

Bailey Bennett Lazzari (Wyoming Bar #7-5915)
LAZZARI LEGAL
P.O. Box 525
Lander, WY 82520
Ph. (307) 438-6367
lazzarilegal@gmail.com
Counsel for Plaintiff Crow Tribe of Indians

Daniel D. Lewerenz (D.C. Bar #1531951; *pro hac vice* pending)
NATIVE AMERICAN RIGHTS FUND
1514 P Street NW, Suite D
Washington, DC 20005
Ph. (202) 785-4166
Fax (202) 822-0068
lewerenz@narf.org
Counsel for Plaintiff Crow Tribe of Indians

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF WYOMING**

The CROW TRIBE OF INDIANS, et al., }
 }
 Plaintiffs, }

Case No. **92-CV-1002**

v. }

**PLAINTIFF’S MEMORANDUM
IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR PARTIAL RELIEF
FROM JUDGMENT**

Chuck REPSIS, Individually; Brian }
NESVIK, Individually, and as Director of }
the Wyoming Game and Fish Department, }
and as Director of the Wyoming Game and }
Fish Commission, }
 }
 Defendants. }

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTRODUCTION.....	1
PROCEDURAL HISTORY.....	2
A. The <u>Repsis</u> Litigation.....	3
B. The <u>Herrera</u> Litigation.....	5
STANDARD OF REVIEW	7
ARGUMENT.....	9
I. The Crow Tribe’s Motion is Timely.....	9
II. In light of the U.S. Supreme Court’s <u>Herrera</u> decision, this Court should vacate its <u>Repsis I</u> judgment pursuant to Rule 60(b)(5) or (b)(6).....	11
A. The Crow Tribe is entitled to relief under Rule 60(b)(5).....	11
B. In the alternative, the Crow Tribe is entitled to relief under Rule 60(b)(6)...14	
III. This Court should vacate the Tenth Circuit’s <u>Repsis II</u> judgment pursuant to Rule 60(b)(5) or 60(b)(6).....	15
A. The <u>Repsis II</u> judgment affirming <u>Repsis I</u> should be vacated.....	15
B. Any judgment resulting from the <u>Repsis II</u> alternative holding that the Bighorn National Forest was categorically occupied upon its creation should be vacated.	15
C. Any judgment resulting from the <u>Repsis II</u> statement that conservation necessity justified State regulation of the Crow Tribe’s off-reservation treaty hunting right should be vacated.	17
CONCLUSION	23

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>Agostini v. Felton</u> , 521 U.S. 203 (1997).....	8, 13
<u>Antoine v. Washington</u> , 420 U.S. 194 (1975).....	18
<u>Belt v. Lane</u> , Civ. No. 74-00387 MCA/ACT, 2014 WL 12796740 (D.N.M. Mar. 24, 2014)	10, 11
<u>Brown v. Dietz</u> , No. 99-2476-JWL, 2005 WL 2175159 (D. Kan. Sept. 7, 2005).....	9
<u>Cashner v. Freedom Stores, Inc.</u> , 98 F.3d 572 (10th Cir. 1996)	9, 14-15, 17
<u>Crow Tribe of Indians v. Repsis</u> , 866 F. Supp. 520 (D. Wyo. 1994).....	<i>passim</i>
<u>Crow Tribe of Indians v. Repsis</u> , Crow Tribe Mem. Opp. Defs.’ Mot. Summ J.	20
73 F.3d 982 (10th Cir. 1995)	<i>passim</i>
<u>Crow Tribe of Indians v. Repsis</u> , 517 U.S. 1221 (1996).....	1, 5
<u>Cummings v. Gen. Motors Corp.</u> , 365 F.3d 944 (10th Cir. 2004)	9
<u>Dep’t of Game of Wash. v. Puyallup Tribe</u> , 414 U.S. 44 (1973).....	19, 21-22
<u>Doe v. Briley</u> , 562 F.3d 777 (6th Cir. 2009)	10
<u>Dowdell by Dowdell v. Bd. of Educ. of Oklahoma City Sch., Indep. Dist. No. 89</u> , 8 F.3d 1501 (10th Cir. 1993)	18
<u>Herrera v. Wyoming</u> , CV 2016-242, slip op. (Wyo. 4th Jud. Dist., Apr. 25, 2017).....	12
<u>Herrera v. Wyoming</u> , Br. of Pet’r, No. 17-532, 2018 WL 4293381 (Sept. 4, 2018)	5
139 S. Ct. 1686 (2019).....	<i>passim</i>

..

<u>Horne v. Flores,</u> 557 U.S. 443 (2009).....	8, 12-13, 17, 18-19, 21
<u>Jackson v. Los Lunas Cmty. Program,</u> 880 F.3d 1176 (10th Cir. 2018)	7, 8, 21
<u>Klein v. United States,</u> 880 F.2d 250 (10th Cir. 1989)	8
<u>Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wis. v. Wisconsin,</u> 769 F.3d 543 (7th Cir. 2014)	9, 11
<u>Manzanares v. City of Albuquerque,</u> 628 F.3d 1237 (10th Cir. 2010)	8, 9, 12, 14, 22
<u>Minnesota v. Mille Lacs Band of Chippewa Indians,</u> 526 U.S. 172 (1999).....	6
<u>Myzer v. Bush,</u> 750 Fed. Appx. 644 (10th Cir. 2018).....	9
<u>Ramirez-Zayas v. Puerto Rico,</u> 225 F.R.D. 396 (D.P.R. 2005)	8, 13, 15
<u>Rufo v. Inmates of Suffolk Cnty. Jail,</u> 502 U.S. 367 (1992).....	8, 12
<u>Schutz v. Thorne,</u> 415 F.3d 1128 (10th Cir. 2005)	10
<u>Shoshone-Bannock Tribes v. Idaho Fish & Game Comm'n,</u> 42 F.3d 1278 (9th Cir. 1994)	19
<u>Stan Lee Media, Inc. v. Walt Disney Co.,</u> 774 F.3d 1292 (10th Cir. 2014)	15-16
<u>Standard Oil Co. of Cal. v. United States,</u> 429 U.S. 17 (1976).....	13, 15
<u>Trujillo v. Williams,</u> No. 4-635 MV/GBW, 2018 WL 6182429 (D.N.M. Nov. 27, 2018)	9
<u>Twelve John Does v. District of Columbia,</u> 841 F.2d 1133 (D.C. Cir. 1988).....	18

...

<u>United States v. Michigan</u> , 653 F.2d 277 (6th Cir. 1981)	19-20
<u>United States v. Oregon</u> , 718 F.2d 299 (9th Cir. 1983)	20
<u>United States v. Oregon</u> , 769 F.2d 1410 (9th Cir. 1985)	19, 21-22
<u>Unitherm Food Sys., Inc. v. Swift-Eckrich, Inc.</u> , 546 U.S. 394 (2006).....	9
<u>Ward v. Race Horse</u> , 163 U.S. 504 (1896).....	1, 4, 12
<u>Wilkin v. Sunbeam Corp.</u> , 405 F.2d 165 (10th Cir. 1968)	13
<u>Wyoming v. Herrera</u> , Case No. CT 2014-2687; 2688 (Wyo. Cir. Ct. 4th Jud. Dist., June 11, 2020)	7, 10, 16 n.7, 19
<u>Yapp v. Excel Corp.</u> , 186 F.3d 1222 (10th Cir. 1999)	9, 14-15, 17
 CONSTITUTION	
U.S. CONST. art. VI, cl. 2	2, 12, 14, 17
U.S. CONST. art. III, § 2	2-3
 TREATIES	
Treaty between the United States of America and the Crow Tribe of Indians, 15 Stat. 649 (1868).....	2, 12
Treaty of Fort Laramie with Sioux, Etc., 1851, 11 Stat. 749 and 2 Charles Kappler, <u>Indian Affairs: Laws and Treaties</u> 594 (1904).....	2
Treaty of July 3, 1868, 15 Stat. 673	3

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.