United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

04/05/2021

ELECTRONIC

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
16/782,530	02/05/2020	Sally Elizabeth RUSSELL S	SYG-0364PA(115479.000294) 4118	
153842 BakerHostetler	7590 04/05/202	1	EXAMINER	
Washington Sq	uare, Suite 1100	SONG, JIANFENG		
Washington, Do	C 20036-5304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1613	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

eofficemonitor@bakerlaw.com



	Application No.					
Office Action Commence	16/782,530	82,530 RUSSELL et al.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	AIA (FITF) Status			
	JIANFENG SONG, Ph.D.	1613	Yes			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing						
date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of the ma	of this communication.			
Status						
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03/	26/2021.					
☐ A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under 37 CFR 1						
, , , , , ,	This action is non-final.	_				
3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview						
on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.						
 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 						
Disposition of Claims*						
5) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the app	lication.					
5a) Of the above claim(s) 8-12 and 15-21 is/a	are withdrawn from considerati	on.				
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) V Claim(s) 1-7 and 13-14 is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement					
If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the Patent Prosecution Highway program at a						
participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see						
http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send	an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspto	<u>.gov.</u>				
Application Papers	200					
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the di Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	- · ·	• •				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	io roquirou ii tilo diawilig(a) ia obje	5.5G 10. OEE 0	, OTT 1.121(a).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei Certified copies:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or ((f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some** c) ☐ None of t	he:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority document		oplication No)			
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	•				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)	0	. /DTC 443)				
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	3) Interview Summary					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/26/2021 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/26/2021	B/08b) Paper No(s)/Mail D 4) Other:	, aic				



Application/Control Number: 16/782,530

Art Unit: 1613

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Notice of Pre-AIA or AIA Status

The present application, filed on or after March 16, 2013, is being examined under the first inventor to file provisions of the AIA.

Withdrawn Rejections:

Applicant's amendments and arguments filed on 03/26/2021 are acknowledged and have been fully considered. The Examiner has re-weighed all the evidence of record. Any rejection and/or objection not specifically addressed below is herein withdrawn.

The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set of rejections and/or objections presently being applied to the instant application.

Applicants elect compound of 1.1 as specific compound A, compound 2.1 as specific compound B, pretilachlor as specific compound C and benoxacor as specific safener. Compound 2.1 is free of art and the examination moves to next species (compound BE) at the next species at the choice of the examiner.

Compound BE



Application/Control Number: 16/782,530 Page 3

Art Unit: 1613

Claims 1-7 and 13-14 read on the elected species and are under examination, claims 8-12 do not read on the elected species and are withdrawn from consideration.

Claims 1-21 are pending, claims 1-7 and 13-14 are under examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 03/26/2021 is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent for a claimed invention may not be obtained, notwithstanding that the claimed invention is not identically disclosed as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the claimed invention and the prior art are such that the claimed invention as a whole would have been obvious before the effective filing date of the claimed invention to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the claimed invention pertains. Pate ntability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103 are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-7 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Phadte et al. (WO2015052076) in view of Satterfield et al. (WO2015084796).



Application/Control Number: 16/782,530

Art Unit: 1613

Page 4

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art

(MPEP 2141.01)

Phadte et al. teaches pyrrolone compounds of formula (I) in herbicidal composition and methods of using these compounds to control plant growth (abstract). The compounds of formula (I) according to the invention can also be used in combination, with other active ingredients, e.g. other herbicides, and/or insecticides, and/or acaricides, and/or nematocides, and/or molluscicides, and/or fungicides, and/or plant growth regulators. Such mixtures, and the use of such mixtures to control weeds and/or undesired plant growth, form yet further aspects of the invention (page 26, line 24-28). The combination of compound of formula (I) and pretilachlor (page 30, line 1), and the combination of compound of formula (I) and benoxacor (page 33, line 34). A specific compound of formula (I) A8 is prepared (page 62), the same as compound of 1.1 in applicant's claim 1.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

