

The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

AMAZON CONTENT SERVICES LLC, a  
Delaware corporation, PENGUIN RANDOM  
HOUSE LLC, a Delaware corporation, LEE  
CHILD, SYLVIA DAY, JOHN GRISHAM, C.J.  
LYONS, DOUG PRESTON, JIM  
RASENBERGER, T.J. STILES, R.L. STINE,  
MONIQUE TRUONG, SCOTT TUROW,  
NICHOLAS WEINSTOCK, AND STUART  
WOODS,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KISS LIBRARY d/b/a KISSLY.NET,  
WTFFASTSPRING.BID, LIBLY.NET, and  
CHEAP-LIBRARY.COM, RODION  
VYNNYCHENKO, ARTEM  
BESSHAPOCHNY, and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

NO. 2:20-cv-01048 MJP

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST  
DEFENDANTS KISS LIBRARY,  
RODION VYNNYCHENKO, AND  
ARTEM BESSHAPOCHNY

NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:  
NOVEMBER 8, 2021

MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT (No. 2:20-cv-01048-MJP)

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|   | <b><u>Page</u></b> |
|---|--------------------|
| I. INTRODUCTION.....  | 1                  |
| II. BACKGROUND.....   | 2                  |
| A. Plaintiffs’ Claims.....  | 2                  |
| B. Defendants Intentionally Hide Their True Identities and Willfully Distribute<br>Unauthorized Copies of Plaintiffs’ Protected Works. ....     | 3                  |
| C. Defendants Spoliate Evidence, Attempt to Evade Service, and Fail to Defend or<br>Appear in this Case.....                                    | 3                  |
| III. ARGUMENT .....   | 5                  |
| A. The Court Has Jurisdiction to Enter Default Judgment.....  | 5                  |
| 1. Subject Matter Jurisdiction.....   | 5                  |
| 2. Personal Jurisdiction.....   | 5                  |
| B. Plaintiffs Are Entitled to Default Judgment. ....  | 9                  |
| 1. First <i>Eitel</i> Factor: Plaintiffs Will Be Prejudiced Without a Default<br>Judgment.....  | 9                  |
| 2. Second and Third <i>Eitel</i> Factors: Plaintiffs’ Well-Pled Complaint<br>Establishes Defendants’ Liability for Copyright Infringement. .... | 9                  |
| 3. Fourth <i>Eitel</i> Factor: Defendants’ Egregious Conduct Warrants the<br>Statutory Damages Sought by Plaintiffs.....                        | 10                 |
| 4. Fifth <i>Eitel</i> Factor: Defendants’ Willful Infringement Is Undisputed. ...   | 11                 |
| 5. Sixth <i>Eitel</i> Factor: Defendants’ Failure to Appear Is Inexcusable. ....  | 12                 |
| 6. Seventh <i>Eitel</i> Factor: Defendants’ Knowing Failure to Defend<br>Outweighs Preference for Decisions on the Merits.....                  | 12                 |
| C. Plaintiffs Are Entitled to Willful Statutory Damages.....  | 13                 |
| 1. Defendants Willfully Infringed at Least Fifty-Two of Plaintiffs’<br>Copyrighted Works. ....  | 13                 |
| 2. Defendants’ Extensive and Deliberate Abuse of Plaintiffs’ Well-Known<br>Works Warrants Maximum Statutory Damages.....                        | 15                 |

- D. Plaintiffs Are Entitled to Permanent Injunctive Relief..... 20
  - 1. Plaintiffs Suffered Irreparable Harm. .... 20
  - 2. Monetary Damages Alone Are Inadequate. .... 21
  - 3. The Balance of Equities Favors a Permanent Injunction. .... 22
  - 4. The Public Interest Would Be Served by a Permanent Injunction. .... 23
- IV. CONCLUSION ..... 23

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

|   | <b>Page(s)</b> |
|---|----------------|
| <b>Cases</b>  |                |
| <i>Am. Rena Int’l Corp. v. Sis-Joyce Int’l Co.</i> ,<br>534 F. App’x 633 (9th Cir. 2013).....                   | 22             |
| <i>Aries Music Entm’t, Inc. v. Angelica’s Record Distribs., Inc.</i> ,<br>506 F. App’x 550 (9th Cir. 2013)..... | 11             |
| <i>Authenticate Pat. Co. v. StrikeForce Techs., Inc.</i> ,<br>39 F. Supp. 3d 1135 (W.D. Wash. 2014).....        | 8              |
| <i>Aweida Arts, Inc. v. Pure Glass Distrib., Inc.</i> ,<br>157 F. Supp. 3d 929 (W.D. Wash. 2015).....           | 6, 7, 8        |
| <i>Bancroft &amp; Masters, Inc. v. Augusta Nat’l Inc.</i> ,<br>223 F.3d 1082, 1088 (9th Cir. 2000).....         | 8              |
| <i>Beck v. Pike</i> ,<br>2017 WL 530354 (W.D. Wash. 2017).....  | 12             |
| <i>BMW of N. Am. LLC v. K VIP Auto Body</i> ,<br>2018 WL 2452428 (N.D. Cal. 2018).....                          | 15             |
| <i>Chan v. Soc’y Expeditions, Inc.</i> ,<br>39 F.3d 1398 (9th Cir. 1994).....                                   | 6              |
| <i>CollegeSource, Inc. v. AcademyOne, Inc.</i> ,<br>653 F.3d 1066 (9th Cir. 2011).....                          | 6, 7           |
| <i>Corbis Corp. v. Integrity Wealth Mgmt., Inc.</i> ,<br>2009 WL 2486163 (W.D. Wash. 2009).....                 | 7              |
| <i>CytoSport, Inc. v. Vital Pharms., Inc.</i> ,<br>617 F. Supp. 2d 1051 (E.D. Cal. 2009).....                   | 21             |
| <i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i> ,<br>571 U.S. 117 (2014).....   | 5              |
| <i>Derek Andrew, Inc. v. Poof Apparel Corp.</i> ,<br>528 F.3d 696 (9th Cir. 2008).....                          | 14             |
| <i>Disney Enters., Inc. v. VidAngel, Inc.</i> ,<br>869 F.3d 848 (9th Cir. 2017).....                            | 10, 16, 22     |

|  |               |
|--|---------------|
| <i>Dr. JKL Ltd. v. HPC IT Educ. Ctr.</i> ,<br>749 F. Supp. 2d 1038 (N.D. Cal. 2010).....                     | 10            |
| <i>Dream Games of Ariz., Inc. v. PC Onsite</i> ,<br>561 F.3d 983 (9th Cir. 2009).....                        | 13            |
| <i>eBay, Inc. v. Bidder's Edge</i> ,<br>100 F. Supp. 2d 1058 (N.D. Cal. 2000).....                           | 20            |
| <i>eBay, Inc. v. MercExchange, LLC</i> ,<br>547 U.S. 388 (2006) .....  | 20, 21        |
| <i>Eitel v. McCool</i> ,<br>782 F.2d 1470 (9th Cir. 1986).....   | <i>passim</i> |
| <i>Entm't, Ltd. v. Allstar Vending, Inc.</i> ,<br>97 F. Supp. 3d 536 (S.D.N.Y. 2015) .....                   | 14            |
| <i>F.W. Woolworth Co. v. Contemporary Arts, Inc.</i> ,<br>344 U.S. 228 (1952) .....                          | 13, 19        |
| <i>Getty Images (U.S.), Inc. v. Virtual Clinics</i> ,<br>2014 WL 1116775 (W.D. Wash. 2014) .....             | <i>passim</i> |
| <i>Getty Images (US), Inc. v. Virtual Clinics</i> ,<br>2014 WL 358412 (W.D. Wash. 2014) .....                | 9, 10, 11, 12 |
| <i>Hydentra HLP Int. Ltd. v. Sagan Ltd.</i> ,<br>783 F. App'x 663 (9th Cir. 2019).....                       | 8             |
| <i>Int'l Shoe Co. v. Washington</i> ,<br>326 U.S. 310 (1945) .....   | 6             |
| <i>Internet Specialties W., Inc. v. Milon-DiGiorgio Enters., Inc.</i> ,<br>559 F.3d 985 (9th Cir. 2009)..... | 23            |
| <i>Jackson v. Sturkie</i> ,<br>255 F. Supp. 2d 1096 (N.D. Cal. 2003).....                                    | 21            |
| <i>Klauber Bros., Inc. v. Kylie Shop, Inc.</i> ,<br>2020 WL 8474583 (C.D. Cal. 2020) .....                   | 19            |
| <i>L.A. News Serv. v. Reuters Television Int'l</i> ,<br>149 F.3d 987 (9th Cir. 1998).....                    | 13            |
| <i>LHF Prods., Inc. v. Holmes</i> ,<br>2018 WL 3742189 (W.D. Wash. 2018) .....                               | 5, 12         |

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.