

1 THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
2 THE HONORABLE MICHELLE L. PETERSON
3
4
5
6
7

8
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
11 AT SEATTLE

12 FLI-LO FALCON, LLC, STEEL CITY
13 EAGLES, CORP., and STELVIO
14 TRANSPORT LLC on behalf of themselves
15 and all others similarly situated,

16 Plaintiffs,
17
18 v.
19

20 AMAZON.COM, INC. and AMAZON
21 LOGISTICS, INC.,
22
23 Defendants.

24 Case No.: 2:22-cv-00441-RSM-MLP
25
26

27 **DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL
28 ARBITRATION**

NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:
August 5, 2022

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	1
III. ARGUMENT	4
A. The Agreement Contains A Valid Agreement To Arbitrate.....	5
1. The Agreement Is Enforceable Under The FAA.....	5
2. The FAA Exemption For Transportation Workers Is Inapplicable.....	7
3. The Agreement Is Also Enforceable Under Washington Law.....	8
4. The Agreement Is Not Illusory.....	11
5. Fraudulent Inducement Does Not Invalidate The Arbitration Agreement.....	12
B. The Agreement Delegates Threshold Questions Of Arbitrability To The Arbitrator.....	13
C. Even If The Court Were To Decide Arbitrability, Plaintiffs' Claims Are Covered By The Arbitration Agreement.....	13
D. Plaintiffs Are Estopped From Avoiding Arbitration With Amazon.com.....	16
IV. THE ACTION MUST BE DISMISSED OR STAYED SO THAT THE DISPUTE MAY PROCEED TO ARBITRATION	17

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

2	CASES	PAGE(S)
3	<i>Adams v. Parts Distribution Xpress, Inc.</i> , No. 2:20-cv-00697-JMG, 2021 WL 1088231 (E.D. Pa. Mar. 22, 2021).....	9
4	<i>Akrami v. ABC Phones of N. Carolina, Inc.</i> , No. 2:19-cv-02547-JLS-JDE, 2019 WL 6998776 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 27, 2019)	10
5	<i>Alaska Protein Recovery, LLC v. Puretek Corp.</i> , No. C13-1429, 2014 WL 2011235 (W.D. Wash. May 16, 2014).....	15
6	<i>Allison v. Medicab Int'l, Inc.</i> , 92 Wn.2d 199 (1979)	15
7	<i>Amos v. Amazon Logistics, Inc.</i> , No. 1:22-CV-55, Memorandum Opinion and Order (M.D. N.C. June 16, 2022)	1, 2, 9, 11
8	<i>AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion</i> , 563 U.S. 333 (2011).....	5, 10
9	<i>AT&T Techs., Inc. v. Commc'n Workers of Am.</i> , 475 U.S. 643 (1986).....	14
10	<i>Bldg. Materials and Const. Teamsters Local No. 216 v. Granite Rock Co.</i> , 851 F.2d 1190 (9th Cir. 1988)	15
11	<i>Brennan v. Opus Bank</i> , 796 F.3d 1125 (9th Cir. 2015)	4, 7
12	<i>Browning v. 24 Hour Fitness, Inc.</i> , No. C05-5732RBL, 2006 WL 151933 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 19, 2006).....	11
13	<i>Carter v. Rent-A-Ctr., Inc.</i> , 718 F. App'x 502 (9th Cir. 2017)	10
14	<i>Castillo v. Cava Mezze Grill, LLC</i> , No. CV 18-7994-MWF, 2018 WL 7501263 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 21, 2018)	10
15	<i>Chastain v. Union Sec. Life Ins. Co.</i> , 502 F. Supp. 2d 1072 (C.D. Cal. 2007)	16
16	<i>Chiron Corp. v. Ortho Diagnostic Systems, Inc.</i> , 207 F.3d 1126 (9th Cir. 2000)	4
17	<i>CixxFive Concepts, LLC v. Getty Images, Inc.</i> , No. C19-386-RSL, 2020 WL 3798926 (W.D. Wash. July 7, 2020).....	15
18	<i>D.V.C. Trucking, Inc. v. RMX Global Logistics, Inc.</i> , No. Civ. A 05-CV-00705, 2005 WL 2044848 (D. Colo. Aug. 24, 2005)	8
19	<i>David Terry Invs., LLC-PRC v. Headwaters Dev. Grp. LLC</i> , 13 Wn. App. 2d 159, 168-69 (2020).....	14, 17

1 **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**
 2 **(CONTINUED)**

3 CASES	2 PAGE(S)
4 <i>Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc. v. Byrd,</i> 470 U.S. 213 (1985).....	6
5 <i>Ekin v. Amazon Servs.,</i> LLC, No. C14-0244-JCC, 2015 WL 11233144 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 10, 2015)	11
7 <i>First Options of Chicago, Inc. v. Kaplan,</i> 514 U.S. 938 (1995).....	6
8 <i>Fisher Props., Inc. v. Arden-Mayfair, Inc.,</i> 106 Wn.2d 826 (1986)	6
9 <i>Grigson v. Creative Artists Agency L.L.C.,</i> 210 F.3d 524 (5th Cir. 2000)	16, 17
10 <i>Harper v. Amazon.com Servs., Inc.,</i> 12 F.4th 287 (3d Cir. 2021)	9
11 <i>Hearst Commc'ns, Inc. v. Seattle Times Co.,</i> 154 Wn.2d 493 (2005)	6
12 <i>Henry Schein, Inc. v. Archer and White Sales, Inc.,</i> 139 S. Ct. 524 (2019).....	13
13 <i>Howsam v. Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc.,</i> 537 U.S. 79 (2002).....	4
14 <i>In re Jean F. Gardner Amended Blind Trust,</i> 117 Wn. App. 235, 70 P.3d 168 (2003).....	14
15 <i>In re Marriage of Pascale,</i> 173 Wn. App. 836 (2013)	9
16 <i>JC Aviation Invests., LLC v. Hytech Power, LLC,</i> No. 81539-3-I, 2021 WL 778043 (Wn. App. Div. I, Mar. 1, 2021)	14
17 <i>Knudtson v. AT&T, Inc.,</i> No. C09-837RSM, 2010 WL 11682487 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 1, 2010).....	10
18 <i>McKee v. AT & T Corp.,</i> 164 Wn.2d 372 (2008)	10
19 <i>Mendez v. Palm Harbor Homes, Inc.,</i> 111 Wn. App. 446 (2002)	14, 15
20 <i>Mitsubishi Motors Corp. v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc.,</i> 473 U.S. 614 (1985).....	6, 14
21 <i>Mortensen v. Bresnan Commc'ns LLC,</i> 722 F.3d 1151 (9th Cir. 2013)	5

1 **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**
 2 **(CONTINUED)**

3 CASES	2 PAGE(S)
4 <i>Moses H. Cone Memorial Hosp. v. Mercury Constr. Corp.</i> , 460 U.S. 1 (1983).....	5
5 <i>Mundi v. Union Sec. Life Ins. Co.</i> , 555 F.3d 1042 (9th Cir. 2009)	6
6 <i>Nagrampa v. MailCoups, Inc.</i> , 469 F.3d 1257 (9th Cir. 2006)	12
7 <i>New Prime, Inc. v. Oliveira</i> , 139 S. Ct. 532 (2019).....	8
8 <i>Norcia v. Samsung Telecomm. Am.</i> , LLC, 845 F.3d 1279 (9th Cir. 2017).....	6
9 <i>Oracle Am., Inc. v. Myriad Grp. A.G.</i> , 724 F.3d 1069 (9th Cir. 2013)	13
10 <i>Palcko v. Airborne Express, Inc.</i> , 372 F.3d 588 (3d Cir. 2004).....	9
11 <i>Perry v. Thomas</i> , 482 U.S. 483 (1987).....	5
12 <i>Peters v. Amazon Services, LLC</i> , 2 F. Supp. 3d 1165 (W.D. Wash. 2013).....	6, 7
13 <i>Pinkis v. Network Cinema Corp.</i> , 9 Wn. App. 337 (1973)	12, 15
14 <i>Precision Husky Corp. v. Mountain Equip., Inc.</i> , No. 53054-2-I, 2004 WL 2699920 (Wn. App. Div. I, Nov. 29, 2004).....	14
15 <i>Prima Paint Corp. v. Flood & Conklin Mfg. Co.</i> , 388 U.S. 395 (1967).....	12, 15
16 <i>R&C Oilfield Servs., LLC, v. American Wind Transp. Grp., LLC</i> , 447 F. Supp. 3d 339 (W.D. Pa. 2020).....	8
17 <i>Rent-A-Ctr., W., Inc. v. Jackson</i> , 561 U.S. 63 (2010).....	4
18 <i>Retail Clerks Health & Welfare Tr. Funds v. Shopland Supermkt., Inc.</i> , 96 Wn.2d 939 (1982)	6
19 <i>Riensche v. Cingular Wireless, LLC</i> , No. C06-1325Z, 2006 WL 3827477 (W.D. Wash. Dec. 27, 2006)	7
20 <i>Romero v. Watkins and Shepard Trucking, Inc.</i> , No. 20-55768, 2021 WL 3675074 (9th Cir. Aug. 20, 2021)	9

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.