

THE HONORABLE TANA LIN

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

IN RE: AMAZON SERVICE FEE  
LITIGATION

Case No.: 2:22-cv-00743-TL

(CONSOLIDATED CASE)

**AMAZON.COM, INC'S MOTION TO  
DISMISS AMENDED CONSOLIDATED  
COMPLAINT AND STRIKE CERTAIN  
ALLEGATIONS**

NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:  
FEBRUARY 3, 2023

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

	<b>PAGE</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	1
FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	2
I.    THE AMAZON PRIME MEMBERSHIP .....	2
II.   GROCERY DELIVERY FROM WHOLE FOODS MARKET.....	3
III.  PLAINTIFF’S ALLEGATIONS .....	5
ARGUMENT .....	6
I.    MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER RULE 12(B)(6) .....	6
A.    Washington Law Governs And All California Claims Must Be Dismissed.....	6
1.    Plaintiff Is Bound By Amazon’s COUs.....	7
2.    The COUs Require Application Of Washington Law. ....	8
3.    The COUs’ Choice-Of-Law Clause Encompasses This Dispute. ....	9
B.    Plaintiff States No Claim For Misrepresentation Or Unfair Conduct. ....	9
1.    Plaintiff Fails To Plead The Fraud-Based Claims With Specificity As Required By Rule 9(b). ....	10
2.    Plaintiff Pleads No Misrepresentation, Omission, Or Unfairness. ....	12
3.    Plaintiff Fails To Adequately Allege Reliance, Causation, And Injury. ....	17
C.    Plaintiff’s Contract And Quasi-Contract Claims Fail.....	18
1.    Plaintiff Cannot State A Breach Of Contract Claim.....	18
2.    Plaintiff’s Good Faith And Fair Dealing Claim Fails.....	20
3.    Plaintiff’s Contract With Amazon Precludes The Quasi- Contractual Remedy Of “Unjust Enrichment.” .....	21
D.    The Declaratory Relief “Claim” Is Not An Independent Claim. ....	21

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

II. MOTION TO STRIKE UNDER RULE 12(F) .....22

    A. The Court Should Strike Certain Class Allegations From The  
    CAC. ....22

    B. Allegations Regarding Package Delivery Delays And The Optional  
    Tip Should Be Stricken. ....24

CONCLUSION.....24

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

2	<b>CASES</b>	<b>PAGE(S)</b>
3	<i>Alexander v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.</i> ,	
4	No. C15-459 (RAJ), 2015 WL 5123922 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 1, 2015).....	21
5	<i>Alpert v. Nationstar Mortg., LLC</i> ,	
6	No. C15-1164 RAJ, 2019 WL 1200541 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 14, 2019) .....	16
7	<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> ,	
8	556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	6
9	<i>Atherton Condo. Apartment-Owners Ass’n Bd. of Dirs. v. Blume Dev. Co.</i> ,	
10	115 Wn. 2d 506, 799 P.2d 250 (1990).....	16
11	<i>Austin v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> ,	
12	No. C09-1679JLR, 2010 WL 1875811 (W.D. Wash. May 10, 2010).....	24
13	<i>Badgett v. Sec. State Bank</i> ,	
14	116 Wn.2d 563, 807 P.2d 356 (1991).....	20, 21
15	<i>Bardy v. Cardiac Sci. Corp.</i> ,	
16	No. C13-0778JLR, 2014 WL 294526 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 27, 2014).....	20
17	<i>Baxter v. Intelius, Inc.</i> ,	
18	No. SACV 09-1031 AG (MLGx), 2010 WL 3791487 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 16,	
19	2010).....	15
20	<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> ,	
21	550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	6
22	<i>Bisson v. Bank of Am., N.A.</i> ,	
23	919 F. Supp. 2d 1130 (W.D. Wash. 2013).....	21
24	<i>Brazil v. Dell Inc.</i> ,	
25	585 F. Supp. 2d 1158 (N.D. Cal. 2008).....	22
26	<i>Brown v. Starbucks Corp.</i> ,	
27	No. 18cv2286 JM (WVG), 2019 WL 996399 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 1, 2019) .....	14, 15
28	<i>Call v. Olsen</i> ,	
	No. 13-5241 RJB, 2013 WL 3805651 (W.D. Wash. July 18, 2013).....	22
	<i>Capitol Pros, Inc. v. Vadata, Inc.</i> ,	
	No. C17-1410-JCC, 2018 WL 883870 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 14, 2018).....	19
	<i>Carideo v. Dell, Inc.</i> ,	
	520 F. Supp. 2d 1241 (W.D. Wash. 2007).....	8

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
(CONTINUED)

<b>CASES</b>	<b>PAGE(S)</b>
<i>Carideo v. Dell, Inc.</i> , 706 F. Supp. 2d 1122 (W.D. Wash. 2010).....	9
<i>Cascade Auto Glass, Inc. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , 135 Wn. App. 760, 145 P.3d 1253 (2006).....	19
<i>Cashatt v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , No. 3:19-cv-05886-RBL, 2020 WL 1987077 (W.D. Wash. Apr. 27, 2020).....	22, 23
<i>Charbonnet v. Omni Hotels &amp; Resorts</i> , No. 20-cv-01777-CAB-DEB, 2020 WL 7385828 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 16, 2020) .....	14, 15, 17
<i>Cole v. Keystone RV Co.</i> , No. C18-5182 TSZ, 2021 WL 3111452 (W.D. Wash. July 22, 2021) .....	12
<i>Davis v. HSBC Bank Nevada, N.A.</i> , 691 F.3d 1152 (9th Cir. 2012) .....	16
<i>Dunson v. Cordis Corp.</i> , No. 16-cv-03076-SI, 2016 WL 3913666 (N.D. Cal. July 20, 2016) .....	10
<i>Ebner v. Fresh, Inc.</i> , 838 F.3d 958 (9th Cir. 2016) .....	12
<i>Ekin v. Amazon Servs., LLC</i> , 84 F. Supp. 3d 1172 (W.D. Wash. 2014).....	7, 8
<i>Elliott Bay Seafoods v. Port of Seattle</i> , 124 Wn. App. 5, 98 P.3d 491 (2004).....	18, 19
<i>Ellis v. Costco Wholesale Corp.</i> , 657 F.3d 970 (9th Cir. 2011) .....	22
<i>Erwin v. Cotter Health Ctrs.</i> , 161 Wn.2d 676, 167 P.3d 1112 (2007).....	8
<i>Fabozzi v. StubHub, Inc.</i> , No. C-11-4385 EMC, 2012 WL 506330 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 15, 2012) .....	15
<i>Ferrie v. Woodford Rsch., LLC</i> , No. 3:19-cv-05798-RBL, 2020 WL 3971343 (W.D. Wash. July 14, 2020).....	10
<i>Fid. Mortg. Corp. v. Seattle Times Co.</i> , 213 F.R.D. 573 (W.D. Wash. 2003) .....	10

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.