

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 JEREMY JAEGER,<sup>1</sup> on behalf of  
9 himself and all others similarly  
10 situated,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 ZILLOW GROUP, INC., et al.,

14 Defendants.

C21-1551 TSZ

ORDER

15 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Defendants' motion to dismiss, docket  
16 no. 85, the Corrected Consolidated Class Action Complaint ("CAC"), docket no. 71, for  
17 failure to state a claim. Plaintiff Jeremy Jaeger brings this action on behalf of a putative  
18 class of persons who purchased or otherwise acquired shares of Class A or Class C  
19 common stock in Zillow Group, Inc. ("Zillow") between August 5, 2021, and November  
20 2, 2021 (the "Class Period"). CAC ¶ 258. Plaintiff sues all Defendants under Section  
21 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 5 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5

22 <sup>1</sup> By Order entered February 16, 2022, docket no. 61, the Court appointed Jeremy Jaeger as lead plaintiff.  
All future filings shall bear the same caption as this Order.

1 promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-  
2 5. Plaintiff also sues Defendants Richard Barton, Jeremy Wacksman, and Allen Parker  
3 (the “Executive Defendants”) as control persons of Zillow under Section 20(a) of the  
4 Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78t(a). Having reviewed all papers filed in support of, and in  
5 opposition to, the motion, the Court enters the following Order.

## 6 **Background**

7 Defendant Zillow is a Washington corporation.<sup>2</sup> CAC ¶ 1. Zillow is alleged to  
8 operate the most visited real estate website in the United States, “zillow.com,” and other  
9 real estate websites, such as “trulia.com” and “streeteasy.com.” CAC ¶ 2. Until 2018,  
10 Zillow generated most of its revenue from advertising and from referral fees received  
11 when it matched prospective buyers and sellers with real estate agents and brokers. *Id.*

### 12 **A. Zillow Offers**

13 According to the operative pleading, in April 2018, in response to slow growth in  
14 Zillow’s core business and stagnating stock price, Zillow entered the “iBuyer” or “Instant  
15 Buyer” market. CAC ¶ 2. In the iBuyer market, companies “use algorithms and  
16 technology to buy and resell homes quickly.” CAC ¶ 3. Zillow’s new iBuyer business  
17 was called Zillow Offers.<sup>3</sup> CAC ¶ 4.

---

18  
19  
20 <sup>2</sup> Zillow’s Class A common stock trades on the Nasdaq exchange under the ticker symbol “ZG,” and its  
Class C capital stock trades on the Nasdaq exchange under the ticker symbol “Z.” CAC ¶ 43.

21 <sup>3</sup> Through Zillow Offers, Zillow would make offers to buy homes directly from homeowners. If a  
22 homeowner accepted an offer from Zillow Offers, then Zillow would purchase the home, make certain  
repairs and updates, and then list it for sale on the open market. For each home it resold, Zillow would  
recognize a profit, in the form of transaction fees, at the time of closing. CAC ¶ 59.

1 In February 2019, Defendant Richard Barton returned to his former role as  
2 Zillow’s Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”). CAC ¶ 44. Coinciding with his resumption of  
3 CEO duties, Barton announced a goal for Zillow Offers of \$20 billion in revenue over  
4 five years, with a target of purchasing and selling 5,000 homes per month. CAC ¶ 66.

5 Barton acknowledged that Zillow Offers was behind some of its competitors, like  
6 Opendoor and Offerpad, which had entered the iBuyer market a few years earlier. CAC  
7 ¶ 67. To catch up, Zillow Offers needed to scale up quickly. CAC ¶ 83. Doing so would  
8 also allow Zillow Offers to improve its cost structure. CAC ¶ 11. As a result, in the years  
9 leading up to the Class Period, Zillow’s executives were, according to the CAC, “laser-  
10 focused on increasing Zillow’s home purchasing volumes to achieve Barton’s targets of  
11 5,000 homes per month by 2024.” *Id.*

12 Defendants are alleged to have touted the accuracy of the algorithms used to price  
13 homes. CAC ¶ 75. On May 7, 2020, Barton stated, “it’s just the machines getting smarter  
14 . . . . [W]e have just gotten a whole lot better at how to figure out what to buy, where to  
15 buy it, how to rehab it, how to appraise it, how to price drop it, and all of this is informed  
16 by data.” CAC ¶ 76 (alteration in original).

17 **B. Zestimate Offer and Project Ketchup**

18 On February 25, 2021, Zillow announced that it had launched in certain markets a  
19 new program, Zestimate<sup>4</sup> offer, which would provide an initial purchase offer from  
20 \_\_\_\_\_

21 <sup>4</sup> “Zestimate” is Zillow’s proprietary pricing model that estimated the current value of over 100 million  
22 homes in the United States. CAC ¶ 71. Consumers could visit Zillow’s website and look up the Zestimate  
for their home. *Id.* If a consumer liked the Zestimate, then he or she could contact Zillow and request that

1 Zillow Offers to homeowners. CAC ¶ 77. This process eliminated the involvement of a  
2 pricing expert and made Zillow even more reliant on its Zestimate and other algorithms.  
3 In a press release, Zillow stated, “This exciting advancement demonstrates the confidence  
4 we have in the Zestimate . . . . This is a proud moment for Zillow’s tech team and speaks  
5 to the advancements they’ve made in machine learning and AI technology.” *Id.*

6 On June 15, 2021, after having missed its inventory-acquisition targets and  
7 concluded that it was “under-modeling” the level of home appreciation,<sup>5</sup> Zillow issued a  
8 press release stating that it had improved its algorithms. The press release said, in  
9 relevant part, that “Zillow today launches significant upgrades to its Zestimate® home  
10 valuation model. *The changes allow the algorithm to react more quickly to current*  
11 *market trends . . . .*” CAC ¶ 99 (emphasis in original). As a result of this update, Zillow  
12 said that “*the Zestimate can now react more quickly to dynamic market conditions,*  
13 *providing homeowners with a more accurate estimate [prediction] of a home’s current*  
14 *value.*” *Id.* (emphasis and alteration in original).

15  
16  
17 the Company make an initial offer for the consumer’s home. *Id.* After the consumer contacted Zillow,  
18 Zillow would send out a pricing expert, who would adjust the Zestimate and report his or her  
19 recommendation to Zillow. Zillow then applied computer models to estimate the length of time required  
20 to sell the home and how the value of the home would change during that timeframe. CAC ¶ 72. Zillow  
21 would eventually arrive at the home’s estimated value and make an initial offer to purchase the  
22 consumer’s home. *Id.*

20 <sup>5</sup> In alleging that Zillow did not meet its home-buying goals and that it was underestimating the increase  
21 in house values over time, Plaintiff relies on statements by former Zillow employees. *See* CAC ¶¶ 92–96.  
22 Defendants attempt to discredit the former employees’ statements, particularly as they relate to scienter.  
23 Defs.’ Mot. at 24 (docket no. 85). The CAC, however, details each former employee’s job title and group  
24 at Zillow, responsibilities, period of employment, and experience, and the statements of these confidential  
25 witnesses may therefore be considered. *See In re Daou Sys., Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 411 F.3d 1006, 1015–16 (9th  
26 Cir. 2005).

1 Behind the scenes, however, Zillow was not meeting its home-purchasing goals.  
2 CAC at ¶ 100. As a result, Zillow initiated Project Ketchup. *Id.* Under Project Ketchup,  
3 Zillow “applied systematic ‘overlays’ to drive up offers well above the pricing indicated  
4 by its algorithm and pricing analysts.” *Id.* These overlays are alleged to have caused  
5 Zillow to “significantly overpay for thousands of homes.” *Id.*

6 Between late May or early June and August 2021, Zillow increased its home offer  
7 prices by, on average, between 400 and 800 base points across all markets. CAC ¶¶ 111,  
8 112.

9 Project Ketchup had other consequences. Because Zillow purchased homes at  
10 prices that often exceeded their market value, it attempted to save money by decreasing  
11 the scope and costs of renovations to be completed before reselling them. *See* CAC ¶ 127.  
12 “These changes were unsustainable” because Zillow’s strategy “squeezed” longtime  
13 contractors, as Zillow asked them to renovate more homes for less money than previously  
14 charged for the same work. CAC ¶ 128. As a result, Zillow’s contractors began refusing  
15 jobs. *Id.* Without sufficient contractors to complete renovations, a substantial backlog of  
16 homes developed on Zillow’s balance sheet. *Id.* This backlog increased Zillow’s holding  
17 and interest rate costs, exposing it to additional risks from broader market movements. *Id.*

### 18 C. Allegedly False or Misleading Statements

19 Plaintiff alleges that Defendants made several false and/or misleading statements  
20 to the market on two dates: (i) on August 5, 2021, in a shareholder letter and earnings  
21 call, and (ii) on September 13, 2021, at a Piper Sandler investment conference. These  
22 allegedly false or misleading statements fall into three categories: (i) statements about

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.