To: Leger, Broch (pphatco@yahoo.com)

Subject: U.S. TRADEMARK APPLICATION NO. 88066308 - PPHATCO - N/A

**Sent:** 11/29/2018 6:18:50 PM **Sent As:** ECOM103@USPTO.GOV

**Attachments:** <u>Attachment - 1</u>

Attachment - 2
Attachment - 3
Attachment - 4
Attachment - 5
Attachment - 6
Attachment - 7
Attachment - 8
Attachment - 9
Attachment - 10

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (USPTO) OFFICE ACTION (OFFICIAL LETTER) ABOUT APPLICANT'S TRADEMARK APPLICATION

U.S. APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 88066308

MARK: PPHATCO \*88066308\*

CORRESPONDENT

ADDRESS: CLICK HERE TO RESPOND TO THIS

LEGER, BROCH LETTER:

249 WILLIE <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/trademarks/teas/response\_forms.jsp">http://www.uspto.gov/trademarks/teas/response\_forms.jsp</a>

YOUNG RD.

EUNICE, LA 70535 VIEW YOUR APPLICATION FILE

APPLICANT: Leger,

Broch

CORRESPONDENT'S REFERENCE/DOCKET

NO:

N/A

CORRESPONDENT E-MAIL ADDRESS:

pphatco@yahoo.com

## **OFFICE ACTION**

## STRICT DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO THIS LETTER

TO AVOID ABANDONMENT OF APPLICANT'S TRADEMARK APPLICATION, THE USPTO MUST RECEIVE APPLICANT'S COMPLETE RESPONSE TO THIS LETTER **WITHIN 6 MONTHS** OF THE ISSUE/MAILING DATE BELOW. A RESPONSE TRANSMITTED THROUGH THE TRADEMARK ELECTRONIC APPLICATION SYSTEM (TEAS) MUST BE RECEIVED BEFORE MIDNIGHT **EASTERN TIME** OF THE LAST DAY OF THE RESPONSE PERIOD.

**ISSUE/MAILING DATE: 11/29/2018** 

TEAS PLUS OR TEAS REDUCED FEE (TEAS RF) APPLICANTS – TO MAINTAIN LOWER FEE, ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS MUST BE MET, INCLUDING SUBMITTING DOCUMENTS ONLINE: Applicants who filed their application online



actions (see TMEP §§819.02(b), 820.02(b) for a complete list of these documents); (2) maintain a valid e-mail correspondence address; and (3) agree to receive correspondence from the USPTO by e-mail throughout the prosecution of the application. *See* 37 C.F.R. §§2.22(b), 2.23(b); TMEP §§819, 820. TEAS Plus or TEAS RF applicants who do not meet these requirements must submit an additional processing fee of \$125 per class of goods and/or services. 37 C.F.R. §§2.6(a)(1)(v), 2.22(c), 2.23(c); TMEP §§819.04, 820.04. However, in certain situations, TEAS Plus or TEAS RF applicants may respond to an Office action by authorizing an examiner's amendment by telephone or e-mail without incurring this additional fee.

The referenced application has been reviewed by the assigned trademark examining attorney. Applicant must respond timely and completely to the issue(s) below. 15 U.S.C. §1062(b); 37 C.F.R. §§2.62(a), 2.65(a); TMEP §§711, 718.03.

#### **Search Results**

The trademark examining attorney has searched the Office's database of registered and pending marks and has found no conflicting marks that would bar registration under Trademark Act Section 2(d). TMEP §704.02; see 15 U.S.C. §1052(d).

## **SUMMARY OF ISSUES:**

- Section 2(b) Refusal Mark Contains Simulation of the Flag of the United States
- · Identification of Goods Indefinite

## Section 2(b) Refusal – Mark Contains Simulation of the Flag of the United States

Registration is refused because the applied-for mark includes a simulation of the flag of the United States. Trademark Act Section 2(b), 15 U.S.C. §1052(b); see TMEP §1204. Trademark Act Section 2(b) bars registration of marks that include simulations of the flag, coat of arms, or other insignia of the United States, any state or municipality of the United States, or any foreign nation. TMEP §§1204, 1204.01(a).

A simulation refers to "something that gives the appearance or effect or has the characteristics of an original item." *In re Family Emergency Room LLC*, 121 USPQ2d 1886, 1887 (TTAB 2017) (quoting *In re Advance Indus. Sec., Inc.*, 194 USPQ 344, 346 (TTAB 1977)); TMEP §1204. Whether the relevant matter in the mark is a simulation is determined by a visual comparison of the mark and the actual flag, coat of arms, or other insignia in question. *See In re Family Emergency Room LLC*, 121 USPQ2d at 1887 (citing *In re Advance Indus. Sec., Inc.*, 194 USPQ at 346); TMEP §1204.

When comparing the mark and the actual flag, coat of arms, or other insignia, the focus is on the relevant purchasers' general recollection of the flag, coat of arms, or other insignia, "without a careful analysis and side-by-side comparison." *In re Family Emergency Room LLC*, 121 USPQ2d at 1888 (quoting *In re Advance Indus. Sec., Inc.*, 194 USPQ at 346). The public should be considered to retain only a general or overall, rather than specific, recollection of the various elements or characteristics of design marks. *See In re Advance Indus. Sec., Inc.*, 194 USPQ at 346.

The following factors are considered when determining whether a design in a mark would be perceived as a flag, coat of arms, or other insignia of the United States, any state or municipality of the United States, or any foreign nation:

- (1) The colors, if any, that appear in the design;
- (2) The presentation of the mark, such as any stylization of the design and its relationship to other elements in the mark;
- (3) The presence of any words or other designs on the drawing; and
- (4) The use of the mark on the specimen(s), if one is provided, or in the record.

TMEP §1204.01(a) (citing In re Family Emergency Room LLC, 121 USPQ2d at 1888).

The attached evidence from <a href="https://www.britannica.com/topic/flag-of-the-United-States-of-America">https://www.britannica.com/topic/flag-of-the-United-States-of-America</a> shows an actual flag from the United States. The applied-for mark includes the following matter: a flag of the United States.

The public would perceive the design in the mark as the flag of the United States because the flag clearly appears prominently in the drawing and applicant refers to flag as the "American flag" in the mark description.

Therefore registration is refused because the applied-for mark includes a simulation of the flag of the United States. Trademark Act Section 2(b)



Trademark Act Section 2(b) is an absolute bar to registration on the Principal and Supplemental Registers. Trademark Act Sections 2(b) and 23(a), (c), 15 U.S.C. §§1052(b), 1091(a), (c); see TMEP §§1204, 1204.04(a).

#### **Identification of Goods Indefinite**

The identification of goods is indefinite and must be clarified for the reasons set forth below. See 37 C.F.R. §2.32(a)(6); TMEP §1402.01.

The wording "clothing" is unacceptable as indefinite. Applicant must specify the articles of clothing (e.g. clothing, namely, \_\_\_\_\_ {specify clothing, e.g. shirts, pants, etc.}).

#### **Suggested Identifications**

Applicant's goods and/or services may be clarified or limited, but may not be expanded beyond those originally itemized in the application or as acceptably amended. *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.71(a); TMEP §1402.06. Applicant may clarify or limit the identification by inserting qualifying language or deleting items to result in a more specific identification; however, applicant may not substitute different goods and/or services or add goods and/or services not found or encompassed by those in the original application or as acceptably amended. *See* TMEP §1402.06(a)-(b). The scope of the goods and/or services sets the outer limit for any changes to the identification and is generally determined by the ordinary meaning of the wording in the identification. TMEP §\$1402.06(b), 1402.07(a)-(b). Any acceptable changes to the goods and/or services will further limit scope, and once goods and/or services are deleted, they are not permitted to be reinserted. TMEP §1402.07(e).

For assistance with identifying and classifying goods and services in trademark applications, please see the USPTO's online searchable <u>U.S.</u>

Acceptable Identification of Goods and Services Manual. See TMEP §1402.04.

Applicant may adopt the following identification, if acceptable:

#### Class 25

Clothing, namely, \_\_\_\_\_ {specify clothing, e.g. shirts, pants}, headwear

## **Assistance**

If applicant has questions regarding this Office action, please telephone or e-mail the assigned trademark examining attorney.

/Daniel Stringer/ Trademark Examining Attorney Law Office 103 571.272.8975 daniel.stringer@uspto.gov

TO RESPOND TO THIS LETTER: Go to <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/trademarks/teas/response\_forms.jsp">http://www.uspto.gov/trademarks/teas/response\_forms.jsp</a>. Please wait 48-72 hours from the issue/mailing date before using the Trademark Electronic Application System (TEAS), to allow for necessary system updates of the application. For technical assistance with online forms, e-mail <a href="mailto:TEAS@uspto.gov">TEAS@uspto.gov</a>. For questions about the Office action itself, please contact the assigned trademark examining attorney. E-mail communications will not be accepted as responses to Office actions; therefore, do not respond to this Office action by e-mail.

All informal e-mail communications relevant to this application will be placed in the official application record.

**WHO MUST SIGN THE RESPONSE:** It must be personally signed by an individual applicant or someone with legal authority to bind an applicant (i.e., a corporate officer, a general partner, all joint applicants). If an applicant is represented by an attorney, the attorney must sign the response.

**PERIODICALLY CHECK THE STATUS OF THE APPLICATION:** To ensure that applicant does not miss crucial deadlines or official notices, check the status of the application every three to four months using the Trademark Status and Document Retrieval (TSDR) system at <a href="http://tsdr.uspto.gov/">http://tsdr.uspto.gov/</a>. Please keep a copy of the TSDR status screen. If the status shows no change for more than six months, contact the Trademark Assistance Center by e-mail at <a href="mailto:TrademarkAssistanceCenter@uspto.gov">TrademarkAssistanceCenter@uspto.gov</a> or call 1-800-786-9199. For more information on checking status, see <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/trademarks/process/status/">http://www.uspto.gov/trademarks/process/status/</a>.

TO HDDATE CODDECDONDENCE/E MAIL ADDDECC. Her the TEAC form at http://www.nonto.gov/trodemorke/toge/commonandence.ion





## Flag of the United States of America



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

