

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS				
2				PAGE	
3	INTROE	INTRODUCTION			
4	BACKG	BACKGROUND			
5	LEGAL STANDARD				
6	ARGUMENT				
7	I.	I. PLAINTIFFS SHOULD BE ORDERED TO PROVIDE COMPLETE, VERIFIED, AND AMENDED INTERROGATORY RESPONSES			
8 9		A.	Plaintiffs Must Identify Which Interactions with Alexa They Allege Contain the Voice of Each Plaintiff and Which They Allege to Be at Issue.	4	
10 11		В.	The Court's Order Should Instruct Each Plaintiff to Provide Verified Amended Responses No Later Than Three Weeks Before Their Deposition.	8	
12 13	II. PLAINTIFFS SHOULD BE ORDERED TO COMPLETE THEIR DOCUMENT PRODUCTION.				
14		A.	Plaintiffs Should Produce Responsive Documents Regarding Alexa Settings.	9	
15 16		В.	Plaintiffs Should Be Ordered to Provide Search Term Hit Reports as Required by the ESI Order	11	
17		C.	The Court's Order Should Direct Plaintiffs to Complete Their Document Production by September 16, 2022.	11	
18 19	CONCLUSION			12	
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
20					



1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES			
2	CASES PAGE(S)			
3 4	Bolding v. Banner Bank,			
5	No. C17-0601RSL, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 118111 (W.D. Wash. July 6, 2020)			
6	Dunford v. Am. DataBank, LLC, 64 F. Supp. 3d 1378 (N.D. Cal. 2014)10			
7 8 9	Famulare v. Gannett Co., No. 2:20-cv-13991(WJM), 2022 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 47946 (D.N.J. Mar. 17, 2022)			
10	Goldman v. Alhadeff, No. C89-1061R, 1990 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11808 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 31, 1990)10			
11 12 13	Olympic Air, Inc. v. Helicopter Tech. Co., No. C17-1257RSL, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 203100 (W.D. Wash. Oct. 30, 2020)			
14 15	Shumpert v. Healthpoint Ctrs. of King Cty., No. C18-1680 TSZ, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 48839 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 20, 2020)			
16	State v. Clark, 129 Wn.2d 211, 916 P.2d 384 (1996)5			
17 18	State v. David Smith, 85 Wn.2d 840, 540 P.2d 424 (1975)			
19 20	State v. John Smith, 189 Wn.2d 655, 405 P.3d 997 (2017)			
21	State v. Townsend, 147 Wn.2d 666, 57 P.3d 255 (2002)6			
22   23   24	United States ex rel. Dahlstrom v. Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe, No. C16-0052JLR, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 147714 (W.D. Wash. Aug. 29, 2019)			
25   25	STATUTES			
26	Cal. Penal Code §§ 631-632, 637.2(a)5			
27	Federal Wiretap Act6			
$_{28}$	RCW § 9.73.030(1)(b)			



# **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES** (CONTINUED) **OTHER AUTHORITIES** PAGE(S)

1 2

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a), Amazon moves to compel responses to its First Set of Requests for Production and First and Second Set of Interrogatories.

3

4

5 6

7

9

8

10 11

13 14

12

15 16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 |

### **INTRODUCTION**

Plaintiffs are 21 individuals who initiated this lawsuit and seek to be certified to represent both a nationwide class and 17 subclasses in claims based on their interactions with Alexa. *See* Complaint (Dkt. 59) ¶¶ 1, 125. Although the Court dismissed many of those claims (*see* Dkt. 91), for those remaining, the nature of each Plaintiff's interactions with Alexa presents critical issues as to typicality, commonality, adequacy, superiority, and manageability. Fact discovery is scheduled to close on December 16, 2022, with Plaintiffs' (and other witnesses') depositions to begin around October 3. Timely completion of discovery requires Plaintiffs to promptly deliver their long-delayed responses to Amazon's discovery.

Amazon first served discovery requests more than five months ago in March 2022. In response to Amazon's requests for production, Plaintiffs have produced to date only 149 documents from four custodians. Plaintiffs also have not provided any ESI search term hit report (identifying the number of records for each search term) or disclosed required information about their search methodology. In response to Amazon's interrogatories, Plaintiffs have provided incomplete or non-responsive answers, or Plaintiffs have simply refused to answer. After months of meeting and conferring, Plaintiffs continue to refuse to identify the particular recordings that form the basis of their claims. To ease any burden, Amazon proposed that, instead of written interrogatory responses, Plaintiffs could simply annotate Alexa transcripts to identify which recordings they contend (1) contain a Plaintiff's voice, (2) contain an alleged "conversation" subject to the Washington statute (rather than a word or indiscernible sounds), (3) are confidential or private (as also required subject to statute), (4) correspond to certain Plaintiffs' allegations of recording in the Complaint, and/or (5) are not directed at the Alexa Voice Service. Plaintiffs have refused to provide this critical information, even though they are the only ones who can do so. Without it, Amazon does not know the universe of recordings at issue, and consequently cannot prepare its defenses or address Plaintiffs' suitability as class representatives. Indeed, Plaintiffs' identification of which recordings contain their voices and are the subject of their claims is

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

