1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8 9	NORTHWEST ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCATES, an Oregon non-profit	
10	corporation,	Case No
	Plaintiff,	<b>COMPLAINT</b> Pursuant to the Administrative
11	v.	Procedure Act, Clean Water Act
12	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	
13	AGENCY; MICHAEL REGAN, in his official capacity as Administrator of the	
14	Environmental Protection Agency; and <b>MICHELLE PIRZADEH</b> , in her	
15 16	official capacity as Acting Regional Administrator Environmental Protection Agency Region 10,	
17	Defendants.	
18	NATURE OF THE CASE	
19	1. Through this action, Plaintiff Northwest Environmental Advocates ("NWEA")	
20	challenges the failure of Defendant United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") to	
21	ensure the protection and restoration of the marine waters of Puget Sound in the State of	
22	Washington in violation of the mandates of the Clean Water Act ("CWA" or "Act"), 33 U.S.C. §	
23	1251, et seq.	
24	2. For over three decades, the m	arine waters of Puget Sound have been known to be
25	impaired by dangerously low levels of dissolved oxygen, caused by nitrogen pollution, and high	
26	6 levels of toxic pollutants. Along with oxygen depletion, nitrogen pollution fuels extensive algal	
	COMPLAINT 1 Weste	ern Environmental Law Center Earthrise Law Center

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

#### Case 2:21-cv-01637 Document 1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 2 of 56

blooms in Puget Sound, some toxic to people, some toxic to shellfish, and some that are upending
 the food chain that supports imperiled Chinook salmon and orca whales.

3 3. The quality of water in Puget Sound and its tributaries has degraded as population
4 has increased and is predicted to further degrade based on estimates of future population growth.
5 The Washington Department of Ecology (hereinafter "Ecology" or "Washington") predicts a 40
6 percent increase in nitrogen levels in the next few decades. Combined with climate change, this
7 pollution increase is predicted to significantly worsen deleterious dissolved oxygen levels in
8 Puget Sound.

9 4. Nitrogen is a form of nutrient pollution that, while essential for the growth of 10 plant life, in excess leads to excessive growth of algae that die and, in decaying, consume life-11 sustaining oxygen from water. Nitrogen causes myriad cascading environmental effects including 12 the following: more widespread and longer-lasting algal blooms; increases in harmful algal 13 blooms ("HAB") that create toxins; depleted dissolved oxygen; increased acidification of waters 14 that, in turn, causes effects such as thinning of shellfish shells; an explosion of jellyfish 15 populations; and fundamental changes to the food web that include the growth of dinoflagellate 16 algae that ravage the diatoms and copepods that form the very base of the marine food web and, 17 in turn, starve forage fish such as herring and the species that prey upon them. These shifts lead to 18 reduced food availability for salmonids that, in turn, affect larger marine life such as the 19 endangered Southern Resident killer whale.

5. Ecology has continued to issue and reissue permits to sewage treatment plants
discharging to Puget Sound and its tributaries, none of which includes nutrient effluent limits
sufficient to protect Puget Sound.

6. Ecology has continued to issue and reissue permits to sewage treatment plants
discharging to Puget Sound and its tributaries, almost none of which includes effluent limits for
toxic pollutants and none of which includes effluent limits for a class of pollutants including but
not limited to pharmaceuticals, industrial and food additives, some pesticides, plasticizers, flame

2

COMPLAINT

Western Environmental Law Center Earthrise Law Center

#### Case 2:21-cv-01637 Document 1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 3 of 56

1 retardants, and personal care products referred to as "contaminants of emerging concern." Yet, in 2 2010, Ecology and EPA issued a report showing that there is a high potential for removal of such 3 toxics when nutrient removal technology is installed at sewage treatment plants.

4 7. Beyond sewage treatment plants, Ecology has no program or plan to reduce the 5 input of pollution from nonpoint sources, such as stormwater and polluted runoff from farming 6 and logging, to Puget Sound and its tributaries despite its having found that such sources are 7 significant contributors to nitrogen levels in Puget Sound.

8 8. Despite having worked for many years to develop the technical basis of Total 9 Maximum Daily Loads ("TMDL") pursuant to the requirements of CWA section 303(d) to 10 address nitrogen in Puget Sound, Ecology has instead decided to issue a "TMDL Alternative," an 11 action that EPA has approved in at least one document entitled "Environmental Performance 12 Partnership Agreement, State Fiscal Years 2020-2021 July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2021."

9. 13 The planned issuance of a "TMDL Alternative" in lieu of the required TMDLs 14 means that Ecology and EPA will not take regulatory actions necessary to comply with the CWA 15 and restore water quality of Puget Sound to water quality standards.

16 10. In doing so, EPA has violated its mandatory duty under CWA section 303(d), 33 17 U.S.C. § 1313(d)(2), to develop TMDLs for Puget Sound. Additionally, EPA's decision to 18 approve Ecology's "TMDL Alternative" in the Performance Partnership Agreement ("PPA") was 19 arbitrary, capricious, and contrary to the CWA, within the meaning of the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 706.

20 21 22

26

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to the judicial review provision of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 702, as well as the federal question statute, 28 U.S.C. § 23 1331.

24 12. On June 4, 2021, NWEA sent EPA the required notice of intent to sue, pursuant to 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b)(1)(A). That notice of intent to sue is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. 25

3

COMPLAINT

1 13. Venue is properly vested in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) because a
 2 substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in Seattle,
 3 Washington, where EPA's Region 10 administrative office is located.

#### PARTIES

14. The plaintiff in this action is NORTHWEST ENVIRONMENTAL

6 ADVOCATES. Established in 1969, NWEA is a regional non-profit environmental organization 7 incorporated under the laws of Oregon in 1981 and organized under section 501(c)(3) of the 8 Internal Revenue Code. NWEA's principal place of business is in Portland, Oregon. NWEA's 9 mission is to work through advocacy and education to protect and restore water and air quality, 10 wetlands, and wildlife habitat in the Northwest, including Washington. NWEA employs advocacy 11 with administrative agencies, community organizing, strategic partnerships, public record 12 requests, information sharing, lobbying, education, expert review, and litigation to ensure better 13 implementation of the laws that protect and restore the natural environment. NWEA has 14 participated in the development of CWA programs to control both point and nonpoint sources in 15 the State of Washington for many years, including the state's TMDL program by, inter alia, 16 having brought suit in 1991 against EPA for its failure to establish TMDLs for the State of 17 Washington and again in 2019; having brought suit against EPA for not acting on TMDLs for the 18 Deschutes River basin and, later, for not replacing those TMDLs it subsequently disapproved, and 19 not completing TMDLs for Budd Inlet and Capitol Lake; and serving on EPA's TMDL federal 20 advisory committee from 1996 to 1998.

15. NWEA's members regularly use and enjoy the waters and adjacent lands of Puget
Sound. NWEA's members have definite future plans to continue using them for recreational,
scientific, aesthetic, spiritual, conservation, educational, employment, and other purposes. Many
of these interests revolve around viewing sensitive salmonid species, the endangered Southern
Resident killer whales, and other aquatic and aquatic-dependent species that are under threat by
pollution in the waters at issue in this lawsuit. The use and enjoyment that NWEA's members

4

COMPLAINT

4

5

Western Environmental Law Center Earthrise Law Center

#### Case 2:21-cv-01637 Document 1 Filed 12/07/21 Page 5 of 56

derive from viewing these species, and otherwise recreating on or near and enjoying the waters of
 Puget Sound and its tributaries, is diminished by the effects of pollution, including specifically
 nitrogen and toxic pollution. NWEA's members would derive more benefits and enjoyment from
 their use of these waters if these pollutants were not adversely affecting water quality and aquatic
 and aquatic-dependent species in these waters.

6 16. Some of NWEA's members derive or used to derive recreational and aesthetic 7 benefits by fishing and shellfish gathering in Puget Sound, its embayments and tributaries. These 8 members have curtailed their fishing and shellfish gathering in the Sound and its tributaries, or no 9 longer fish and gather shellfish in the Sound, due in part to concerns regarding pollutants and their 10 effect on fisheries. Successful completion of TMDLs to address these pollution problems in Puget 11 Sound and its tributaries is a critical step in fully implementing the goals of the CWA for these waters, fully protecting salmonids, orcas, other aquatic and aquatic-dependent species, and 12 13 improving water quality. EPA's failure to establish TMDLs for the waterbodies at issue in this 14 lawsuit, along with EPA's approval of Ecology's "TMDL Alternative," puts these species at risk 15 and threatens or negatively affects the interests of NWEA's members.

16 17. The recreational, aesthetic, conservation, employment, scientific, and other
17 interests of NWEA and its members have been, are being, and unless relief is granted, will
18 continue to be adversely affected and irreparably injured by EPA's failure to comply with the
19 CWA.

18. Defendant U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY is the federal
agency charged with the administration of the CWA, and specifically with approving or
disapproving state identification of impaired waters and state TMDL submissions under section
303(d)(2) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1313(d)(2).

5

24 /// /// ///

25 /// /// ///

26 /// /// ///

COMPLAINT

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.