United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
16/052,623	08/02/2018	Manli Zhu	CreativeTech_01RECon	5388
Ashok Tankha	7590 12/18/201		EXAMINER	
36 Greenleigh drive Sewell, NJ 08080			ESCALANTE, OVIDIO	
Sewen, NJ 000	80		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3992	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2019	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
16/052,623	Zhu et al.	
Examiner	Art Unit	ALA /EITE\ Ctatua
Examiner	AIT OIIIT	AIA (FITF) Status

OVIDIO	ESCALANTE	3992	No					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with	the corresponde	ence address					
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 November 2019</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED								
1. ✓ The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been filed. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 if this is a utility or plant application. Note that RCEs are not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. c) A prior Advisory Action was mailed more than 3 months after the mailing date of the final rejection in response to a first after-final reply filed within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The current period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is earlier. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (b) or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANTS FIRST AFTER-FINAL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. ONLY CHECK BOX (c) IN THE LIMITED SITUATION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to t	ne date of filing a brief, will n	ot be entered bec	ause					
a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	V	,,						
	c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding NOTE: (See 37CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ding number of finally reject	ed claims.						
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37CFR 1.121. See att 5. Applicants reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	ached Notice of Non-Complia	ant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable it claim(s).	submitted in a separate, tim	ely filed amendme	ent canceling the non-allowable					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s):(a) will not new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or app AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 		entered, and an ex	planation of how the					
8. A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1.130(b) was/were filed	on							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or	The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37							
 OFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 								
11. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
12. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
13. ✓ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 7/26/2016, 12/06/2019 14. ☐ Other:								
STATUS OF CLAIMS								
15. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: .								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:26-45.								
Claim(s) rejected. <u>20-45.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
/OVIDIO ESCALANTE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3992	/MAJID A BANANKHAH Reexamination Speciali							
S Patent and Trademark Office								

Advisory Action Refore the Filing of an Anneal Rrief

Paner No. 20191210



Continuation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 12. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Reissue Declaration: the examiner notes that the reissue declaration remains defective since both inventors must sign the declaration. In addition, with respect to the broadening statement, as set forth in the Final Rejection, "any error in the claims must be identified by reference to the specific claim(s) and the specific claim language where lies the error". In the revised declaration, the Applicant has cited several limitations from claim 1. This is insufficient since it does not identify "the specific claim language".

Thus the corrected reissue declaration is insufficient since the error statement does not specifically identify the error in original claim 1.

IDS: The IDS submitted on December 6, 2019 is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97(d). As set forth therein: an ids submitted after a final office action, must included "(1) The statement specified in paragraph (e) of this section; **and** (2) the fee set forth in 1.17(p)."

The Applicant does not appear to have submitted a fee with their submission. In addition, one of the submitted IDS does not have a certification statement. Therefore, the examiner has not considered the Applicant's submission of December 6, 2019. /MF/

