### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
18/002,663	12/21/2022	Atsushi IZUMIHARA	19970US01	1699
165418 Xsensus / Sony	7590 12/19/202	4	EXAMINER	
100 Daingerfie	A 22314		CRAWFORD, JACINTA M	
Alexandria, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	-
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2024	ELECTRONIC

#### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Xdocket@XSensus.com Xsensuspat@XSensus.com anaquadocketing@Xsensus.com



O''' 4 '' O	18/002,663	z,663 IZUMIHARA, Atsushi						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	AIA (FITF) Status					
	JACINTA M CRAWFORD	2617	Yes					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 December 2022.								
☐ A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under <b>37 CFR 1.130(b)</b> was/were filed on								
, —	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ✓ This action is non-final.							
3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.								
4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims*								
<ul> <li>5) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>								
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement								
* If any claims have been determined <u>allowable</u> , you may be eligible to benefit from the <b>Patent Prosecution Highway</b> program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp">http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp</a> or send an inquiry to <a href="mailto:PPHfeedback@uspto.gov">PPHfeedback@uspto.gov</a> .								
Application Papers								
10)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
11) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 December 2022 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	n is required if the drawing(s) is objec	cted to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreic	an priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f	).					
Certified copies:			,					
a)☑ All b)□ Some** c)□ None of tl								
1. ✓ Certified copies of the priority docum								
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	•	-						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08a and/or PTO/SI	B/08b) Paper No(s)/Mail D  4) Other:	ate						

Application No.

Applicant(s)



Application/Control Number: 18/002,663 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to communications: Preliminary-Amendment filed December 21, 2022.

2. Claims 1-20 are pending in this case. No claims have been newly amended, added, or cancelled. This action is made Non-Final.

### Notice of Pre-AIA or AIA Status

3. The present application, filed on or after March 16, 2013, is being examined under the first inventor to file provisions of the AIA.

#### **Priority**

4. Receipt is acknowledged of certified copies of papers required by 37 CFR 1.55.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

5. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on December 21, 2022 was filed on the filing date of the application on December 21, 2022. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.



Application/Control Number: 18/002,663

Art Unit: 2617

#### **Drawings**

Page 3

6. The drawings were received on December 21, 2022. These drawings are accepted.

#### Specification

7. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

8. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim(s) does/do not fall within at least one of the four categories of patent eligible subject matter. Claim 19 is directed to "a program," which is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, thus the program itself is not a process. Additionally, the program does not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the program's functionality to be realized, thus the program itself is also not a machine, manufacture, or composition of matter. Therefore, a "program" is non-statutory.



Application/Control Number: 18/002,663 Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112(b):

(b) CONCLUSION.—The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the inventor or a joint inventor regards as the invention.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), second paragraph: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

10. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(b) or 35 U.S.C. 112 (pre-AIA), second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the inventor or a joint inventor (or for applications subject to pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 112, the applicant), regards as the invention.

Claim 18 recites, "... the GUI..." which lack antecedent basis. Claim 18 depends upon claim 17, which does not recite a "GUI," however, claims 12-14 each recite a "GUI," thus is unclear as to which claim 18 is to depend. For prior art purposes, it is considered claim 18 is to depend from claim 17, but should recite, "...a graphical user interface (GUI)..."

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. In the event the determination of the status of the application as subject to AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 (or as subject to pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103) is incorrect, any correction of the statutory basis (i.e., changing from AIA to pre-AIA) for the rejection will not be considered a new ground of rejection if the prior art relied upon, and the rationale supporting the rejection, would be the same under either status.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

