

# ATTACHMENT A

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON  
8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

9 SARAH ERHART, an individual,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.  
12

13 ZIMMER, INC., a Delaware corporation dba  
14 ZIMMER BIOMET; ZIMMER US, INC., a  
15 Delaware corporation dba ZIMMER BIOMET;  
16 BIOMET, INC., an Indiana corporation dba  
17 ZIMMER BIOMET; and DOES 1-10,

18 Defendants.

No. 22-2-03423-8 SEA

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES,  
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, AND  
DECLARATORY RELIEF

19 Plaintiff Sarah Erhart ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, complains and alleges  
20 against Defendants Zimmer, Inc. dba Zimmer Biomet, Zimmer US, Inc. dba Zimmer Biomet, and  
21 Biomet, Inc. dba Zimmer Biomet (collectively, "Defendants") as follows:

22 **I. NATURE OF THE CASE**

23 1.1 This is an action against Defendants for their violations of Washington's wage and  
24 hour laws, including Defendants' failure to pay minimum wage for all hours worked, failure to  
25 pay overtime, and failure to furnish accurate wage statements.

26 ///

///

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, INJUNCTIVE  
RELIEF, AND DECLARATORY RELIEF - 1

**EMERY | REDDY, PLLC**  
600 Stewart Street, Suite 1100

## II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2.1 This Court has jurisdiction over this cause of action under RCW 2.08.010.

2.2 Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to RCW 4.12.020 and/or RCW 4.12.025 because the acts and omissions alleged took place in whole or in part in King County, Washington and Defendants transact business in King County, Washington.

## III. PARTIES

3.1 Plaintiff Sarah Erhart is a resident of Clark County, Washington and worked for the Defendants in King County, Washington, as well as in Oregon, Idaho, Hawaii, Northern California, and Indiana. Plaintiff is, and at all times relevant to this matter was, an employee of Defendants as such term is defined in the statutes listed herein.

3.2 Defendant Zimmer, Inc. ("Zimmer") is a Delaware corporation doing business as Zimmer Biomet. Zimmer regularly conducts business in King County, Washington. Zimmer is, and at all times relevant to this matter was, an employer as such term is defined in the statutes listed herein.

3.3 Defendant Zimmer US, Inc. ("Zimmer US") is a Delaware corporation doing business as Zimmer Biomet. Zimmer US regularly conducts business in King County, Washington. Zimmer US is, and at all times relevant to this matter was, an employer as such term is defined in the statutes listed herein.

3.4 Defendant Biomet, Inc. ("Biomet") is an Indiana corporation doing business as Zimmer Biomet. Biomet regularly conducts business in King County, Washington. Biomet is, and at all times relevant to this matter was, an employer as such term is defined in the statutes listed herein.

3.5 Defendants Does 1-10 are as yet unknown individuals or business entities who or which may hold an ownership interest in Zimmer, Inc., Zimmer US, Inc., and/or Biomet, Inc.

///

///

#### IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS

4.1 Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges paragraphs 1.1 – 3.5 as if fully set forth herein.

4.2 Defendants design, manufacture, market, and service medical devices, including robotic surgical systems.

4.3 On approximately December 2, 2019, Defendants hired Plaintiff as a Technical Services Specialist. In approximately December 2020, Defendants promoted Plaintiff to Field Service Senior Engineer I. In approximately October 2021, Defendants promoted Plaintiff to Field Service Senior Engineer II.

4.4 Plaintiff travels to various hospitals in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Hawaii, Northern California, and Indiana to install and service robotic surgical systems (“robots”), including performing preventative and corrective maintenance. Plaintiff wears a toolbelt and carries approximately 75 lbs of tools and equipment to the job sites.

4.5 At all times relevant to this matter, Defendants misclassified Plaintiff as exempt and refused to pay her overtime.

4.6 Defendants require Plaintiff to track her time and turn in time sheets.

4.7 Plaintiff typically works 40-70 hours per week.

4.8 Defendants do not pay Plaintiff for hours worked in excess of 40 in a workweek, including overtime premiums.

4.9 Defendants do not provide Plaintiff with accurate wage statements. Specifically, the wage statements do not accurately reflect all hours worked, overtime earned, or gross wages earned.

4.10 As a result of Defendants’ actions and omissions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

4.11 The conduct of Defendants as described herein violates the public policy and laws of the State of Washington. Defendants will continue to engage in these alleged violations unless

1 or until they are enjoined by this Court. A remedy of damages for past violations of public policy  
2 and laws is not adequate, and Defendants should be compelled by this Court to comply with said  
3 public policies and laws, specifically, but without limitation, by paying Plaintiff for all hours  
4 worked and issuing accurate wage statements.

## 5 **V. CAUSES OF ACTION**

6 5.1 Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges paragraphs 1.1 – 4.11 as if fully  
7 set forth herein.

### 8 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION** 9 **FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGE AND OVERTIME WAGES** 10 **VIOLATION OF RCW 49.46.020 AND RCW 49.46.130**

11 5.2 Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff for the hours she worked in excess of 40 in a  
12 workweek.

13 5.3 Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff at least minimum wage for all hours worked in  
14 excess of 40 in a workweek constitutes a violation of RCW 49.46.020.

15 5.4 Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff one and one-half times her regular rate of pay  
16 for all hours worked in excess of 40 in a workweek constitutes a violation of RCW 49.46.130.

17 5.5 As a result of Defendants' unlawful actions and omissions, Plaintiff has been  
18 damaged in an amount to be established at trial and is entitled to the recovery of such damages,  
19 including interest thereon, as well as attorney's fees and costs pursuant to RCW 49.46.090, RCW  
20 49.48.030, and RCW 49.52.070.

### 21 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION** 22 **WAGE THEFT** 23 **VIOLATION OF RCW 49.52.050**

24 5.6 Washington's Wage Rebate Act, RCW 49.52, prohibits employers from paying  
25 employees less than the wages to which they are entitled by any statute, ordinance, or contract.  
26 RCW 49.52.050(2).

5.7 As described more fully above, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff for the hours she  
worked over 40 in a workweek, and also failed to pay overtime premiums for the overtime hours.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.