offices located in Arizona, California, Colorado, Texas, Nevada, and

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Florida, with members throughout those states. Mi Familia Vota's members and their 1 2 communities are adversely affected by the Repeal Rule and the Navigable Waters Rule, as they 3 rely on waters throughout the West for drinking water and their livelihoods. Mi Familia Vota 4 also works on issues for and with its members involving housing and development policies in 5 places like Houston, Texas, that have been made more vulnerable to storms like Hurricane 6 Harvey as a result of the destruction of wetlands.

11. Defendant U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is a federal agency charged with administering the Clean Water Act through its Administrator, Andrew Wheeler. 33 U.S.C. § 1251(d). It co-promulgated the Navigable Waters Rule and the Repeal Rule, the rules challenged here.

12. Defendant U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is a federal agency within the Department of the Army. It is authorized to issue permits for the discharge of dredged or fill material into the waters of the United States, through the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works, R.D. James. Id. §§ 1344, 1362(7). It co-promulgated the Navigable Waters Rule and the Repeal Rule, the rules challenged here.

16 13. If the Repeal Rule and the Navigable Waters Rule are allowed to stand, the Plaintiff 17 organizations and their members will suffer significant harm. The challenged rules strip Clean 18 Water Act protections from wetlands and streams across the country, leaving many previously 19 protected wetlands vulnerable to degradation and destruction and entirely eliminating protections 20 for ephemeral streams. Because members of the Plaintiff organizations rely on waters that have 21 lost Clean Water Act protections as a result of the Agencies' rules, and also rely on downstream 22 waters that will be harmed by the pollution of unprotected waters upstream, Plaintiffs and their members will be injured by the regulations.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.