

# Interview Summary

Application No. <b>09/317,235</b>	Applicant(s) <b>SANDELMAN</b>
Examiner <b>DARYL C. POPE</b>	Group Art Unit <b>2736</b>

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) DARYL C. POPE (3) DAVID SANDELMAN  
(2) BARRY NEGRIN (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview May 11, 2000

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 31, 51, and 52

Identification of prior art discussed:

WILSON ET AL(5,400,246)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS 1,31,51, AND 52 WHICH NCLUDED LIMITATIONS CONCERNING THE ROUTING OF OUTGOING MESSAGE PROFILES PERTAINING TO THE OPERATING STATUS OF MONITORED DEVICES, THE MESSAGE PROFILES BEING USER DEFINABLE AND MODIFIABLE. THE EXAMINER INFORMED THE APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE THAT THESE LIMITATIONS APPEARED TO OVERCOME THE PRIOR ART OF RECORD.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2.  Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

  
DARYL C. POPE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER