

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 13/533,074	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CORCORAN, KEVIN L.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Stephen Choi	<b>Art Unit</b> 3724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephen Choi. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Morland Fischer. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 February 2015.

Type:    ☒ Telephonic    ☐ Video Conference  
           ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant    ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:    ☐ Yes    ☒ No.  
     If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed    ☐101    ☐112    ☒102    ☐103    ☐Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hagmann.

**Substance of Interview**  
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The examiner advised that the proposed change filed 2/17/15 under AFCP 2.0 does not overcome the rejection over Hagmann. Specifically, Hagmann teaches the newly recited steps of "placing the cutting edge which projects from the first face of the flat outside border of said first die against the sheet material" and "applying a force to the opposite face of the flat outside border of said first die after said cutting edge has first been placed against the sheet material" as amended (e.g., via the elastic layer 39 or at a point when the cutting edge emerges from the elastic layer and placed against the sheet material. In addition, the claim as amended does not preclude having a step of applying a force (e.g., initial force) to push the cutting edge out from the elastic layer to cut the sheet material by additional continuing force (i.e., cutting force) US 2,150,016, 2,421,809 are additionally discovered.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☒ Attachment

/Stephen Choi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724	
---	--

Attachement:PTOL 303 and PTO 2323