

## Response to Office Action

**The table below presents the data as entered.**

Input Field	Entered
<b>SERIAL NUMBER</b>	86137328
<b>LAW OFFICE ASSIGNED</b>	LAW OFFICE 101
<b>MARK SECTION</b>	
<b>MARK</b>	<a href="http://tsdr.uspto.gov/img/86137328/large">http://tsdr.uspto.gov/img/86137328/large</a>
<b>LITERAL ELEMENT</b>	PLUSUS
<b>STANDARD CHARACTERS</b>	YES
<b>USPTO-GENERATED IMAGE</b>	YES
<b>MARK STATEMENT</b>	The mark consists of standard characters, without claim to any particular font style, size or color.
<b>EVIDENCE SECTION</b>	
<b>EVIDENCE FILE NAME(S)</b>	
<b>ORIGINAL PDF FILE</b>	<a href="#">evi_701095314-160649702 . PLUSUS_86137328 - OA_Response.pdf</a>
<b>CONVERTED PDF FILE(S) (11 pages)</b>	<a href="#">\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\861\373\86137328\xml5\ROA0002.JPG</a>
	<a href="#">\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\861\373\86137328\xml5\ROA0003.JPG</a>
	<a href="#">\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\861\373\86137328\xml5\ROA0004.JPG</a>
	<a href="#">\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\861\373\86137328\xml5\ROA0005.JPG</a>
	<a href="#">\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\861\373\86137328\xml5\ROA0006.JPG</a>
	<a href="#">\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\861\373\86137328\xml5\ROA0007.JPG</a>
	<a href="#">\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\861\373\86137328\xml5\ROA0008.JPG</a>
	<a href="#">\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\861\373\86137328\xml5\ROA0009.JPG</a>
	<a href="#">\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\861\373\86137328\xml5\ROA0010.JPG</a>
	<a href="#">\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\861\373\86137328\xml5\ROA0011.JPG</a>
	<a href="#">\\TICRS\EXPORT16\IMAGEOUT16\861\373\86137328\xml5\ROA0012.JPG</a>
<b>DESCRIPTION OF EVIDENCE FILE</b>	Evidence in the nature of arguments in support of registration, namely, the applicant's response to the Section 2(d) refusal and attached exhibits in support thereof.
<b>NEW ATTORNEY SECTION</b>	
<b>NAME</b>	Tom Dunlap
<b>FIRM NAME</b>	DunlapWeaver PLLC
<b>STREET</b>	211 Church St., SE
<b>CITY</b>	Leesburg
<b>STATE</b>	Virginia

ZIP/POSTAL CODE	20175
COUNTRY	United States
PHONE	7037777319
EMAIL	ip@dunlapweaver.com
AUTHORIZED EMAIL COMMUNICATION	Yes
<b>NEW CORRESPONDENCE SECTION</b>	
NAME	Tom Dunlap
FIRM NAME	DunlapWeaver PLLC
STREET	211 Church St., SE
CITY	Leesburg
STATE	Virginia
ZIP/POSTAL CODE	20175
COUNTRY	United States
PHONE	7037777319
EMAIL	ip@dunlapweaver.com
AUTHORIZED EMAIL COMMUNICATION	Yes
<b>SIGNATURE SECTION</b>	
RESPONSE SIGNATURE	/Seth Willig Chadab/
SIGNATORY'S NAME	Seth Willig Chadab
SIGNATORY'S POSITION	Associate Attorney, DunlapWeaver PLLC, Maryland Bar Member
SIGNATORY'S PHONE NUMBER	7037777319
DATE SIGNED	07/29/2014
AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY	YES
<b>FILING INFORMATION SECTION</b>	
SUBMIT DATE	Tue Jul 29 16:14:30 EDT 2014
TEAS STAMP	USPTO/ROA-XX.XXX.XX.XX-20 140729161430226822-861373 28-500d7c12c55ee894b86617 7c147badb732592406a25dcab 12e9696baa12f7cedb64-N/A- N/A-20140729160649702532

PTO Form 1957 (Rev 9/2005)  
OMB No. 0651-0050 (Exp. 07/31/2017)

## Response to Office Action

### To the Commissioner for Trademarks:

Application serial no. **86137328** PLUSUS(Standard Characters, see <http://tsdr.uspto.gov/img/86137328/large>) has been amended as follows:

### EVIDENCE



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at [docketalarm.com](http://docketalarm.com).

Evidence in the nature of Evidence in the nature of arguments in support of registration, namely, the applicant's response to the Section 2(d) refusal and attached exhibits in support thereof. has been attached.

**Original PDF file:**

[evi\\_701095314-160649702 . PLUSUS\\_86137328 - OA\\_Response.pdf](#)

**Converted PDF file(s)** ( 11 pages)

[Evidence-1](#)

[Evidence-2](#)

[Evidence-3](#)

[Evidence-4](#)

[Evidence-5](#)

[Evidence-6](#)

[Evidence-7](#)

[Evidence-8](#)

[Evidence-9](#)

[Evidence-10](#)

[Evidence-11](#)

**ATTORNEY ADDRESS**

Applicant proposes to amend the following:

**Proposed:**

Tom Dunlap of DunlapWeaver PLLC, having an address of  
211 Church St., SE Leesburg, Virginia 20175

United States

ip@dunlapweaver.com

7037777319

**CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS CHANGE**

Applicant proposes to amend the following:

**Proposed:**

Tom Dunlap of DunlapWeaver PLLC, having an address of  
211 Church St., SE Leesburg, Virginia 20175

United States

ip@dunlapweaver.com

7037777319

**SIGNATURE(S)**

**Response Signature**

Signature: /Seth Willig Chadab/ Date: 07/29/2014

Signatory's Name: Seth Willig Chadab

Signatory's Position: Associate Attorney, DunlapWeaver PLLC, Maryland Bar Member

Signatory's Phone Number: 7037777319

The signatory has confirmed that he/she is an attorney who is a member in good standing of the bar of the highest court of a U.S. state, which includes the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and other federal territories and possessions; and he/she is currently the applicant's attorney or an associate thereof; and to the best of his/her knowledge, if prior to his/her appointment another U.S. attorney or a Canadian attorney/agent not currently associated with his/her company/firm previously represented the applicant in this matter: (1) the applicant has filed or is concurrently filing a signed revocation of or substitute power of attorney with the USPTO; (2) the USPTO has granted the request of the prior representative to withdraw; (3) the applicant has filed a power of attorney appointing him/her in this matter; or (4) the applicant's appointed U.S. attorney or Canadian attorney/agent has filed a power of attorney appointing him/her as an associate attorney in this matter.

Mailing Address: Tom Dunlap  
DunlapWeaver PLLC  
211 Church St., SE  
Leesburg, Virginia 20175

Serial Number: 86137328

TEAS Stamp: USPTO/ROA-XX.XXX.XX.XX-20140729161430226  
822-86137328-500d7c12c55ee894b866177c147  
badb732592406a25dcab12e9696baa12f7cedb64  
-N/A-N/A-20140729160649702532

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

**Serial No.:** 86137328  
**Mark:** PLUSUS  
**Applicant:** Plusus LLC  
**Office Action Date:** March 25, 2014

---

**RESPONSE TO March 25, 2014 OFFICE ACTION**

This Response is filed in reply to the Office Action e-mailed on March 25, 2014. The Applicant respectfully submits the following response. Applicant submits that the above-identified trademark application for PLUSUS is in condition for allowance to publication.

**Potential Section 2(d) Refusal: Likelihood of Confusion**

Applicant submits a preliminary response to the potential section 2(d) refusal; however, Applicant reserves all rights to provide a detailed and more descriptive response if Examining Attorney Justine D. Parker raises a Section 2(d) refusal in a subsequent Office Action.

***Preliminary Response with Reservation of Rights***

The USPTO suggests that it will refuse registration of the Applicant's mark, PLUSUS, "because of a likelihood of confusion with the mark in U.S. Registration No. 3880780." "[T]he question of confusion is related not to the nature of the mark but to its effect 'when applied to the applicant.'" *In re E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co.*, 476 F.2d 1357, 1360-61 (C.C.P.A. 1973). The United States Court of Customs and Patent Appeals listed thirteen factors to weigh in the likelihood of confusion analysis and stated that all of the factors must be considered "when of record." *Id.* at 1361. The Examining Attorney has indicated that similarity of the marks, similarity of the goods and/or services, and similarity of the trade channels of the goods and/or services weigh against the Applicant's mark. However, Applicant respectfully asserts that when all factors are weighed, the majority weighs against the existence of a likelihood of confusion.

***(1) Similarity of Conflicting Designations***

The first factor is the similarity of the conflicting designations, including in their appearance, sound, meaning or connotation, and commercial impression. *In re E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co.*, 476 F.2d 1357, 1361, 177 USPQ 563, 567 (C.C.P.A. 1973). A similar phrase found in two marks is not dispositive of a confusing similarity between the marks when the marks give off different commercial expressions. *See Kellogg Co. v. Pack'em Enterprises, Inc.*, 951 F.2d 330 (Fed. Cir. 1991). When Applicant's mark PLUSUS, and Registrant's mark THE PLUS IS US are compared, the appearance is not identical or confusingly similar.

Importantly, courts across the country have long held that the addition of different terms to a

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.