

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
13/533,074	06/26/2012	Kevin L. Corcoran	ELL-125	6054
	Morland C. Fischer Suite 1300 2030 Main Street  CHOI, STEPHEN		EXAMINER	
			TEPHEN	
Irvine, CA 9261			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2014	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



	<b>Application No.</b> 13/533,074	Applicant( CORCORA	Applicant(s) CORCORAN, KEVIN L.	
Office Action Summary	<b>Examiner</b> Stephen Choi	Art Unit 3724	C+-+	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the corresponde	nce address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	of this communication. 33).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on §	<u>6/26/12</u> .			
☐ A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under <b>37 CFF</b>	<b>R 1.130(b)</b> was/were filed on _	<u>.</u>		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.			
3) An election was made by the applicant in	response to a restriction requi	rement set forth dui	ing the interview on	
; the restriction requirement and ele	ction have been incorporated	into this action.		
4) Since this application is in condition for all	·	•		
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[	). 11, 453 O.G. 213		
Disposition of Claims*				
5) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applica				
5a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are withdra	awn from consideration.			
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
7) Claim(s) <u>6-12</u> is/are rejected.				
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	·			
If any claims have been determined allowable, you may		_	nway program at a	
participating intellectual property office for the corresponding intellectual property office for the corresponding intp://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.isp_or		·		
	send an inquity to 111 meedback	<u>couspio.gov</u> .		
Application Papers				
10) The specification is objected to by the Example 11) The drawing (a) filed an C/OC(10 is lower a)		a buttle a Evensinar		
11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 6/26/12 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to	, , , , , ,	-	F(a)	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				
	moduli is required if the didwing	na objected to. Set	5 07 01 11 1.121(u).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	raine eviavity, under OF LLOO	C 110/a) /d) =:: //		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(a) or (t).		
Certified copies: a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some** c) ☐ None of the:				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received			
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		· ·	—. ational Stage	
application from the International Bu	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the c				
occ the attached detailed office action for a list of the c	ertified copies not received.			
dec the attached detailed emice action for a list of the c	ertified copies not received.			
	ertified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_	Summary (PTO-413)		



Application/Control Number: 13/533,074 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

1. The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent

provisions.

**DETAILED ACTION** 

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-5 are, drawn to a die, classified in 83/686.

II. Claims 6-12 are, drawn to a method of cutting, classified in 83/13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The

inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus

as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and

materially different process such as a method not requiring a step of printing set forth in

II.

3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these

inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above

and there would be a serious search and/or examination burden if restriction were not

required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of

their different classification.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include (i) an election of an invention to be examined even though the requirement



Application/Control Number: 13/533,074 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 or pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. During a telephone conversation with Morland Fischer (26,881) on 24 June 2014 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of II, claims 6-12. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-5 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.



Application/Control Number: 13/533,074 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

## Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the last sentence refers to purported merits of the invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 6-8 and 10-11 are rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102b as being anticipated by WO 83/00112 (hereafter WO '112).

WO '112 discloses all the positively recited steps of the invention including a method for cutting a shape from a sheet material (e.g., 1) comprising the steps of printing the shape to be cut on the sheet material (e.g., label print), forming at least a first die having an inside opening (e.g., Figure 3) that corresponds to the shape to be cut from the sheet material, an outside border (e.g., at 6) that surrounds the inside opening, and a cutting edge (e.g., 7) that projects from the outside border to cut the shape from the sheet material, locating the cutting edge on the outside border of the die such that the cutting edge surrounds the inside opening of the die, whereby none of the outside border extends past the cutting edge and none of the outside border lies between the cutting edge and the inside opening (e.g., Figures 2-3), positioning the die on the sheet material so that the outside border of the die surrounds on the shape printed on the sheet material, so that the cutting edge of the die is registered with the



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

